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Genetic susceptibility to type 1 diabetes (T1D) has been a subject of intensive study for nearly four decades. This article will present the history of these studies, beginning with observations of the Human Leukocyte Antigen (HLA) association in the 1970s, through the advent of DNA-based genotyping methodologies, through recent large, international collaborations and genome-wide association studies. More than 40 genetic loci have been associated with T1D in multiple studies; however, the HLA region, with its multiple genes and extreme polymorphism at those loci, remains by far the greatest contributor to the genetic susceptibility to T1D. Even after decades of study, the complete story has yet to unfold, and exact mechanisms by which HLA and other associated loci confer T1D susceptibility remain elusive.

Type 1 diabetes (T1D) is a complex, multigenic disease. The first reports of genetic association to T1D were for the human leukocyte antigen (HLA) region (Singal and Blajchman 1973; Cudworth and Woodrow 1974; Nerup et al. 1974). In the nearly four decades since this discovery, researchers have searched not only to determine which alleles of which HLA-encoding genes are responsible for the T1D association but also for which other genetic loci, in addition to HLA, contribute to T1D risk, with dozens of loci reported to be associated with T1D (Bluestone et al. 2010; Pociot et al. 2010; Steck and Rewers 2011). The largest of these studies, completed in 2010, was the type 1 Diabetes Genetics Consortium (T1DGC) (Rich et al. 2006). The T1DGC is an international collaboration, conceived to create a repository of sufficient size and diversity to identify all of the genetic loci that contribute to T1D risk, through which >14,000 samples were collected and genotyped. Of all of the T1D associated genes and gene regions revealed by all of the studies, however, the HLA association remains the strongest by far, with reported odds ratios (ORs) ranging from 0.02 to >11 for specific DR-DQ haplotypes (Erlich et al. 2008). After HLA, the strongest T1D genetic association comes from polymorphism in the promoter region of the insulin gene (OR = 2.38) (Pociot et al. 2010). Only two other loci, PTPN22 and IL2RA, have ORs greater than 1.5; most are in the range of 1.1–1.3, which underscores the importance of the HLA region compared with other loci (Pociot et al. 2010). All studies of T1D genetic susceptibility must take HLA into account to interpret association data for any other candidate loci. For this reason, the focus...
of this article will be primarily on HLA-encoding genes, although other susceptibility loci will be described.

**HLA STRUCTURE, FUNCTION, AND NOMENCLATURE**

**Structure**

The HLA region maps to chromosome 6p21.31. The classical HLA loci are encoded in a region of DNA approximately 4 Mb, with the class II loci at the centromeric end of the region and the class I loci at the telomeric end. The region contains >200 identified genes, over half of which are predicted to be expressed. A schematic representation of the HLA region, with T1D-relevant genes indicated, is shown in Figure 1. Only some of the HLA region genes are involved in the immune response; in particular, the genes that encode the classical HLA class I (A, B, and C) and class II (DR, DQ, and DP) antigens. Genes encoding classical HLA class I and class II antigens flank a chromosomal region that is sometimes referred to as the “class III region,” which contains some immunologically relevant genes (e.g., tumor necrosis factor [TNFA]) but no classical HLA genes. Products of loci encoding the six classical class I (A, B, and C) and class II (DR, DQ, and DP) antigens are structurally similar, cell-surface proteins that bind antigenic peptides and present them to T cells. DR-encoding genes differ from those encoding DQ and DP in two important ways. First, the DRA1 gene, which encodes the α chain of the DR molecule, is essentially monomorphic and does not require genotyping. Second, the DRB1 gene is present on all chromosomes, but additional DRB genes are present on specific haplotypes. Some of the additional DRB genes, e.g., DRB2, are pseudogenes; however, three of these (DRB3, DRB4, and DRB5) encode functional polypeptide chains that can pair with the DRA1 gene product to create a functional antigen. The role of these additional DR antigens in disease susceptibility is not yet understood. Molecules resembling the classical class I antigens are encoded in the HLA region, including HLA-E, HLA-G, and the HFE gene, at the telomeric end of the HLA region, and MIC-A, in the class III region. These molecules, although structurally similar to classical class I antigens, do not have the capacity to bind and present peptides.

The extracellular portions of the HLA proteins are comprised of four domains, shown schematically in Figure 2A. In the case of class II, two of the immunoglobulin-like domains
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**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of selected genes in the HLA region. Classical HLA genes are shown in black. Other T1D-associated genes are shown with patterned boxes. Gray boxes show genes not reported to be T1D-associated. Approximate boundaries of class I, class III, and class II regions are indicated by brackets.
are provided by each of the products of the genes encoding the α and β chains of the heterodimer. For class I, three of the extracellular domains are encoded by the HLA gene, and the fourth is provided by binding of the class I molecule to β-2 microglobulin. The outer two domains form a groove into which endogenous (for class I) or exogenous (for class II) peptides can bind and be presented to T cells. Nearly all of the polymorphism in the HLA genes resides in the regions encoding the amino acid residues that form the peptide-binding groove. Hence, the polymorphic residues affect the shape of the groove and, therefore, determine the repertoire of peptides that can bind to a given allele. Although a number of other cell-surface molecules, such as CD4, CD8, CD28, B7, and CTLA-4, are involved in mounting an immune response, the “trimolecular complex” created by the binding of the T-cell receptor (TCR) binding to the HLA/peptide complex (Fig. 2B) determines the specificity of the immune response.

**HLA Class II Antigens**

The three HLA class II antigens include DR, DQ, and DP. HLA class II molecules are heterodimeric, consisting of α and β chains, encoded by separate genetic loci, i.e., the products of the DPA1 and DPB1 genes encode the DPα and DPβ polypeptides that combine to create the DP heterodimeric protein. The DRA1 gene is essentially monomorphic, so DR genotyping is performed only on the DRB1 gene, which encodes the β chain of the antigen and, occasionally,

---

**Figure 2.** (A) Schematic representation of HLA class II and class I molecules. Protein domains are depicted as gray circles, plasma membrane is depicted by black ball and stick motifs, transmembrane regions are depicted as lines going through the plasma membrane, and the peptide antigen is depicted as a black diamond. (B) Schematic representation of the trimolecular complex. HLA molecule and peptide are depicted as in A; T-cell receptor is depicted as a gray Y-shape that contacts both the HLA molecule and the peptide antigen.
on the other DRB genes that are present only on certain haplotypes. All HLA regions have a copy of DRB1, and some DRB1 haplotypes also include other DRB genes, including DRB3, DRB4, and DRB5. Whether or not these additional genes confer, T1D risk is unclear at present and is just beginning to be studied. The DQA1, DQB1, DPA1, and DPB1 genes are all polymorphic. For DQ and DP, the genetic diversity produced by formation of heterodimers of α and β chains encoded in trans, along with formation of heterodimers encoded in cis, greatly increases the functional diversity of the DQ and DP molecules. In other words, a cell with two different DQA1 alleles and two different DQB1 alleles can be capable of producing four different DQ proteins. The ability to form DQ heterodimers encoded in trans is a leading hypothesis for why the T1D risk conferred by the DR3/DR4 genotype is greater than the additive risk for the two haplotypes (Fig. 3). An alternative hypothesis can be drawn from analysis of the T1DGC genome-wide association study (GWAS) single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) data in HLA-stratified subgroups, in which the strongest signals in DRB1*04:01-positive individuals were in protein networks involved in antigen procession and presentation, whereas the strongest signals in DRB1*03:01-positive individuals were in pathways involved with stress response and inflammation (Brorsson et al. 2010).

**HLA Function**

The function of the HLA/peptide complex in directing the immune response suggests a role for HLA in both the immune response to environmental pathogens and in autoimmune disease. HLA was originally identified through its role in transplant rejection (Thorsby 1974). The importance of HLA typing in disease association studies was noted as early as 1975 (Oh and MacLean 1975). HLA has been implicated in the etiology of >100 diseases, including, but not limited to, complex autoimmune diseases, such as type 1 diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis, and multiple sclerosis; cancers, such

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>Number of alleles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRA</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB</td>
<td>1027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB1</td>
<td>928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB3</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB4</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB5</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRB9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQA1</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQB1</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPA1</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPB1</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>6240</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reported number of alleles for each of the classical HLA loci are shown. DRB alleles are shown in total as well as by locus (in italics). Data are taken from the HLA informatics group website (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/imgt/hla/stats.html) and are current as of July 1, 2011.

**Table 1. Extent of polymorphism at the classical HLA loci**
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as Hodgkin’s disease; infectious diseases, such as malaria and AIDS; and other diseases, such as narcolepsy (Lechler and Warrens 1999).

**HLA Nomenclature**

The HLA region is the most polymorphic observed in the human genome, with >6543 unique allele sequences reported as of July 2011 (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/imgt/hla/stats.html) (Table 1). The extreme polymorphism of the HLA-encoding loci creates challenges in maintaining a consistent nomenclature among laboratories and studies. Development of new and better genotyping technologies has led to a dramatic increase in the number of reported HLA alleles, which, in turn, has led to an evolution of the nomenclature. Before the advent of molecular genotyping technology, HLA variation was determined with cell-based assays using antisera derived from multiparous women. These antisera needed to be carefully characterized, were difficult to standardize among laboratories, and recognized only a fraction of the variation that is now known to exist for the HLA-encoding loci. Nomenclature for serologic HLA typing was simple, consisting of a letter to describe the locus and a number to specify the allele (e.g., A1 or DR4). As serologic genotyping technology became more refined, and as more variation was discovered in HLA, some of the original designations were subdivided, e.g., the “DR2” group was subdivided into “DR15” and “DR16.”

The emergence of DNA-based genotyping led to the creation of a nomenclature system that included the locus name, followed by an asterisk, followed by a numerical designation for the allele, for example, DRB1*0401. For most loci, the first two digits of the numerical designation refer to the serologic specificity of a particular allele. DRB1*0401, for example, reacts with antisera that are classified in the DR4 group. DRB1*0405 would react with the same DR4 antisera, but DRB1*0101 or DRB1*0301 would not. DR antigens were originally categorized into 10 groups, DR1 through DR10, by serologic typing. When DNA-based nomenclature was adopted, designations reflected the state of serologic typing at the time. Consequently, alleles that react with DR2-specific antisera were not named DRB1*02xx but, rather, DRB1*15xx or DRB1*16xx.

DPB1 is an exception to the serology-based nomenclature. Because most DPB1 alleles were discovered by DNA-based genotyping, their allele designations were assigned sequentially (e.g., DPB1*2301, DPB1*2401, DPB1*2501, etc.), rather than by serologic reactivity, such that no inferences can be made about the antigen itself simply from the allele designation. Silent polymorphisms add additional complexity to HLA nomenclature; these were originally designated as one additional digit, e.g., A*68011, but later changed to an additional two digits, e.g., A*680101. Polymorphic sequences in introns are also sometimes designated as an additional two digits, and low or null expression of an allele can be designated with a letter. Thus, HLA allele names can be as complex as A*03010102N, which, depending on the level of resolution of the genotyping and the preference of the investigator, could be referred to as A3, A*0301, A*030101, A*03010102, or A*03010102N. This variation in reported nomenclature complicates comparison or merging of data sets generated in different laboratories.

In 2010, the nomenclature system was again changed, this time to add a colon delimiter between the sections of an allele designation, such that each section is no longer limited to two digits, e.g., A*01:01.01. This change was implemented, in part, to clarify reporting for serologic groups with <99 alleles. For example, the B*15 allele discovered after B*15:99 can now be reported as B*15:100. Its designation in the previous system was B*9501 to avoid confusion with B*1510. Tools are available to convert nomenclature from one system to another, but reporting of HLA genotyping data is still a mixed bag, with some papers utilizing the new nomenclature, other papers using the old, and others still using old, serologic nomenclature.

The primary amino acid sequence of an HLA protein is specified by the first two fields of its designation, for example, A*01:01 and...
A*01:02 encode slightly different polypeptides, but A*01:01:01 and A*01:01:02 differ only by a silent polymorphism and are translated into exactly the same polypeptide. Because the protein encoded by the gene, rather than the gene itself, is (presumably) the source of susceptibility to or protection from disease, most disease association studies report HLA alleles using the first two fields of the designation to reduce ambiguity and facilitate statistical analysis.

**HLA GENOTYPING METHODOLOGY**

Dozens to thousands of alleles exist for each of the HLA genes (Table 1). The extent of this polymorphism necessitates a much more complex and costly process for genotyping than those commonly used for microsatellites or SNPs. HLA genotyping cost is driven in part by level of resolution. Paradoxically, increased resolution of HLA genotyping can decrease statistical power of HLA disease association analyses by increasing the number of categories and, therefore, reducing the numbers seen for each allele category. However, low-resolution genotyping necessarily bins HLA alleles together and can mask the effects of individual alleles. For example, in general, DRB1*04:xx alleles are highly predisposing for T1D. DRB1*04:03, however, is T1D protective. Low-resolution genotyping for DRB1*04, without allele-level resolution, could mask the protective effect of DRB1*04:03. In populations where DRB1*04:03 is at a high frequency, its protective effect could decrease the apparent predisposing effect of high-risk DRB1*04:xx alleles, such as DRB1*04:05. Table 2 shows the risk for various haplotypes including DRB1*04xx alleles seen in the T1DGC. Genotyping methodologies and their capacity for resolution are discussed below.

For HLA genes, the intron-exon structure of the gene corresponds to the structure of the encoded protein (Fig. 2A). For both class I and class II genes, exon 1 encodes the signal sequence. For class II genes, exon 2 encodes the domain that is furthest from the cell membrane and participates in the formation of the peptide-binding groove (α1 for the α chain and β1 for the β chain). The domain encoded by exon 3 (α2 for the α chain and β2 for the β chain) does not make contact with the peptide, and exon 4 encodes the transmembrane region. For class I, exons 2 and 3 encode the two immunoglobulin-like domains (α1 and α2) that form the peptide-binding groove, exon 4 encodes the α3 domain, which does not contact the peptide, and exon 5 encodes the transmembrane region. Minimally, complete genotyping to determine the primary sequence for all of the peptide-binding pockets for the classical HLA loci in a given individual requires genotyping the following: DRB1 exon 2 (and exon 2 for DRB3, DRB4, and DRB5 when present), DQA1 exon 2, DQB1 exon 2, DPA1 exon 2, A exons 2 and 3, B exons 2 and 3, and C exons 2 and 3. To further increase resolution, genotyping of DQB1 exon 3 and exon 4 for the class I loci may be included.

---

**Table 2.** DRB1-DQA1-DQB1 haplotypes that reached statistical significance in the published T1DGC data set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DRB1-DQA1-DQB1</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01:01-01:01-05:01</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01:03-01:01-05:01</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03:01-05:01-02:01</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>2 × 10^{-22}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04:01-03:01-03:01</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>4 × 10^{-04}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04:01-03:01-02:01</td>
<td>8.39</td>
<td>6 × 10^{-26}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04:02-03:01-03:01</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>3 × 10^{-04}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04:03-03:01-02:01</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04:04-03:01-02:01</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04:05-03:01-02:01</td>
<td>11.37</td>
<td>4 × 10^{-05}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04:07-03:01-03:01</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>6 × 10^{-04}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07:01-02:01-02:01</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>2 × 10^{-09}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07:01-02:01-03:03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>4 × 10^{-12}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08:03-06:01-03:01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:01-05:01-03:01</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>3 × 10^{-10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:03-05:01-03:01</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:04-05:01-03:01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>3 × 10^{-06}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:01-05:01-03:01</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:01-01:03-06:03</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>4 × 10^{-11}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:02-01:02-06:09</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:03-05:01-03:01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:01-01:01-05:03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1 × 10^{-06}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:01-01:02-06:02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2 × 10^{-29}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:01-01:02-06:03</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Overall significance** 5 × 10^{-124}  

DRB4 haplotypes are in shaded boxes. Additional data can be found in Erlich et al. (2008).
The earliest methods of determining HLA genotypes involved cell-based assays that used serum from multiparous women. The number of categories was small, reflecting the major antigenic epitopes on the HLA. The extreme diversity of the HLA genes was only recognized with the advent of DNA-based genotyping technology, which led to an exponential increase in the number of recognized alleles. DNA-based genotyping methods fall into three general categories, sequence-specific priming (SSP), sequence-specific oligonucleotide (SSO) probe, and sequence-based typing (SBT).

SSP involves multiple polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplifications of genomic DNA with primer pairs designed to produce a product only if a given polymorphism is present in the sample. Results are visualized as the presence or absence of a product by gel electrophoresis. Genotypes consistent with the data are deduced by comparing data to expected patterns for all genotype combinations possible from known alleles. In most cases, multiple genotypes are consistent with the data. The resolution of this technique is dependent on the number of initial amplifications; thus, the higher the desired resolution, the more template, amplification reagents, and gels are required. Polymorphisms that are not represented in the test kit, including novel polymorphisms, will be missed by this technique.

SSO involves a single amplification of the locus to be tested, followed by hybridization of the PCR product with a set of oligonucleotide probes corresponding to known polymorphisms. Initial SSO technology involved immobilization of the PCR product, followed by multiple rounds of hybridization with individual labeled probes. Later versions of SSO technology use a single hybridization of the labeled PCR product to a set of oligonucleotide probes immobilized to a solid support, such as a nylon membrane or bead. Advantages of this technology include the single amplification and hybridization to test each locus, allowing genotyping to be performed with a smaller amount of template than what is required for SSP. The level of resolution of the assay is dependent on the number of oligonucleotide probes included. Like SSP, SSO only queries known polymorphisms, so novel polymorphisms will be missed.

SBT produces higher resolution than either SSP or SSO, because every position of the target sequence is included, rather than just selected polymorphic motifs. SBT also has the advantage of requiring a small amount of template compared with SSP. Until recently, however, the cost of SBT was significantly higher than that of SSP or SSO. Even with SBT, the two alleles in a genotype could not always be distinguished easily.

The most recent development in HLA genotyping technology uses next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology in which hundreds to thousands of sequence reads of polymorphic exons are generated from single molecules. With sequence read lengths long enough to span an exon in an HLA locus, the two sequences originating from two chromosomes in a sample can be determined individually. Thus, the only remaining ambiguity comes from assembling exons in loci with more than one polymorphic exon, or from polymorphisms that lie outside the tested exons. HLA genotyping data generated by NGS provides the highest resolution presently available.

Multiple technologies and varying resolution levels make combining data from different studies quite challenging. The same allele can be given two different designations, depending on the level of resolution used to genotype it. As resolution improves and costs of new technologies decrease, consistency among studies is improving; however, caution must be used when interpreting genotyping data from different studies or different laboratories.

HLA ASSOCIATIONS WITH T1D

Sorting out HLA associations is complicated not only by the extremely large numbers of reported alleles at the HLA genetic loci but also by differences in allele frequencies and haplotype combinations among populations, incomplete penetrance of the HLA susceptibility loci, and epistatic interactions of HLA with other susceptibility factors. Studies of HLA association with T1D have been ongoing for nearly 40 years. By far, the majority of studies
of HLA association with T1D have used Caucasian subjects. Although great advances have been made, more is still to be learned, especially in non-Caucasian populations.

CLASS II: DR-DQ

The association of specific HLA alleles with T1D is remarkable in several respects: (1) for DRB1 and DQB1, the risk is determined by specific combinations of DRB1 and DQB1 alleles rather than by genotypes for individual loci; (2) both susceptible and protective strong, highly significant DR-DQ associations with T1D are seen, dependent on the particular DR-DQ haplotype; (3) multiple haplotypes are positively associated with T1D (susceptible), and many haplotypes are negatively associated (protective); and (4) specific genotypic combinations (most notably DRB1*03:01-DQA1*05:01-DQB1*02:01, DRB1*04:01-DQA1*03:01-DQB1*03:02 heterozygotes, referred to as DR3/DR4) are associated with increased risk. In addition to this complex pattern of DR-DQ associations, which represent the major genetic determinant of T1D risk, other HLA loci, such as DPB1 and the class I loci (see below), contribute significantly to genetic risk for T1D. Based on data from the T1DGC, Table 2 shows a subset of the DRB1-DQA1-DQB1 haplotypes that have the strongest effect on T1D risk. DR4 haplotypes are shaded to illustrate the extent of risk heterogeneity in that subgroup (Erlich et al. 2008).

Although PCR-based HLA typing has greatly refined and increased our understanding of these HLA associations with T1D, many of the genetic associations noted above were initially observed and reported based on serological typing. Following the development of DR serology, the association of DR3 and DR4 with T1D was reported (Rodey et al. 1979; Solow et al. 1979). Some reports noted that an increased risk for DR3/DR4 heterozygotes relative to DR3/DR3 and DR4/DR4 homozygotes was observed (Deschamps et al. 1980). In addition, a serological epitope, TA10, was found to distinguish between high- and low-risk DR4 serotypes (Tait and Boyle 1986; Held et al. 1999). PCR-based sequence analysis showed that the high- and low-risk DR4 haplotypes differed at the DQB1 locus; high-risk DQB1*0302 (TA10) differed from low-risk DQB1*0301 (TA10). DQB1*0301 and *0302 differ at four amino acid positions encoded by exon 2 sequences. At codon 57, DQB1*0302 encodes an Ala whereas DQB1*0301 encodes an Asp. Other pairs of DQB1 alleles that differed in their T1D association also differed at a codon for Ala, Ser, or Val versus Asp. Structural analysis indicated that the Asp at position 57, a residue in pocket P9 of the peptide-binding groove, contributes to a salt bridge that is absent in the presence of the other neutral amino acids at this position. A model was proposed in which Asp-57 confers protection, whereas the other amino acids at this position are associated with neutral or susceptible DQB1 alleles (Todd et al. 1987; Horn et al. 1988). In support of this model, sequence analysis of the A-B gene in the nonobese diabetic (NOD) mouse, an inbred strain widely used as a mouse model for T1D, showed the presence of His-Ser at positions 56 and 57, whereas the nonsusceptible parent strain, NON, has a Pro-Asp at these positions (Singer et al. 1998). Of note, however, the mouse NOD strain does not express the E-B gene, the homolog to DRB1. Expression of I-E in the NOD mouse was shown to prevent insulitis, even in the presence of Ser at position 57, suggesting that the identity of the amino acid residue at position 57 of I-A in the mouse, or DQB1 in the human, was not sufficient to fully explain disease susceptibility (Miyazaki et al. 1990). As noted below, polymorphisms at the DRB1 gene also influence T1D susceptibility (Erlich et al. 1991). Moreover, not all Asp-57-containing alleles are protective; some, such as the DRB1*04:05-DQB1*03:01 and DRB1*04:05-DQB1*04:02 haplotypes, common in Asia, confer susceptibility (Erlich et al. 2008). Notwithstanding these caveats and exceptions, the correlation of the amino acid residue at DQB1 position 57 with T1D risk is striking, if not absolute.

On the DRB1*04 haplotype, polymorphism at the DRB1 locus also influences T1D risk. This is illustrated by the different T1D risk associated
with different DRB1 alleles linked to the same DQA1-DQB1 haplotype, DQA1*03:01-DQB1*0302 (Table 2). The DRB1*04:05-DQA1*03:01-DQB1*03:02 haplotype has an OR = 11.37, whereas the DRB1*04:03-DQA1*03:01-DQB1*03:02 haplotype has an OR = 0.27. Table 2 shows the risk for all DR4 haplotypes reported to be significantly T1D associated in the T1DGC data set (Erlich et al. 2008).

One of the striking patterns of T1D association with DR-DQ haplotypes is the observation, reported in many different studies, that DRB1*03:01-DQA1*05:01-DQB1*02:01/DRB1*04:05-DQA1*03:01-DQB1*03:02 heterozygotes have a higher risk than do homozygotes for either haplotype. Because the DQ molecule is a heterodimer, up to four DQ antigens can be expressed on a given cell; thus, trans-encoded DQ heterodimers, in particular, the one encoded by DQA1*0501 from the DRB1*03 haplotype and DQB1*0302 encoded by the DRB1*04 haplotype, which has never been seen encoded in cis, may help explain the high risk of the DR3/DR4 heterozygous genotype (Fig. 3).

**CLASS II: DP**

The DP molecule is encoded by the DPA1 and DPB1 genes. DPA1 has only a small number of alleles, and either DPA1*01:03 or DPA1*02:01 is found on most haplotypes. Most of the DP variation is provided by the DPB1 gene. Although not as strong as the effect of the established predisposing and protective DR-DQ haplotypes, variation at DPB1 also contributes to T1D risk (Noble et al. 2000; Cucca et al. 2001; Valdes et al. 2001; Cruz et al. 2004; Stuchlikova et al. 2006; Baschal et al. 2007; Varney et al. 2010). Demonstrating that an observed association in the HLA region is a true disease susceptibility effect, and not due simply to linkage disequilibrium (LD) with risk DR-DQ haplotypes, is a necessary step in establishing other genes in the HLA region as potential T1D risk loci. This can be achieved by a variety of methods. One method is to stratify data based on DR-DQ haplotypes and examine other loci within subsets. This method is useful for DR3 haplotypes, which are nearly invariable (DRB1*03:01-DQA1*05:01-DQB1*02:01) in all populations except Africans. Because DR3 is quite common in T1D patients, assessing modulation of DR3 risk by other HLA region loci (e.g., HLA DPB1, HLA class I, TNFA) is relatively straightforward (Robinson et al. 1993; Noble et al. 1996, 2006, 2008; Aly et al. 2006).

Other observed associations in the HLA region, such as the class I and class III regions discussed below, also require an adjustment for the extensive LD between alleles at the target loci and the established DR-DQ risk alleles and haplotypes in this region.

**HLA Class I**

Initial reports of HLA association with T1D pointed to the class I loci B8 and B18. (Singal and Blachman 1973; Cudworth and Woodrow 1974; Nerup et al. 1974). Later, these associations were attributed to LD of the class I alleles with predisposing HLA class II loci (Rodey et al. 1979; Solow et al. 1979). The primary HLA associations with T1D are now well established to be with class II genes, specifically those encoding the DR and DQ molecules, as described above. However, alleles at the class I loci A and B loci have also been shown to affect T1D susceptibility independently from class II; in particular, class I alleles appear to play a role in age of onset of T1D (Noble et al. 2002, 2010; Tait et al. 2003; Valdes et al. 2005; Nejentsev et al. 2007; Howson
et al. 2009b). T1D is believed to result from an initial triggering event, followed by gradual autoimmune destruction of the pancreatic β cells, until the point that the residual β cells are insufficient to meet the insulin demands of the body, leading to hyperglycemia and disease diagnosis. Because the trigger is unknown, the autoimmune process is largely undetected until the point of diagnosis. The rate of the autoimmune destruction is not known, and may vary among individuals. The end point of the autoimmune process is pancreatic β cell destruction by cytotoxic T cells (Tc), and the CD8 molecules on Tc cells act as coreceptors for TCR binding to class I antigens on target cells. Thus, the notion that the class I allele with its bound peptide affects the rate of the autoimmune destruction is quite plausible.

Some of the early reports of class I association were with A*24 alleles. In a Japanese study, patients with the A*24 allele were shown to have little or no residual β-cell function compared with other T1D patients, consistent with the idea that the A*24 allele facilitates more rapid and complete destruction of β cells (Nakanishi et al. 1993, 1995). In patients with the highest-risk DR3/DR4 genotype, those that also carry the A*24:02 allele have a significantly lower age of onset than those who do not have A*24 (Noble et al. 2002). DNA-based genotyping methods have allowed examination of individual alleles in the A*24 serogroup. Although most A*24 alleles do show a predisposing effect, a study of T1D in a Filipino population showed that A*24:07, which differs from A*24:02 by the substitution of Gln for His at position 70, is not predisposing for T1D (Bugawan et al. 2002).

B*39 alleles appear to be the most highly predisposing class I alleles, with the B*39:06 allele most commonly associated with T1D (Ilonen et al. 1992; Nejentsev et al. 1997; Reijonen et al. 1997; Valdes et al. 2005; Noble et al. 2010). B*3906 increases T1D risk for moderate susceptibility haplotypes, such as DR1, DR8, and even DR16 (a type of DR2) haplotypes (Valdes et al. 2005; Baschal et al. 2011).

HLA-C appears to have little, if any, effect on T1D susceptibility. Observed HLA-C T1D associations appear to result from LD of HLA-C with predisposing or protective DR-DQ or HLA-B alleles (Valdes et al. 2005; Noble et al. 2010).

## NON-HLA T1D SUSCEPTIBILITY LOCI IN THE HLA REGION

The region between the class II and class I genes is commonly referred to as “class III,” although it contains no loci encoding classical HLA loci. The class III region does include several immunologically relevant genes, which include TNFA and the complement C4-encoding genes C4A and C4B. The gene encoding the class I-like molecule MIC-A is also located between the classical HLA class II and class I genes, although MIC-A is sometimes considered to be in the class I, rather than the class III, region. Candidate gene studies have been reported on all of these loci and are described below. In addition, analysis of GWAS data from the T1DGC revealed T1D associations in the class III region that are not attributable to LD with classical HLA-encoding loci (Valdes et al. 2010).

The TNFA gene has been extensively studied for T1D association, especially for the −238 and −308 promoter SNPs, with conflicting reports. Some studies, particularly early studies, reported data that were not adjusted to reflect LD with DR-DQ; thus, strong disease associations were observed, e.g., Perez et al. (2004). Other studies, in which LD was taken into account, did not report significant association (Ilonen et al. 1992; Nishimura et al. 2003; Noble et al. 2006).

The genes-encoding complement C4 (C4A and C4B) vary in copy number, and this variation is associated with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) (Yang et al. 2007). A number of studies report T1D association for C4A null patients and for the C4B “short” allele (Rich et al. 1985; Caplen et al. 1990; Marcelli-Barge et al. 1990; Jenhani et al. 1992; Lhotta et al. 1996).

The “MHC class I-related” gene, MIC-A, produces a cell-surface antigen that resembles classical HLA class I molecules. MIC-A appears to be induced in response to stress in the intestinal epithelium and is recognized by γδ intestinal epithelial cells (IELs) (Groh et al. 1998, 2002). Several studies have implicated the allele
MIC-A5 in T1D susceptibility (Gambelunghe et al. 2000; Bilbao et al. 2002; Gupta et al. 2003).

NON-HLA T1D SUSCEPTIBILITY LOCI OUTSIDE THE HLA REGION

After HLA, the next-strongest genetic association with T1D is seen for the insulin gene. This association was initially described as a difference in size for three classes of alleles of a region flanking the insulin gene (Bell et al. 1984; Permutt et al. 1984). The insulin promoter region was found to have a variable number of tandem repeats (VNTR) that could be genotyped to study disease association, with the shorter alleles (class I) predisposing for T1D and the longer alleles (class III) showing a negative (protective) association (Rotwein et al. 1986). Differences in insulin expression levels from these alleles are postulated to account for the susceptibility, perhaps by modulating thymic expression of insulin and affecting T-cell education (Pugliese 2005). VNTR typing of the insulin gene has largely been supplanted by genotyping of a tagging SNP, $2^{23}$HphI (Meigs et al. 2005). This SNP has the second-highest OR (2.38) for T1D in the T1DGC GWAS studies (Pociot et al. 2010).

The PTPN22 gene encodes a protein tyrosine phosphatase important in down-regulation of the immune response. The association of PTPN22 was first described by Bottini in 2004 and quickly replicated in other studies (Bottini et al. 2004; Onengut-Gumuscu et al. 2004; Smyth et al. 2004; Ladner et al. 2005; Qu et al. 2005; Zheng and She 2005). This gain-of-function mutation affects binding of the PTPN22 gene product to Csk and is associated with multiple autoimmune diseases, including rheumatoid arthritis, Grave’s disease, juvenile idiopathic arthritis, SLE, and vitiligo (Begovich et al. 2004; Velaga et al. 2004; Hinks et al. 2005; Bottini et al. 2006).

GENOME-WIDE ASSOCIATION STUDIES

All of the associations discussed above were based on the candidate gene approach, the analysis of genetic variation in genes with an immunological function, or an islet-related function that might be plausibly connected to autoimmunity and/or insulin-related dysfunction. The development of high-throughput SNP genotyping technologies allowed “hypothesis-free” GWAS with hundreds of thousands of different SNPs. Although hypothesis-free, in the sense of not relying on specific candidate genes, the GWAS approach assumed that the panel of SNPs on the GWAS chips, whose variant allele frequency was generally greater than 5%, could “tag” potentially causal genomic polymorphisms, owing to LD. Given the very large number of SNPs used in the genotyping analysis, achieving genome-wide statistical significance for an individual SNP association required a very large number of cases and controls and, consequently, most of these GWAS have been performed by international collaborations and consortia, such as the T1DGC.

Several very large GWAS analyses for T1D have been reported recently, with the largest body of data generated on the T1DGC collection of samples (Barrett et al. 2009; Concannon et al. 2009; Qu et al. 2010). Data from these studies can be found at www.t1dbase.org. The most significant associations (represented by the highest peaks in the “Manhattan plots” with the lowest $p$-values) were in regions previously identified by linkage studies and candidate gene studies, namely, the HLA region and insulin. Of critical importance, however, was the identification of $>40$ different genetic regions with highly significant association (Pociot et al. 2010). Although the ORs conferred by these non-HLA-associated regions were quite modest, generally ranging from 1.1–1.3, the identification of these regions, each with a plausible candidate gene, provided significant insight into the pathological process that can lead to T1D. Not unexpectedly, most of these genes identified by GWAS had immunological functions, whereas some had metabolic functions (Pociot et al. 2010).

This powerful approach of using a dense panel of SNPs was recently applied to the analysis of the entire HLA region ($\sim$4 Mb). This region is highly gene-rich, with many of the genes having known immunological functions. The hypothesis-free strategy could address the
fundamental question of whether variation at the classical HLA loci was, in fact, the major risk determinant in the HLA region and potentially identify any other significantly associated gene regions. The results from this approach showed that the major peak of association was with SNPs in the DR-DQ region and, following adjustment for DR-DQ in the conditional logistic regression model, the next-highest peak was in the HLA-B region (Howson et al. 2009a). Following adjustment for HLA-B, the next-highest peaks were for SNPs in the HLA-A and DP regions.

GWAS studies based on SNP chips query common variants in the genome. With the development of high-throughput “next-generation DNA sequencing” technologies, researchers are now beginning to examine the effects of rare variants, with the idea that multiple low-penetrance rare variants in a locus may combine to create a phenotype. In other words, a single, common, causative polymorphism may not exist for a given susceptibility locus, but a cluster of rare variants may indicate a susceptibility locus. In addition, the diploid nature of the human genome is largely overlooked by traditional GWAS studies, in which the phase of SNPs on a chromosome cannot be determined (Tewhey et al. 2011). If, for example, two inactivating mutations are found in a single gene, knowing whether or not they reside on the same chromosome leads to different interpretations of the data. Both mutations on the same copy of the gene would indicate one dysfunctional and one functional allele, whereas a mutation on each chromosome would indicate two dysfunctional alleles. New sequencing technologies are addressing this issue by producing long sequence reads from single molecules, or by physical separation of chromosomes before sequencing. The results of studies of rare variants and phase-specific sequencing will represent yet another step forward in our understanding of the complexity of genetic susceptibility to T1D.

CONCLUSIONS

More than 35 years have passed since the first genetic associations with T1D were reported. After decades of research and thousands of reports, HLA remains, by far, the strongest predictor of T1D risk. However, the complexity of the genetics of T1D is far greater than might have been predicted by the early reports. “HLA” does not refer to a single genetic locus, but, rather, to a region of the genome that includes genes encoding three classical HLA class II and three classical class I antigens as well as a number of other genes whose products may also influence susceptibility. Polymorphisms in genes outside the HLA region, most notably the insulin and PTPN22 genes, also influence T1D susceptibility but to a far lesser extent than the classical HLA loci. The importance of considering HLA context in the analysis of genetic association data from non-HLA loci cannot be overstated.

The genes known to affect T1D susceptibility can be grouped into three general categories: immune function, insulin expression, and β-cell function. Most of the T1D susceptibility loci encode products that function in the immune response. After HLA, the strongest susceptibility locus is in the insulin gene itself, in which promoter polymorphisms affect insulin expression levels. Other candidate loci are involved in β-cell function.

Although much is known about the effects of certain HLA alleles on disease risk, the extreme polymorphism of the HLA loci, with new alleles still being discovered, and the LD in the HLA region, complicates association analyses. In addition, the exact biological mechanism of HLA-conferred susceptibility remains elusive. The highest genetic risk for T1D in Caucasians is conferred by the heterozygous genotype DR3/DR4, in which the DR4 is not DRB1*04:03, and the DR4 haplotype contains the DQB1*03:02 allele. This may be owing to the set of DQ heterodimers that are encoded in trans in the genotype. Or it may be because of factors that are not yet understood. In any case, the risk conferred by the DR3/DR4 genotype is so high that other susceptibility loci appear to have little or no effect. Separating non-DR3/DR4 individuals from the DR3/DR4 high-risk group has been useful in revealing other susceptibility loci. Genetic susceptibility to T1D that is not attributable to classical HLA, INS, and PTPN22...
Genes appears to be conferred by many loci, each of which has a small (OR 1.1–1.3) effect. Loci identified to date have been identified through LD with common SNPs (minor allele frequency = 5% or greater). New sequencing technologies will allow identification of rare variants that may, in combination, reveal additional T1D susceptibility loci, and phase-specific sequencing data will allow better assessment of the biological basis of observed genetic associations.

Although much is known about the genetics of susceptibility to T1D, more data are needed to completely unravel this tangled web.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Dr. Ana Maria Valdes for assistance with figure preparation and to Dr. Nancy Keller for assistance with literature searches.

REFERENCES


ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Dr. Ana Maria Valdes for assistance with figure preparation and to Dr. Nancy Keller for assistance with literature searches.

REFERENCES


genes appears to be conferred by many loci, each of which has a small (OR 1.1–1.3) effect. Loci identified to date have been identified through LD with common SNPs (minor allele frequency = 5% or greater). New sequencing technologies will allow identification of rare variants that may, in combination, reveal additional T1D susceptibility loci, and phase-specific sequencing data will allow better assessment of the biological basis of observed genetic associations.

Although much is known about the genetics of susceptibility to T1D, more data are needed to completely unravel this tangled web.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Dr. Ana Maria Valdes for assistance with figure preparation and to Dr. Nancy Keller for assistance with literature searches.

REFERENCES


ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Dr. Ana Maria Valdes for assistance with figure preparation and to Dr. Nancy Keller for assistance with literature searches.

REFERENCES
J.A. Noble and H.A. Erlich


Cite this article as *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 2012;2:a007732.


# Genetics of Type 1 Diabetes

Janelle A. Noble and Henry A. Erlich

*Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 2012; doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a007732

## Subject Collection  Type 1 Diabetes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The Pathogenesis and Natural History of Type 1 Diabetes</strong></td>
<td>Mark A. Atkinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Do MHCII-Presented Neoantigens Drive Type 1 Diabetes and Other Autoimmune Diseases?</strong></td>
<td>Philippa Marrack and John W. Kappler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical Immunologic Interventions for the Treatment of Type 1 Diabetes</strong></td>
<td>Lucienne Chatenoud, Katharina Warncke and Anette-G. Ziegler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Update on Islet Transplantation</strong></td>
<td>Michael McCall and A.M. James Shapiro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Immunologic and Metabolic Biomarkers of β-Cell Destruction in the Diagnosis of Type 1 Diabetes</strong></td>
<td>Jasmin Lebastchi and Kevan C. Herold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Breakdown in Peripheral Tolerance in Type 1 Diabetes in Mice and Humans</strong></td>
<td>Lukas T. Jeker, Hélène Bour-Jordan and Jeffrey A. Bluestone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antigen-Specific Therapeutic Approaches in Type 1 Diabetes</strong></td>
<td>Xavier Clemente-Casares, Sue Tsai, Carol Huang, et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Humoral Autoimmunity in Type 1 Diabetes: Prediction, Significance, and Detection of Distinct Disease Subtypes</strong></td>
<td>Massimo Pietropaolo, Roberto Towns and George S. Eisenbarth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress, Pancreatic β-Cell Degeneration, and Diabetes</strong></td>
<td>Feroz R. Papa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Islet Autoantigens: Structure, Function, Localization, and Regulation</strong></td>
<td>Peter Arvan, Massimo Pietropaolo, David Ostrov, et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Environmental Triggers of Type 1 Diabetes</strong></td>
<td>Mikael Knip and Olli Simell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Generating β Cells from Stem Cells—The Story So Far</strong></td>
<td>Matthias Hebrok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antigen Targets of Type 1 Diabetes Autoimmunity</strong></td>
<td>Bart O. Roep and Mark Peakman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Connecting Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes through Innate Immunity</strong></td>
<td>Justin I. Odegaard and Ajay Chawla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The Hygiene Hypothesis: An Explanation for the Increased Frequency of Insulin-Dependent Diabetes</strong></td>
<td>Jean-François Bach and Lucienne Chatenoud</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For additional articles in this collection, see [http://perspectivesinmedicine.cshlp.org/cgi/collection/](http://perspectivesinmedicine.cshlp.org/cgi/collection/)