Connecting Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes through Innate Immunity

Justin I. Odegaard¹ and Ajay Chawla²

¹Department of Pathology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California 94305
²Cardiovascular Research Institute, Department of Physiology, and Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California 94158

Correspondence: ajay.chawla@ucsf.edu

The escalating epidemic of obesity has driven the prevalence of both type 1 and 2 diabetes mellitus to historically high levels. Chronic low-grade inflammation, which is present in both type 1 and type 2 diabetics, contributes to the pathogenesis of insulin resistance. The accumulation of activated innate immune cells in metabolic tissues results in release of inflammatory mediators, in particular, IL-1β and TNFα, which promote systemic insulin resistance and β-cell damage. In this article, we discuss the central role of innate immunity and, in particular, the macrophage in insulin sensitivity and resistance, β-cell damage, and autoimmune insulitis. We conclude with a discussion of the therapeutic implications of this integrated understanding of diabetic pathology.

The past 30 years have borne witness to one of the most dramatic phenotypic shifts in humankind’s history. We have, in an evolutionary eyeflash, become fat. Within a single generation, the obesity epidemic has swept from Western cultures into the developing world, leaving behind an estimated 1.5 billion overweight individuals, of which 500 million are clinically obese (Flegal et al. 2010). The public health burden associated with our waxing waistlines is staggering. In 2000 alone, an estimated 400,000 people died from obesity-related diseases in the United States alone, putting obesity on par with smoking in terms of lives lost (Mokdad et al. 2004, 2005).

These grim trends have been more quietly paralleled by a similarly dramatic increase in type 1 diabetes incidence. Type 1 diabetes incidence has more than doubled in the past 20 years and is set to double again before 2020 (Patterson et al. 2009). Unexpectedly, however, the surplus incidence is not uniformly distributed. The incidence of type 1 diabetes in high-risk HLA types over the past 20 years has remained stable, whereas the contemporaneous incidence in medium-, low-, and very-low-risk genotypes has increased by twofold, threefold, and sevenfold, respectively (Fourlanos et al. 2008b). Importantly, these “surplus” type 1 diabetics show a remarkable tendency toward obesity and insulin resistance, often meeting full criteria for type 2 diabetes and mimicking the trends seen in obesity-related type 2 diabetes (Fourlanos et al. 2008b). Indeed, prospective
cohort studies have shown that children who go on to develop type 1 diabetes are heavier than their peers who remain free of disease (Baum et al. 1975; Johansson et al. 1994; Hypponen et al. 1999, 2000; Bruining 2000) and that within type 1 diabetics, increasing BMI strongly correlates with earlier presentation (Kibirige et al. 2003; Betts et al. 2005; Knerr et al. 2005; Kordonouri and Hartmann 2005; Dabelea et al. 2006). Moreover, obesity-related insulin resistance not only precedes clinical disease but is also the strongest predictor of type 1 diabetes aside from HLA genotype (Baum et al. 1975; Johansson et al. 1994; Hypponen et al. 1999, 2000; Bruining 2000; Xu et al. 2007; Fourlanos et al. 2008a). Finally, insulin-sensitizing drugs and interventions (e.g., weight loss, exercise) are effective in preventing, delaying, and even partially reversing type 1 disease (Kjems et al. 2003; Miller and Silverstein 2006; Kilpatrick et al. 2007; Moon et al. 2007; Neovius et al. 2008).

These data exemplify fundamental shifts in diabetes demographics in which expanding Western waistlines have blurred the once crisp distinction between type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Indeed, Himsworth’s world in which type 1 diabetes was epitomized by the spindly child and type 2 diabetes limited to the corpulent middle-aged gourmand no longer exists (Himsworth 1939). Some recent series, for example, record more than half of all newly diagnosed type 1 diabetics as adults, many of whom meet criteria for metabolic syndrome (Molbak et al. 1994; Thorn et al. 2005), whereas type 2 diabetes is now a common disease of children, many of whom are positive for anti-β-cell antibodies. The startling prevalence of patients, children in particular, meeting criteria for both type 1 and type 2 diabetes has left a confused flurry of vague terminology in its wake: “double diabetes,” “type 1.5 diabetes,” “hybrid diabetes,” “latent autoimmune diabetes of the young (LADY) and of the adult (LADA),” and so on (Pozzilli and Guglielmi 2009; Wilkin 2009). Irrespective of classification schema, the advent of near-ubiquitous obesity has expanded the once tight circles of type 1 and type 2 diabetes to a degree that obesity-related insulin resistance has become a driving etiologic force across the diabetes spectrum.

Recognition of insulin resistance’s catastrophic health sequelae has engendered intense interest in its pathophysiology and led to the identification of literally hundreds of dietary, behavioral, and environmental disease modifiers (Kahn and Flier 2000; Shulman 2000; Welling and Hotamisligil 2005, 2006; Qatanani and Lazar 2007; Olefsky and Glass 2010). Despite the daunting complexity of inputs and modifiers, the vast majority converge on a single sentinel pathophysiology: chronic, low-level inflammation (Hotamisligil 2006; Shoelson et al. 2006; Odegaard and Chawla 2008; Olefsky and Glass 2010; Lumeng and Saltiel 2011). In this context, innate immunity has emerged as a primary determinant of obesity-related pathology including the full spectrum of diabetic disease. In this article, we discuss the central role of innate immunity—and of the macrophage, in particular—in insulin sensitivity and resistance, β-cell damage, and autoimmune insulinitis. We conclude with a discussion of the therapeutic implications of this integrated understanding of diabetic pathology.

INSULIN PRODUCTION AND INSULIN RESISTANCE

Glucose homeostasis is generally achieved through a balance of input (e.g., dietary, glucoseogenesis) and tissue uptake/utilization (e.g., storage as fat/glycogen or oxidation) coordinated by the β-cells of the pancreas through the production of insulin (Saltiel and Kahn 2001; Taniguchi et al. 2006; Qatanani and Lazar 2007). Insulin itself is a polypeptide with diverse and pleiotropic effects across nearly every tissue type in the body, where, in addition to its well-appreciated metabolic effects, it also regulates fundamental cellular programs like growth, proliferation, and apoptosis (Taniguchi et al. 2006). With regard to glycemia, however, three target tissues have primacy: fat, liver, and skeletal muscle (Saltiel and Kahn 2001). These organs represent an individual’s primary storage, production, and oxidation pathways, respectively, and together modulate glycemia. As sites
of insulin’s metabolic action, these same tissues are also the primary determinants of insulin resistance (Kahn and Flier 2000; Shulman 2000; Qatanani and Lazar 2007). However, although the base effect is shared among them, insulin resistance in each specific tissue manifests itself clinically in very different ways. For example, insulin resistance in the liver is responsible for elevated fasting serum glucose, a key clinical criterion for the diagnosis of type 2 diabetes, owing to the inability of insulin to suppress hepatic glucose production while lipid biosynthesis remains intact (Brown and Goldstein 2008). In contrast, insulin resistance in adipose tissue and skeletal muscle manifests as elevated lipolysis and glucose intolerance, respectively, resulting in hyperlipidemia, hyperglycemia, and compensatory hyperinsulinemia (Kahn and Flier 2000; Shulman 2000).

INSULIN RESISTANCE AND β-CELL LOSS

The pancreas itself is another target of insulin resistance, albeit in an indirect fashion. With mounting insulin resistance, blood glucose concentrations progressively rise because of the functional loss of insulin action in the periphery, which, in turn, leads to compensatory and progressive hyperinsulinemia. The natural history of this pathophysiology is mounting β-cell stress, eventual β-cell exhaustion, and frank diabetes (Kahn and Flier 2000; Shulman 2000). In support of this, many recent candidate genes implicated in type 2 diabetes by genome-wide association studies (e.g., INS, KCNJ11, and TCF7L2) are expressed not in insulin target tissues but in the islet itself (McCarthy and Hattersley 2008). This denouement of insulin resistance has always been seen as the end-stage pathology of type 2 diabetes; however, evidence reviewed elsewhere in this collection shows that this pathway operates in parallel with, and licenses immune destruction of, β-cell mass across the diabetes spectrum.

Three mechanisms in particular couple insulin resistance to β-cell depletion and, indirectly, immune attack: glucotoxicity, lipotoxicity, and inflammation (Fig. 1). The elevated levels of glucose and lipids, particularly saturated fatty acids, that are characteristic of insulin resistance synergize at the level of the β-cell to drive parallel increases in FAS expression, activating NK cell ligands (e.g., RA-E1, NKP46-ligand), reactive oxygen species, and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, all of which culminate in IL-1β secretion and apoptosis (Lee et al. 1994; Unger 1995; Harding and Ron 2002; Ogasawara et al. 2004; Hotamisligil 2010; Mandrup-Poulsen et al. 2010). Importantly, IL-1β has been a known mediator of β-cell dysfunction and death for more than 25 years (Mandrup-Poulsen et al. 1985) and is potentiated by TNFs and IFNγ (Eizirik 1988; Pukel et al. 1988), both of which are present at high levels under conditions of insulin resistance. Indeed, β-cells are uniquely susceptible to IL-1β’s effects as they express higher levels of IL-1R1 than any other cell type in the body (Boni-Schnetzler et al. 2009). Engagement of the IL-1R1 results in activation of NF-κB, MAPK, PKCδ, and JNK signaling pathways (Maedler et al. 2011), resulting in direct promotion of apoptosis and FAS up-regulation (Elouil et al. 2005), as well as the inhibition of insulin signaling, which is critical for optimal β-cell function (Maedler et al. 2011). In addition, IL-1β signaling results in the production of pro-inflammatory mediators that act in a feed-forward paracrine/paracrine manner in β-cells and local innate immune cells to amplify these effects.

The net result of these processes is an islet microenvironment replete with a damaged and vulnerable β-cell mass, copious antigenic β-cell debris, and a phlogistically primed local innate immune response. The denouement of this inflammatory milieu is the licensing of a lymphocyte-driven autoimmune assault on the remaining β-cell pool. Whether this licensing occurs early in the disease course, when much of the β-cell mass remains (typical in type 1 diabetes), or late, after much of the β-cell mass has been degraded by long-standing insulin resistance (typical in type 2 diabetes), it is clear that the terminal mechanisms of β-cell failure are identical. As such, the coupling of insulin resistance to exhaustion, direct toxicity, and autoimmune destruction of the β-cell provides a mechanism by which the obesity
Figure 1. Insulin resistance damages β-cells and leads to autoimmune insulinitis. (A) In lean, insulin-sensitive individuals, normal insulin secretion is sufficient to induce robust uptake of glucose from the circulation by skeletal muscle and adipose tissue, to inhibit free fatty acid (FFA) release from adipose tissue, and to suppress hepatic gluconeogenesis. In such individuals, the adipose tissue macrophages and Kupffer cells have an alternative bias, resulting in expression of interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (IL1-Ra) and suppression of IL-1β. The resulting serologic state is characterized by relatively low concentrations of insulin, glucose, FFAs, and inflammatory mediators (e.g., IL-1β) and high levels of regulatory cytokines (e.g., IL-1Ra). (See facing page for legend.)
epidemic is driving the incidence of both type 1 and type 2 diabetes to historic levels.

INFLAMMATION AND INSULIN RESISTANCE

These data advance insulin resistance to the fore as one of the primary pathophysiological determinants of diabetes, and considerable effort has been expended to define the mechanisms and origins of this process (Kahn and Flier 2000; Shulman 2003; Hotamisligil 2003; Odegaard and Chawla 2008; Olefsky and Glass 2010). These determinants can be classified into cell intrinsic and extrinsic effects. Broadly speaking, cell-intrinsic effects comprise ER stress, intracellular lipid deposition/imbalance, mitochondrial dysfunction, oxidative stress, and anabolic demand, whereas circulating cytokines and adipokines, serum fatty acid composition, and hypoxia are the dominant extrinsic pathways that modulate peripheral insulin signaling (Qatanani and Lazar 2007). Despite their biological diversity, a striking majority of these determinants converge on the common pathway of inflammation. Both cell-intrinsic and cell-extrinsic pathways drive intracellular signaling cascades that converge on one or more of a handful of key inflammatory mediators, which, in turn, directly impinge on the insulin signaling pathway (Qatanani and Lazar 2007). Although the connection between inflammation and insulin resistance has been postulated for decades, the first direct evidence emerged in the early 1990s with the demonstration that TNFα, a known inflammatory mediator, was (1) present in fat in levels proportional to insulin resistance in obese animals and individuals, (2) necessary for obesity-related insulin resistance, and (3) sufficient to recapitulate insulin resistance in lean, otherwise insulin-sensitive animals (Hotamisligil et al. 1993, 1996). These seminal findings firmly established the relationship between insulin resistance and inflammation within the fat and unleashed a torrent of association studies indicting insulin resistance.
resistance as a bona fide inflammatory disorder. Insulin resistance has been associated with elevated serum levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, IL-12, and TNFα), chemokines (e.g., MCP-1, RANTES, and MIP-1), acute phase reactants (e.g., C-reactive protein, serum amyloid A, and ferritin), and insulin resistance–associated adipokines (e.g., retinol binding protein-4 and resistin), as well as with decreased serum levels of the so-called negative acute-phase reactants (e.g., transferrin, and C-reactive protein), insulin sensitivity–associated adipokines (e.g., adiponectin, visfatin, omentin, and vaspin), and Th2-type cytokines (IL-4, IL-10) (Shoelson et al. 2006; Qatanani and Lazar 2007; Olefsky and Glass 2010).

MACROPHAGES IN OBESITY

Despite this extensive characterization effort, it was not until almost a decade later that the first systematic approaches to characterizing the role of the innate immune activation were published. Profiling studies of visceral fat from lean and obese animals showed that rather than a passive energy repository, adipose tissue teems with hematopoietic cells whose activation states and functionalities vary with the nutritional status of the organism (Hotamisligil 2006). However, despite the presence of T-cells and B-cells, NK cells, DCs, NKT cells, eosinophils, mast cells, and basophils in obese adipose tissue, macrophages are numerically and functionally dominant. Macrophages comprise ~10%–15% of
all cells within lean visceral adipose tissue and expand tremendously with obesity, where they account for a staggering 45%–60% of all cells (Weisberg et al. 2003; Xu et al. 2003). Importantly, this numerical expansion is accompanied by a marked phenotypic transition as well: adipose tissue–associated macrophages isolated from lean animals express a distinct bias toward alternative activation, which is swapped for a pro-inflammatory classical bent with the acquisition of obesity (Lumeng et al. 2007a; Odegaard et al. 2007). Similarly, liver-associated macrophages—Kupffer cells—isolated from lean animals are markedly alternatively biased, whereas those from obese animals are polarized in the opposite direction of classical activation (Odegaard et al. 2008). To appreciate the significance of this transition, we must first briefly discuss the physiological implications of the classical and alternative activation phenotypes.

**Macrophage Activation**

Macrophages, in their canonical role as sentinels of the innate immune system, are responsible for sensing, integrating, and responding appropriately to myriad stimuli in their tissue milieu. Despite the protean nature of these stimuli, macrophage activity is channeled through two distinct response patterns designated as classical (M1) and alternative (M2) activation (Gordon 2003). These programs constitute the stereotyped response to bacterial and parasitic infection, respectively: Classical activation results in short-lived, highly inflammatory macrophages with potent bactericidal potential, whereas alternative activation is associated with enduring anti-parasitic and regulatory/reparative responses (Martinez et al. 2009; Odegaard and Chawla 2011). Classically activated macrophages secrete large amounts of pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, IL-12, TNFα), express high levels of costimulatory molecules important in T-cell activation (e.g., MHC, CD40, CD86), and produce bactericidal mediators, such as nitric oxide, via Nos2 (Gordon 2003). Conversely, alternatively activated cells have a distinct secretory phenotype (e.g., IL-10, TGFβ, Chi3l3, Retnla), express numerous pattern recognition receptors (e.g., mannose receptor, dectin, CD301), and metabolize arginine to produce biosynthetic precursors (e.g., polyamines, proline) via arginase 1 (Martinez et al. 2009; Odegaard and Chawla 2011).

Although these activation state definitions rest on the macrophage’s role in host defense, further study has defined their critical function in non-immunological contexts as well. For example, the classical phenotype is implicated in numerous inflammatory and metabolic diseases, whereas alternative activation is associated with wound healing, tissue remodeling, metabolic homeostasis, and atopic disease (Gordon 2003; Odegaard and Chawla 2011). Although the classical–alternative dichotomy has been studied in numerous contexts, few instances are as instructive as the tissue–macrophage relationship in metabolic disease.

**Adipose Tissue Macrophages, Inflammation, and Insulin Resistance**

Aside from the observational data presented above, at least four distinct lines of evidence implicate the macrophage as the nexus of inflammatory insulin resistance (Fig. 3). First, ablation of CD11c+ inflammatory macrophages using CD11c-DTR transgenic mice improves insulin sensitivity without altering adipose tissue mass in obese animals (Patsouris et al. 2008). Second, interference with inflammatory macrophage recruitment through genetic or pharmacologic disruption of C–C motif chemokine receptor-2 (CCR2) results in protection against obesity-related insulin resistance and hepatic steatosis (Weisberg et al. 2006; Ito et al. 2008). Third, transgenic mice expressing Ccl2, a ligand for CCR2, in adipocytes have macrophage infiltration of their adipose tissue that is associated with increased insulin resistance (Kamei et al. 2006; Kanda et al. 2006). Lastly, hematopoietic-specific loss of JNK1 and myeloid-specific loss of IKKβ, both interventions that render macrophages inflammatory effete, preclude the development of obesity-related insulin resistance (Arkan et al. 2005; Solinas et al. 2007).
Triggers for Classical Activation

Several lines of evidence link well-described events early in obesity with classical macrophage activation. Obesity is characterized by the expansion of adipose tissue: Adipose tissue depots expand approximately fivefold to 10-fold over their lean mass. This massive hypertrophy induces necrosis of adipocytes due to excessive ER stress and hypoxia, as the expanding tissue outgrows its vascular supply (Hotamisligil 2006; Rutkowski et al. 2009), providing a potent inflammatory stimuli for macrophages (Savill and Fadok 2000; Savill et al. 2002). Indeed,
temporal analyses of obesity reveal a correlation between the appearance of necrotic adipocytes surrounded by inflammatory CD11c⁺ macrophages and the onset of clinical insulin resistance (Cinti et al. 2005; Strissel et al. 2007).

Another hallmark of developing obesity is dysregulation of fatty acid homeostasis, which is usually associated with high-risk dietary patterns (e.g., refined sugars and saturated fatty acid consumption). Interestingly, the same saturated fatty acids that come to dominate the obese lipid milieu are capable of activating Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4), a sensor evolutionarily tuned to structurally similar bacterial lipids such as lipopolysaccharide, to produce an inflammatory response (Konner and Bruning 2011). Indeed, infusion of saturated fatty acids alone is sufficient to induce acute insulin resistance in the absence of obesity (Shi et al. 2006), whereas genetic deletion of TLR4 in experimental animals protects against diet-induced insulin resistance (Saberi et al. 2009). Accompanying this shift in fatty acid composition, escalating ceramide biosynthesis also contributes to inflammatory activation (Vandannagars et al. 2011).

**Inflammasome Activation**

Many inflammatory signaling cascades, including those provoked by ER stress, hypoxia, necrotic cellular debris, and ceramide dysregulation, converge on the inflammasome, a multiprotein complex critical for the production and secretion of IL-1β and IL-18 (Petrilli et al. 2007; Chen and Nunez 2010). Indeed, adipose tissue inflammasome activation, both in adipocytes and macrophages, parallels the development of obesity, resulting in IL-1β-mediated inflammatory insulin resistance, whereas its inhibition is effective in control of obesity-related metabolic pathology (Stienstra et al. 2010; Zhou et al. 2010; Vandannagars et al. 2011). Interestingly, as obesity progresses and peripheral insulin resistance builds, inflammasome activation is also demonstrable within islet-infiltrating macrophages and the β-cell itself and is correlated with IL-1β production (Boni-Schnetzer et al. 2008; Maedler et al. 2008; Masters et al. 2010). In keeping with this, treatment of type 2 diabetic patients with anakinra, a competitive IL-1R antagonist, decreases markers of systemic inflammation and improves glycemic control and secretory function of the β-cells (Larsen et al. 2007).

**Macrophage Recruitment**

In addition to the acquisition of an inflammatory phenotype, obesity is also accompanied by a marked increase in macrophage representation, which, in the context of increasing adipose tissue mass, protects against diet-related insulin resistance (Weisberg et al. 2003). Among the recruitment factors, CCL2-mediated ingress of Ly6C⁺CCR2⁺ monocytes, which selectively differentiate into classically activated macrophages, plays a dominant role in trafficking of adipose tissue macrophages (Kamei et al. 2006; Kanda et al. 2006; Weisberg et al. 2006). Congruent with this view, disruption of either CCL2 or CCR2, as mentioned previously, protects against diet-related insulin resistance (Fig. 3).

**ISLET INFLAMMATION AND MACROPHAGES**

Emerging data suggest that the macrophage's diabetogenic role extends into the islet itself in a manner strikingly similar to that observed in the adipose tissue. For instance, both adipose tissue and islets isolated from both type 1 and type 2 diabetics are characterized by abnormally high macrophage representation in human subjects and animal models (Hutchings et al. 1990; Ehses et al. 2007; Ferrante 2007; Uno et al. 2007; Richardson et al. 2009). In both instances, this macrophage infiltration parallels the development of peripheral insulin resistance and precedes the emergence of islet-specific immune responses (Dahlen et al. 1998). Furthermore, increasing macrophage representation within these tissues is correlated with the expression of classical activation markers including IL-1β and TNFα, which abrogate insulin secretion and signaling in the islet and peripheral tissues, respectively (Arnush et al. 1998; Dahlen et al. 1998; Weisberg et al. 2003; Lumeng et al. 2007b). Accordingly,
inhibition of macrophage recruitment effectively blunts development and progression of type 1 and type 2 diabetes (Hutchings et al. 1990; Weisberg et al. 2006).

**ADAPTIVE IMMUNITY AND INSULIN RESISTANCE**

Although the macrophage is the dominant effector of metabolic inflammation, supporting roles have been ascribed to other innate immune lineages, such as mast cells (Liu et al. 2009), as well as to the adaptive immune system. Interestingly, T-cell and B-cell involvement in peripheral insulin resistance follows a temporal course parallel to that which occurs in the islet during developing autoimmune β-cell destruction. As obesity-related insulin resistance develops, adipocyte cell death and innate immune activation provide antigenic stimuli similar to those derived from the inflamed islet (Fig. 4). Specifically, obesity-related insulin resistance is accompanied by a phenotypic shift from tolerogenic regulatory T-cells to pro-inflammatory CD4⁺ T⁺ cells that contribute to the phlogistic milieu primarily through production of IFNγ (Feuerer et al. 2009; Winer et al. 2009). Similar to the islet, these cells express a limited T-cell receptor (TCR) repertoire, suggesting antigen-specific clonal expansion, although no bona fide autoreactive T-cells have yet been shown (Winer et al. 2009). Moreover, CD8⁺ T-cells, although not demonstrably cytolytic in this context, accumulate in inflamed adipose tissue, contribute to local inflammation, and are capable of exacerbating existing disease (Nishimura et al. 2009). Interestingly, T-cell depletion in obese mice by anti-CD3 immunotherapy resulted in sustained improvements in insulin resistance without significant alteration in weight (Winer et al. 2009), similar to its effect in the islet in diabetic mice (Chatenoud 1994, 2010; Chatenoud et al. 1994, 1997).

In parallel with T-cells, B2 lymphocytes accumulate in the adipose tissue of obese individuals and mice, leading to increased local and systemic IgG2c levels (Fig. 4) (Winer et al. 2011). Remarkably, transfer of serum IgG but not IgM from obese mice is sufficient to induce insulin resistance in naive, B-cell-deficient animals (Winer et al. 2011). Indeed, serologic profiling of human subjects revealed significantly higher levels of antibodies targeting intracellular antigens in diabetic individuals relative to healthy controls. Congruent with these observations, B-cell depletion through anti-CD20 immunotherapy ameliorates metabolic disease (Winer et al. 2011), similar to those results observed clinically in type 1 diabetics (Pescovitz et al. 2009).

**ALTERNATIVELY ACTIVATED MACРОPHAGES AND INSULIN SENSITIVITY**

Although much of the last two decades have been spent maligning the role of innate immunity in metabolic homeostasis, evidence of a positive influence is also emerging. The foremost example of innate immunity’s beneficial potential is found in the tissue macrophage. In our rush to demonize this cell for its central role in insulin resistance, we neglect the key observation that the adipose tissue and liver of lean, insulin-sensitive individuals are rife with macrophages—≏10%–15% of all healthy liver and adipose tissue cells are macrophages (Gordon et al. 1992; Weisberg et al. 2003; Lumeng et al. 2007a). Adipose tissue macrophages from lean adipose tissue, rather than being simply not inflammatory, are significantly activated along the alternative pathway (Lumeng et al. 2007a; Odegaard et al. 2007). Similarly, Kupffer cells from lean animals express markers of alternative activation, which they swap for an inflammatory profile in obesity (Odegaard et al. 2008). This hitherto unexpected activation-state diversity raises an important question: What are large numbers of alternatively activated macrophages doing in non-inflamed liver and fat?

**Transcriptional Regulation of Alternative Macrophage Activation**

The first experiments addressing this question were derived from early studies of transcriptional determinants of macrophage alternative activation (Fig. 5). These studies showed that
although STAT6 was the dominant transcriptional initiator of alternative activation, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors PPARγ and PPARδ—nuclear receptors hitherto recognized only as the body’s fatty acid sensors—were identified as critical for sustaining the response (Odegaard et al. 2007, 2008; Kang et al. 2008). In the absence of PPARγ, murine macrophages are incapable of affecting the metabolic shift required for sustained alternative response (Vats et al. 2006; Odegaard et al. 2007), whereas PPARδ deficiency disrupts the macrophage’s ability to coordinate and sustain the immunologic phenotype of alternative activation (Kang et al. 2008; Odegaard et al. 2008). Either’s absence is then sufficient to abrogate any durable alternative response in vitro. In vivo, however, a surprising phenotypical nuance was

Figure 4. Cross talk between innate and adaptive immune cells in obese adipose tissue. Overnutrition results in necrotic death of engorged adipocytes, resulting in recruitment of classically activated macrophages to clear cellular debris. These classically activated macrophages, which express molecules associated with antigen-presenting cells (MHC class II, CD1d, costimulatory molecules, and CD11c), are potentially capable of presenting necrotic cell-derived antigens to T-cells and B-cells. This will activate adaptive immunity, resulting in clonal expansion of CD4⁺ Th1 cells and recruitment of CD8⁺ T-cells. Secretion of chemotactic factors by CD8⁺ T-cells and IFNγ by CD4⁺ Th1 cells increases recruitment and classical activation of adipose tissue macrophages, respectively, thereby establishing a vicious cycle of inflammation. The concomitant reduction in numbers of immunosuppressive Treg cells contributes to the adipose tissue inflammation and insulin resistance. Lastly, B-cells, which are capable of presenting antigens to naive T-cells, infiltrate obese adipose tissue and secrete IgG2c antibodies, factors that worsen insulin resistance. (This figure is from Chawla et al. 2011; reprinted, with express permission, from the author.)
uncovered: macrophage-specific PPARγ deficiency preferentially abolishes alternative activation in visceral adipose tissue macrophages, but loss of PPARδ in the hematopoietic system appears to more potently affect Kupffer cell alternative activation capacity (Kang et al. 2008; Odegaard et al. 2008). Importantly, both strains of mice show similar and marked decreases in insulin sensitivity and increased weight gain when challenged with a high-fat diet (Hevener et al. 2007; Odegaard et al. 2007, 2008; Kang et al. 2008), showing that the absence of alternatively activated macrophages impairs insulin signaling even in the absence of established inflammation.

Subsequent mouse models with genetically distinct impairments in macrophage alternative activation (e.g., KLF4-deficient mice) have shown similar phenotypes (Liao et al. 2011), whereas augmentation of the alternative response (e.g., through impaired mineralocorticoid receptor function) has the converse effect (Guo et al. 2008; McManus et al. 2008; Usher et al. 2010). Congruent with these findings, wholesale ablation of Kupffer cells in lean mice results in a similar insulin-resistant phenotype despite a...
reduction in inflammation (Clementi et al. 2009; Huang et al. 2010). Further studies have since shown insulin sensitivity-promoting roles for the alternative macrophage in restraining diabeticogenic lymphocytes (Winer et al. 2009), remodeling adipose tissue (Gordon 2003; Martinez et al. 2009), and the immunologically silent disposal of apoptotic cells (Mukundan et al. 2009).

**Eosinophils: IL-4-Producing Cells in Adipose Tissue**

The unexpected, Janus-like ability of macrophages to promote insulin sensitivity as well as resistance established a paradigm under which other immunological determinants of insulin sensitivity were actively sought. To this end, differential profiling of other hematopoietic subsets in adipose tissue from lean and obese animals uncovered a striking correlation between eosinophil representation, alternative macrophage activation in adipose tissue, and the obesity–insulin resistance disease axis (Fig. 5). Indeed, eosinophil number shows a tight correlation to macrophage activation status and is inversely related to body mass, insulin resistance, and inflammatory markers (Wu et al. 2011). Furthermore, eosinophil-deficient mice show an alarming propensity to obesity and insulin resistance (Wu et al. 2011).

**CONCLUSION**

The diabetogenic environment has changed dramatically in recent decades such that our traditional dualistic concept of type 1 and type 2 diabetes is no longer operable. Rather, obesity, inactivity, dietary indiscretion, and other environmental trappings of the modern world have positioned inflammatory insulin resistance as the driving factor across the diabetic spectrum (Fig. 1). Indeed, perhaps the most common presentation of type 1 diabetes is now in a young type 2 diabetic.

Recognition of inflammation-induced insulin resistance as a primary etiologic determinant across the modern diabetes landscape has important therapeutic implications. Indeed, as we have discussed above, therapeutic approaches originally limited to type 2 diabetes—for example, weight loss, exercise, and insulin-sensitizing agents—have shown remarkable efficacy in preventing/slowing type 1 disease as well (Kjems et al. 2003; Miller and Silverstein 2006; Kilpatrick et al. 2007; Moon et al. 2007; Neovius et al. 2008; Pozzilli and Guglielmi 2009), confirming the validity of integrated diabetes therapy. With these approaches, therapies have begun not only to stave off relentless β-cell destruction but, for the first time, to actually rebuild β-cell mass by tapping the inherent regenerative capacity of β-cells (Meier et al. 2005). One salient example of such a resurrection therapy is the IL-1β-targeted therapeutics (Fig. 1). This class of agents—typified by anakinra, a competitive IL-1R antagonist—has shown remarkable promise in both type 1 and type 2 disease. As mentioned above, IL-1β—a pro-inflammatory mediator produced in spades in inflammatory insulin resistance—potently inhibits insulin secretion and induces β-cell apoptosis (Poitout and Robertson 2008) in addition to directly inhibiting insulin activity in the periphery (Yuan et al. 2001; Hirosumi et al. 2002; Arkan et al. 2005; Cai et al. 2005). Congruent with these observations, overexpression or exogenous administration of the naturally occurring inhibitor of IL-1β signaling, IL-1R antagonist (IL-1Ra), has shown potent therapeutic effect in animal models and pilot studies (Osborn et al. 2008; Sauter et al. 2008; Owyang et al. 2010) where, remarkably, these interventions not only halted disease progression but actually reversed β-cell loss (Tellez et al. 2005), showing that even a battered reserve of β-cells is sufficient to repopulate the islet. Indeed, a recent clinical trial confirmed the therapeutic efficacy of IL-1R antagonism in established type 2 diabetics (Larsen et al. 2007) and provided practical justification for similar efforts in progress in type 1 disease (Mandrup-Poulsen et al. 2010).

The efficacy of these integrated, innate immunity-targeted therapeutic approaches—in
addition to providing desperately needed therapeutic options—underscores the importance of inflammatory insulin resistance across the diabetic spectrum and reiterates the continued role of innate immunity in human health and disease.
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