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Because lymphocytes were shown to mediate transplant rejection, their depletion has been studied as a mechanism of preventing rejection and perhaps inducing immunologic tolerance. Agents that profoundly deplete lymphocytes have included monoclonal antibodies, cytotoxic drugs, and radiation. We have studied several such agents but focused on antibodies that deplete not only peripheral blood lymphocytes, but also lymph node lymphocytes. Depletion of lymph node T lymphocytes appears to permit peripheral tolerance at least for T cells in animal models. Nevertheless, B-cell responses may be resistant to such approaches, and T memory cells are likewise relatively resistant to depleting antibodies. We review the experimental and clinical approaches to depletion strategies and outline some of the pitfalls of depletion, such as limitations of currently available agents, duration of tolerance, infection, and malignancy. It is notable that most tolerogenic strategies that have been attempted experimentally and clinically include depleting agents even when they are not named as the underlying strategy. Thus, there is an implicitly acknowledged role for reducing the precursor frequency of donor antigen-specific lymphocytes when approaching the daunting goal of transplant tolerance.

Intense immunosuppressive treatment at the time of transplantation is categorized as an induction regimen in organ transplantation. The goals of induction therapy in transplantation have evolved from preventing acute rejection to allowing lower doses of conventional immunosuppression and eventually inducing T-cell non-responsiveness, also known as operational tolerance (Orlando et al. 2010). Induction therapy has been widely used in organ transplantation, involving 83% of renal transplant and 45% of heart transplant recipients in the United States in 2011 (Annual Report of the U.S. Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network and the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients 2011). The use of depletional agents as an induction therapy also has been growing; 59% of adult kidney transplant recipients and 18% of adult heart transplant recipients now receive lymphodepletion. Generally, depleting antibodies activate the classical complement cascade upon binding to the target antigen and induce complement-mediated cell lysis of cells expressing target antigen. Furthermore, phagocytic cells with Fc receptor (FcR) preferentially engulf antibody-coated cells through ACCC (antibody-dependent cell cytotoxicity). However, other modes of action could also induce
lymphocytic depletion, such as limiting survival factors of target cells.

Lymphocyte depletion prior to or beginning at the time of transplantation is beneficial in reducing maintenance immunosuppression (Calne et al. 1998, 1999; Swanson et al. 2002; Kirk et al. 2003; Starzl et al. 2003; Torrealba et al. 2003). Many depleting agents have been studied in animal models and clinical trials, and have been proven efficacious in reducing the rate of acute rejection when combined with maintenance regimens. Indeed, near-tolerance states were induced in many animal models. We showed, for example, that lymphodepletion by anti-CD3 immunotoxin (FN18-CRM9) prolongs renal allograft survival in the nonhuman primate renal transplantation model, but when combined with other immunosuppressive regimens it can induce long-term metastable tolerance (Torrealba et al. 2003, 2004). In human patients, toxicities of chronic calcineurin inhibitor use support the clinical need for depletional strategies (Torrealba et al. 2006). Depleting agents applied in conjunction with CNIs have shown fewer incidents of CNI-related side effects with similar outcomes in preventing acute rejection (Alexander et al. 2006).

This article provides an overview of lymphodepletion in organ transplantation (Fig. 1). We will discuss small and large animal transplantation models using depletional approaches, agents used in the clinic, and challenges to lymphodepletion, including protective immunity, homeostatic proliferation of recalcitrant memory populations, and humoral responses.

LYMPHODEPLETION IN MOUSE MODELS

The large precursor frequency of allospecific T cells among host T cells poses a significant challenge for transplantation. For that reason, lymphodepletion has become a common immunosuppressive strategy at the time of solid organ transplantation (Kwun et al. 2012a). Although this strategy has helped improve early graft survival, long-term outcomes after depletion are still afflicted with challenges. Animal models have been of great importance in exploring transplant immunology. The rodent model in particular provides essential and critical insight on the basic mechanisms of lymphocyte depletion and homeostasis for field of transplantation, although there are gaps between clinical conditions and animal models (Kwun et al. 2012b).
Here we will discuss depletion of T and B cells, plasma cells, and natural killer (NK) T cells in rodent models.

**T-Cell Depletion**

The first antibodies used since the 1960s, antithymocyte globulin (ATG) induces a rapid and profound lymphodepletion. ATG-induced lymphocyte depletion not only resulted in transplant tolerance in several rodent transplant models but also enhanced regulatory T-cell (Treg) number and function (Feng et al. 2008; Joseph et al. 2012; Shi et al. 2012). Hongo et al. further described that in a combined bone marrow and heart transplant murine model, Treg production of IL-10 and up-regulation of PD-1 was enhanced by IL-4 produced by host natural killer cells (Hongo et al. 2012). Despite the development of newer antibodies, ATG continues to be investigated in murine models today.

The first monoclonal antibody, OKT3, was produced in the late 1970s (Kung et al. 1979), and many researchers have used anti-CD3 mAb for inducing transplant tolerance. The administration of anti-CD3 mAb led to the rapid depletion of T cells from peripheral blood and suppression of heart and islet graft rejection in the rodent model (Nicolls et al. 1993; Tang et al. 2003). However, depletion of T cells from the spleen and lymph nodes was both delayed and incomplete.

As helper CD4 T lymphocytes control humoral and cellular responses, targeting subpopulations using anti-CD4 antibodies appeared to be the best strategy to achieve tolerance to heart allografts in the rodent model (Pearson et al. 1993). Treatment with this monoclonal antibody rapidly depletes the recipient of circulating CD4+ T cells, and repopulation takes about 40–50 days. Their median survival time was over 60 days after transplant (Orosz et al. 1996). Anti-CD4 antibodies depleted Tregs but not as efficiently as CD4+CD25+ cells, resulting in an enhanced peripheral CD4+CD25+/CD4+CD25− ratio and thus promoting tolerance (Yi et al. 2008). Cytotoxic CD8 T cells are highly aggressive toward allografts, but unlike CD4 T-cell depletion, the depletion of these cells by the anti-CD8 antibody was not efficient in preventing acute rejection in rodent models.

Mouse T-cell depletion with anti-CD52 antibody alemtuzumab requires the use of human CD52 transgenic mice, in which hCD52 is expressed under the direction of the mouse CD2 promotor and thus only on T cells. Our murine experience with alemtuzumab showed profound peripheral T-cell depletion with the repopulation of CD44hiCD62Lhi memory T cells. Despite complete T-cell repopulation by 10 weeks, treated animals showed no evidence of acute rejection, and showed excellent graft survival and function for more than 200 days. Half of the alemtuzumab recipients, however, showed alloantibody production and antibody-mediated chronic rejection (Kwun et al. 2012b). Furthermore, alloantibody-producing animals had increased allospecific B cells found in their spleens, as well as ongoing germinal center reactions represented by CD38-alloreactive B cells.

Other T-cell depleting agents targeting CD2 (Sido et al. 1998) and TCRαβ (Heidecke et al. 1995) have resulted in long-term allograft survival. Sido et al. evaluated three anti-CD2 monoclonal and found that graft survival correlated with dose-dependent down-modulation of CD2, and that humoral responses were preserved. Heidecke et al. observed that, despite incomplete depletion of CD5+ cells, the α/β T-cell receptor blockade was able to induce hyporesponsiveness in a murine heart transplant model after skin graft sensitization.

**B-Cell Depletion**

Agents that deplete B cells in human recipients, such as alemtuzumab and ATG, have limited efficacy at B-cell depletion in rodents. CD20 is expressed early in B-cell development, down-regulates through maturation, and is then absent on mature plasma cells. Anti-CD20 mAb depletes mature, germinal center, and memory B cells but does not affect plasma cell or serum IgG levels (DiLillo et al. 2008). In rodent models, mature B-cell depletion using anti-CD20 mAb had no effect on acute skin allograft rejection.
tion and chronic renal rejection but inhibited the development of allospecific IgG (DiLillo et al. 2011). CD19 is expressed on memory B cells and short-lived plasma cells. Anti-CD19 antibody treatment has the effect of depleting B-cell subsets and some plasma cells resulted in enhanced allograft survival and a reduction in circulating allo-specific IgG in human CD19 transgenic mouse models (DiLillo et al. 2011).

**Plasma Cell Depletion**

Bortezomib is a proteasome inhibitor that selectively targets the 26s proteasome complex. Plasma cells produce large quantities of protein, a proportion of which will be misfolded and will require degradation and disposal by the proteasome. Inhibition of proteasome activity results in the accumulation of misfolded proteins and consequent apoptosis of the plasma cell. In rodent transplant models, proteasome inhibition suppresses ongoing rejection and increases mean survival time after heterotropic heart transplantation, which suggests possible efficacy in transplantation (Luo et al. 2001).

Recent clinical data have shown acute cellular rejection after B-cell depletion with rituximab, suggesting positive or negative effects on graft rejection, depending on the nature of the allograft and response (Clatworthy et al. 2009). Because mature B-cell depletion with CD20 mAb will not be sufficient to prevent acute and chronic allograft rejection, new therapies such as CD19 mAb and the next generation of proteasome inhibitors may offer a new approach for depleting both B cells and plasma cells. For testing these agents to investigate their mechanisms, proper animal models will be needed due to constraints in strain and species specifics (Kwun et al. 2012b).

**NK Depletion**

NK cells are a subset of lymphocytes with cytokine-secreting and cytotoxic effector functions that respond to viral infection, cell stress, and tumors (Vivier et al. 2008). Defining the role of NK cells in transplantation is less clear because of evidence suggesting their involvement both in rejection and in tolerance. During acute rejection, graft infiltrating NK cells secrete chemokines that amplify local inflammation and IFNγ, which exacerbates allograft rejection and enhances T-cell-mediated immunity. Also, in murine heart transplant models, NK cell depletion has been shown to reduce alloantibody-mediated chronic allograft vasculopathy (Hirohashi et al. 2012). Others have observed that NK cell-depleted recipients showed monocyte and macrophages infiltration in grafts (van der Touw et al. 2012).

**LYMPHODEPLETION IN NONHUMAN PRIMATES**

En route to clinical translation, lymphodepleting agents have been widely explored in nonhuman primate models of transplantation since the 1980s. Some depleting agents were used as primary induction agents whereas others were incorporated into a combination of therapeutic modalities for transplant tolerance induction. This section will focus on lymphodepletion by pharmacologic and biologic agents and not by virus- or retrovirus-mediated methods.

**Polyclonal Antibody Preparations**

Polyclonal antilymphocyte globulins, initially prepared from horses immunized with human spleens, thymus, and lymph nodes, have been used for immunosuppression in renal transplantation since the 1960s (Starzl et al. 1963, 1967; Najarian and Simmons 1971). A technology discovered in the 1890s by Mechnikov (Gaber et al. 2010), the mechanisms of action of the modern preparations—rabbit and equine ATG—are vastly diverse due to target antigens that range from surface immune response antigens, costimulation markers, adhesion and trafficking molecules, and many more (Bonnefoy-Berard et al. 1991; Rebellato et al. 1994; Bourdage and Hamlin 1995; Mohty 2007). Preville et al. found that rabbit ATG-administered cynomolgus macaques showed a dose-dependent lymphodepletion in peripheral blood, axillary lymph nodes, and spleens but not in
thymuses (Preville et al. 2001). At very high doses (20 mg/kg), peripheral blood T cell counts dropped to zero within 2 h of the first ATG infusion.

Early nonhuman primate studies showed that combining ATG with other modalities could significantly increase allograft survival and immunologic unresponsiveness. Thomas et al. observed that kidney transplanted rhesus macaques receiving 50 mg/kg of rATG for 5 days followed by a single infusion of unfractionated donor bone marrow on day 12 experienced long-term survival past 248 days without evidence of graft versus host disease, infection, or rejection (compared to 35.8 days for rATG alone, p < 0.001); a second donor bone marrow infusion on day 24 reversed the benefit, however, as the animals rejected with a mean survival time of 76.6 days (Thomas et al. 1983). In revisiting the ATG + dBM model, the group observed a reduced median survival of 70 days, still a considerable feat in the absence of chronic immunosuppression (Thomas et al. 1989).

Several groups have observed long-term graft acceptance when combining ATG with other modalities. Pearl et al. studied thymectomized cynomologus macaques depleted with rATG (20 mg/kg × 7 days), and found that anti-CD154, sirolimus, and donor specific whole blood transfusion successfully inhibit the homeostatically proliferating memory T-cell response in the depleted animals to yield rejection-free survivals of >300 days (Pearl et al. 2007). Kawai et al. observed renal allograft tolerance in cynomologus macaques achieving mixed chimerism after treatment with equine ATG (50 mg/kg × 3 days), nonmyeloablative total-body irradiation, thymic irradiation, and donor bone marrow infusion (Kawai et al. 1995). When anti-CD154 mAb was added to this regimen (+CsA × 28 days), donor bone marrow engraftment was enhanced, leading to long-term renal allograft survival >688 days (Kawai et al. 2004). The further addition of humanized anti-CD8 mAb (cM-T807) depleted remaining CD8 memory T cells and allowed for animals to achieve renal allograft tolerance (Koyama et al. 2007). Other groups have combined rATG with anti-CD20 mAb rituximab (Liu et al. 2007) or with sirolimus (Hirshberg et al. 2003) to prolong islet allograft survival in nonhuman primates.

**CD3 Immunotoxin**

In contrast to the wide heterogeneity of ATG's target specificities, the single antigen-specific antirhesus CD3 immunotoxin developed by Neville et al. (Neville et al. 1992) was pursued with much interest by our group and others (Knechtle 2001). The antirhesus monoclonal antibody FN18 conjugated with mutated diphtheria toxin CRM9 was able to deplete CD3 T cells by 2–3 logs in peripheral blood and by 2 logs in lymph nodes, when we administered a three-day course to rhesus macaques (Knechtle et al. 1998). We observed long-term renal allograft acceptance (mean survival time, 614 days) with donor-specific tolerance confirmed by skin grafting in our long-term survivors; however, a high incidence of donor-specific alloantibodies (73%) and chronic rejection (100%) was also observed (Knechtle et al. 1997, 1998; Torrealba et al. 2003).

We ventured to investigate the activation of the humoral response and eventual chronic rejection in the FN19-CRM9 immunotoxin-treated animals, but the drug was replaced with a new recombinant immunotoxin (A-dmDT390-scfbDb[C207]) because of production yield difficulties and potential immunogenicity of the former chemically conjugated construct (Ma et al. 1997; Kim et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2011). Although the use of the new immunotoxin provided profound peripheral T-cell depletion, the rapid proliferation of memory T cells prevented sustained graft survival. Tacrolimus and alefacept were added to target the memory T cells, which resulted in early and consistent alloantibody production and antibody-mediated graft injury in all treated macaques (Page et al. 2012). Nishimura et al. doubled the immunotoxin dose to 50 μg/kg × 8 doses and combined it with an anti-CD2 antibody in a GaIT-KO pig-to-baboon thymokidney model, which led to the preservation of graft function but death due to pneumonia or heart failure at 15 and 23 days (Nishimura et al. 2011).
Alemtuzumab

Alemtuzumab (Campath-1H), a humanized IgG1 monoclonal antibody, targets the membrane glycoprotein CD52, resulting in profound and sustained T-cell depletion as well as transient depletion of B cells, macrophages, and natural killer cells (Hale 2001; Magliocca and Knechtle 2006). Its use in nonhuman primates has been limited as most Old World monkeys express CD52 also on red blood cells, potentially leading to lethal hemolytic anemia if administered the drug. Recently, van der Windt et al. evaluated an agglutination assay and identified cynomolgus macaques of Indonesian but not Chinese origin to be RBC-CD52 negative (van der Windt et al. 2010). As they achieved greater than 99.5% depletion of T and B cells with 20 mg/kg of alemtuzumab, this model provides opportunities to further investigate the drug in preclinical studies.

Other T-Cell Depleting Agents

The advent of monoclonal antibodies provoked much interest in the transplant community, with several studies applying depleting antibodies to T-cell targets (OKT3, OKT4, OKT8, and OKT11 to CD3, CD4, CD8, and E rosettes, respectively) in nonhuman primate models (Jonker et al. 1983; Letvin et al. 1985; Cosimi et al. 1990; Rao et al. 1991). These early monoclonal antibodies, namely OKT3, although effective at treating acute rejection, were associated with cytokine release syndrome, increased risk of posttransplant lymphoproliferative disease, and xeno-sensitization to its murine component (Chatenoud et al. 1990; Schroeder et al. 1990; Cherikh et al. 2003). To diminish the antimurine response, humanized chimeric preparations of several of the early monoclonal antibodies were developed and are still used in nonhuman primate studies today (Woodle et al. 1992; Delmonico et al. 1993; Engram et al. 2010).

Other depleting agents no longer used in human organ transplantation include alefacept (LFA3-Ig) and fingolimod (FTY720). The CD2-specific fusion protein alefacept (LFA3-Ig), which selectively depletes memory T cells, has been used as adjunct immunosuppression in nonhuman primate models of cardiac, renal, and xenogeneic transplantation (Kaplon et al. 1996; Weaver et al. 2009; Page et al. 2012; Thompson et al. 2012). Fingolimod, a sphingoside-1-phosphate receptor agonist administered orally, was found to effectively deplete T and B cells in baboons and cynomolgus macaques (Quesniaux et al. 1999, 2000), and prevent acute rejection in nonhuman primate renal, allo-islet, and xeno-islet transplantation (Schuurman et al. 2002; Wijkstrom et al. 2004; Hering et al. 2006).

B-Cell Depletion

Several B-cell-targeting therapeutics have emerged over the last decade, as our understanding of the impact of B cells on allograft rejection as well as transplant tolerance has increased (Redfield et al. 2011; Kwun et al. 2012a). These strategies may be of particular interest in the setting of T-cell depletion in which humoral immunity is often up-regulated. Their applications may also be extended to sensitized patients pre- and posttransplant.

The following agents targeting the B-cell surface molecules CD19 and CD20, costimulatory target CD40, and B-cell survival factors in the BAFF pathway have resulted in effective B-cell depletion. Rituximab (IDEC-C2B8), originally developed for B-cell lymphomas, has been used in several preclinical models, including islet cell transplantation (in combination with rATG), renal transplantation (with rATG, sirolimus, and donor bone marrow infusion), cardiac transplantation (with cyclosporine A), and pig-to-baboon cardiac xenotransplantation (with rATG, splenectomy, and maintenance immunosuppression) (McGregor et al. 2005; Liu et al. 2007; Maki et al. 2008; Kelishadi et al. 2010). GA101, a humanized IgG1 to CD20, induced further B-cell depletion particularly in lymphoid tissues in cynomolgus macaques (Mossner et al. 2010). XmAb5574, an Fc-engineered antibody to CD19, showed sustained B-cell depletion to <10% of baseline as well as transient NK cell depletion in cynomolgus macaques (Zalevsky et al. 2009). Although some anti-CD40...
antibodies are nondepleting, Chi220 administered to rhesus macaques showed near complete peripheral B-cell depletion (Pearson et al. 2002). BR3 is one of three receptors for the B-cell activating factor BAFF; humanized anti-BR3 antibodies reduced B-cell populations in peripheral blood as well as lymphoid tissues, but not as profoundly as anti-CD20 treatment (Lin et al. 2007).

CURRENT LYMPHOCYTIC DEPLETION IN CLINIC

Currently used antibodies to deplete immune cells in solid organ transplant patients include thymoglobulin (rabbit ATG, Genzyme), ATGAM (equine ATG, Pfizer), and alemtuzumab (Campath-1H). Another rabbit ATG is marketed in Europe by Fresenius. Alemtuzumab was bought by Sanofi in 2011 and is being remarked at a higher price under the name Lemtrada. Thymoglobulin is approved by the U.S. Federal Drug Administration for treatment of acute renal allograft rejection but not as an induction agent nor for other organs, although the drug is commonly used for these other purposes. Alemtuzumab is approved for treatment of lymphoma but not for organ transplantation, and its ownership by more than four drug companies over the past 10 years and the economics of its development make it unlikely to receive regulatory approval in the near future for organ transplantation. Nevertheless, these two agents are commonly used, and there is considerable experience, especially in kidney transplantation, with both. The published data on both agents inform our use and practice, but they leave unclear the ideal dose, duration, and indications for depletional therapy despite extensive experience. According to the 2011 Annual Report of the Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients (SRTR/OPTN), about 60% of renal transplant patients in the United States received a depleting agent at the time of transplantation in 2010.

As discussed with regard to nonhuman primate (NHP) depletional therapy, CD3-immunotoxin (CD3-IT), developed by Neville for NHP use, potently depletes not only peripheral blood CD3⁺ T cells, but also lymph node cells, within days. This agent (FN18⁻CRM9) was in development by Novartis for human use with the intention of developing a genetically derived recombinant fusion protein, thus avoiding chemical conjugation of CD3 mAb and mutated diphtheria toxin (CRM9) and inevitable unconjugated products that would contaminate such a compound. However, this development project was finally aborted after the new constructs, such as a monovalent antibody fused to toxin, failed to have similar potency, binding properties, or stability compared to the NHP original construct, as discussed above. However, taking a cue from the remarkable ability of the CD3-IT depletion to promote tolerance, Calne revisited clinical use of Campath-1H, a potent anti-CD52 mAb produced by Herman Waldmann in the Cambridge, England pathology department (hence the name, with H for humanized). Calne wisely combined Campath-1H with low dose cyclosporine, aiming for near or “prope” tolerance in humans rather than a completely drug-free state. The data from the Cambridge kidney transplant experience with Campath-1H have been published with both early and long-term follow up, and indicate the safety of profound depletion from an infection and malignancy perspective and the ability of depletion to replace some degree of maintenance immunosuppression (Calne et al. 1998; Watson et al. 2005).

Studies by our group supported by the Immune Tolerance Network (ITN) in human kidney transplantation evaluated the ability of high dose alemtuzumab to achieve tolerance in 10 haploidentical living donors or other well-matched renal transplant recipients. Although four of 10 patients achieved stable renal function for >3 years on rapamycin 1 mg daily with levels <4 ng/ml, none of these patients totally withdrew immunosuppression. Another observation of this study was that most other patients (5/10) developed detectable donor-specific alloantibodies after the withdrawal of tacrolimus that occurred at 60 days in the protocol. This high incidence of alloantibodies was shown by our group in other studies to be driven by tacrolimus withdrawal and to correlate with high
BAFF levels (Shapiro et al. 2008; Bloom et al. 2009; Ruhil et al. 2012). Nevertheless, this study suggests that well-matched kidney transplant patients treated with profound depletion in the setting of low dose tacrolimus, later weaned from tacrolimus, and then weaned to very low dose rapamycin may become unresponsive. Mechanistic assays are in the process of confirming the nature of the donor-specific unresponsiveness of these selected patients.

An ongoing study by Kirk reflects a modification of the ITN study above and substitutes belatacept for tacrolimus, perhaps an ideal combination that avoids the high alloantibody incidence associated with tacrolimus withdrawal and takes advantage of the known benefit of belatacept to prevent alloantibody. This study has been reported in abstract form (Kirk et al. 2011) and follow-up studies are in progress. Although it is too early to compare such a study to conventional therapy, results have been excellent; however, once again they show that complete drug withdrawal may not be advisable. The longer term outcomes of this study remain of high interest.

Perhaps the best and largest prospective, randomized study of alemtuzumab induction in renal transplantation was by Hanaway et al. (2011). This study compared alemtuzumab to anti-CD25 (Simulect) in low risk recipients and to thymoglobulin in high-risk recipients. All groups received maintenance immunosuppression with tacrolimus, mycophenolate, and steroids. The main findings were that alemtuzumab was associated with significantly less acute rejection compared to Simulect in low risk patients, but that there was no significant difference in acute rejection between the two high-risk groups (alemtuzumab vs. thymoglobulin). There were no significant differences in infection or side effects among the four groups.

Thymoglobulin induction in kidney transplantation continues to be used particularly for patients considered to be at higher than average risk of rejection. According to the SRTR 2011 annual report on kidney transplantation, abuse was driven by a publication from Brennan et al. (2006) comparing anti-CD25 induction to thymoglobulin, with slightly better results seen with depletion. Nevertheless, a recent trend toward less depletion and more reliance on tacrolimus and anti-CD25 reflects the relatively thin layer of objective data that show superiority of depletion for even the higher risk patients. Higher risk refers to retransplants, African-American recipients, and sensitized or previously sensitized but current cross-match negative recipients of renal allografts.

One of the lessons learned in the past 15 years about depletion induction therapy in renal transplantation is that whereas it clearly protects from acute rejection in the early posttransplant period, maintenance therapy is necessary during cell repopulation to particularly prevent T memory cells (Tmem) from driving rejection and alloantibody formation. As Pearl nicely showed, Tmem are particularly resistant to depletion and perhaps best controlled by calcineurin inhibitors (CNI) (Pearl et al. 2005). Thus, depletion strategies that have been most successful, such as the alemtuzumab/tacrolimus protocol described by Shapiro et al. (2007), rely on at least a low dose of CNI maintenance therapy. Similarly, thymoglobulin protocols typically use triple drug maintenance therapy with tacrolimus, mycophenolate, and steroids for higher risk patients (Gurk-Turner et al. 2008) with good results.

The long-term safety of depletion with respect to opportunistic infection (cytomegalovirus [CMV], other viral and fungal infections) and malignancy (posttransplantation lymphoproliferative disease [PTLD]) depends on the agent used and the intensity and duration of therapy. Given the age-related senescence of the lymphocyte compartment, it would stand to reason that older patients would tolerate depletion less well than younger patients. Kirk et al. (2007) reported that in contrast to thymoglobulin and OKT3 that are associated with increased risk of PTLD, the cumulative experience with alemtuzumab did not suggest an increased risk of PTLD. However, repeated use of alemtuzumab in transplant patients to maintain a low white blood cell (WBC) count is not recommended and was associated with unacceptable side effects in a Minnesota experience with the drug (Nath et al. 2005).
Use of depleting antibodies in liver transplantation continues at some centers although the majority of U.S. centers do not use any routine induction and only about 15% of recipients receive any induction antibody. Many centers add anti-CD25 induction for patients with renal dysfunction with the notion of delaying CNI introduction until renal function improves. Data from the University of Pittsburgh suggest that using alemtuzumab induction in hepatitis C-positive liver transplant recipients is associated with unacceptable outcomes and is ill-advised (Marcos et al. 2004). Other experiences with thymoglobulin induction in liver transplantation suggest that it does not reduce acute rejection incidence but increases the incidence of leukopenia (Boillot et al. 2009). A recent randomized controlled trial suggests that rather than depleting antibodies, lower dose tacrolimus in combination with everolimus spares renal function and effectively prevents rejection (De Simone et al. 2012). This strategy may be more effective than increasing the potency of induction immunosuppression by depletion in liver transplant patients who are often immunocompromised already from their illness.

CHALLENGES IN LYMPHODEPLETION

Although depletion is highly effective and increasingly used as an induction regimen, lymphodepletional agents are associated with several problems. As mentioned above, polyclonal preparations bring undesirable complications of transient cytokine release, viral reactivation, and antianimal antibody production. Although monoclonal OKT-3 solved some of these issues with polyclonal preparations, it was still subject to a significant antimouse response, as OKT3 is an entirely mouse-derived antibody (Webster et al. 2006). These xenogeneic antibodies can dramatically reduce the efficacy of these agents and extended/repeated use may lead to anaphylaxis and serum sickness (Prin Mathieu et al. 1997; Regan et al. 1997, 2001). The first dose of these antibodies can be followed by fevers, chills, and gastrointestinal, respiratory, and cardiac complications, mostly due to the release of pyrogenic cytokines such as IL-6 and TNF-α from target cell lysis (Debets et al. 1989; Chatenoud et al. 1990; Vallhonrat et al. 1999). Alternatively, these cytokines could be released by a transient activation of T cells before they undergo cell lysis (Hardinger 2006; Webster et al. 2006). This cytokine release syndrome can be limited by methylprednisolone. These adverse effects were much improved with the development of monoclonal antibodies and fusion proteins. To avoid xenogeneic antibody production, the gene fragment encoding xenogenic epitopes in the mAb may be exchanged with equivalent portions of human antibodies (Boulianne et al. 1984; Morrison et al. 1984). Other early complications, including cardiovascular and infectious deaths, correlate with lymphodepletion, but the interpretation of this relationship is confounded by the preferential use of antibodies in high-risk patients (Opelz 1995).

Infectious Complications

Patients with prolonged lymphopenia are more likely to develop serious infections, especially when depletion is combined with other immunosuppressants before or after transplantation. Polyclonal antibodies have been shown to increase reactivation and development of primary viral disease with cytomegalovirus, herpes simplex virus, Epstein–Barr virus (EBV), and varicella, which more likely represent intensive immunosuppression (Abbott et al. 2002; Gouriishankar et al. 2004). More recent reports have shown no increased risk of cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection, possibly due to the proper usage of antiviral prophylaxis (Webster et al. 2006). Although higher infection rates were observed in patients receiving thymoglobulin compared to nondepleting anti-CD25 mAb treated patients (85.8% vs. 75.2%, $P = 0.03$), a lower incidence of cytomegalovirus disease was documented from thymoglobulin treated patients (7.8% vs. 17.5%, $P = 0.02$) (Brennan and Schnitzler 2008). Alemtuzumab did not show a significant risk of infection compared to thymoglobulin or basiliximab (Hanaway et al. 2011). Owing to its intensity on immunosuppression in general, lymphodepletion should be accompanied by
broad prophylaxis to prevent opportunistic viral (cytomegalovirus and BK virus) and bacterial infections (urinary tract infection, pneumonia, and bacteremia) (Hibberd et al. 1992; Batiuk et al. 2002).

Malignant Complications

Early depletion approaches, such as polyclonal antibodies and OKT3, have been linked with the risk of developing posttransplantation lymphoproliferative disease (PTLD) when combined with maintenance immunosuppressants (Penn 1990; Malatack et al. 1991; Meier-Kriesche et al. 2002; Cherikh et al. 2003). In an exemplary analysis of 157 consecutive cardiac transplant recipients, a ninefold higher incidence of PTLD was observed in OKT-3 treated cardiac allograft patients. Furthermore, a dose-related risk was also observed, with more frequent PTLD observed in patients receiving a cumulative dose of OKT3 exceeding 75 mg than in those who received a lower total dose (35.7% vs. 6.2%; \( p < 0.001 \)) (Swinnen et al. 1990). On the other hand, analysis of 207 patients with thymoglobulin did not reveal an association with an additional risk of malignancies (El-Hamamsy et al. 2005). Interestingly, alemtuzumab induction has also shown a low PTLD incidence that approximates that of the nondepletional CD25-specific mAbs (Kirk et al. 2007). This could relate to B-cell depleting properties of alemtuzumab because B cells are the dominant reservoir of EBV. The impact of induction therapy on all-cause mortality remains unclear; however, it is suggested that the increase of Treg is not completely dependent on the sparing of this subset after depletion, but by active conversion of non-Treg to Treg cells (Bloom et al. 2008). This relative increase in Tregs may counter the postdepletional increase in memory-type T cells and their function. Th17 cells also play a role in transplant rejection, as IL-17 has been found in biopsies of rejecting kidney, lung, and liver allografts (Loong et al. 2002; Vanaudenaerde et al. 2008; Fabrega et al. 2009). One study documented an increased number of Th17 with sirolimus monotherapy after alemtuzumab induction (Hester et al. 2011), although its role in rejection after lymphodepletion is unclear. These observations suggest that T-cell subsets have different susceptibilities to depletion and repopulation dynamics.

Follicular helper T cells (Tfh) play a unique role in helping the B-cell response (Crotty 2011). Although little is known on the direct role of T-cell depletion on Tfh cells, our preclinical and clinical studies have reported increased humoral...
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CONCLUSION

Lymphodepletion has been an effective strategy for addressing the precursor frequency of alloreactive T cells at the time of organ transplantation and for preventing acute allograft rejection. Several preclinical studies have found that combining lymphodepletion with other modalities may be tolerogenic, although this has yet to be fully achieved in humans. Depletion has allowed, however, for clinicians to decrease maintenance immunosuppression and reduce the side effects of long-term steroids and calcineurin inhibitors. For these reasons, it has been an increasingly used method of induction immunosuppression in the United States in recent years. Future studies should evaluate ways to inhibit postdepletional humoral responses and memory T-cell repopulation, while taking care not to over-immunosuppress and subject the patient to infectious and malignant complications.
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