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The mouse provides a tool with which to probe the complex interaction between the mammalian immune system and the slow-growing, inflammatory, and persistent bacterium, Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb). Simple mouse models using genetic deletion or antibody inhibition have identified causal connections between specific components of the immune response and survival upon challenge with Mtb, and these studies have corresponded with observations made in humans. To improve on current intervention strategies, it is essential that the complex interactions between the components of the immune response that mediate and regulate the protective response to Mtb infection be dissected; furthermore, the pathways by which specific molecules and cells act must be delineated. The mouse model provides a tool with which to achieve this goal; however, experimental design and data interpretation must be made in the context of data sets generated from the entire tuberculosis field.

Organisms have increasing levels of complexity and when they interact, the level of complexity increases dramatically. Vertebrates have developed the ability to respond to exposure to lower-order organisms in the form of a targeted and highly regulated immune response. The immune response has simple elements at its base including recognition of “danger,” recognition of nonself, the ability to adapt to novel antigens, the ability to remember previous antigens, and active regulation of the above responses. When these simple elements act in concert, the host response is immensely adaptable and capable of dealing with a vast array of potential pathogens. Of course, this ability can also lead to inappropriate responses, which contribute to damage and disease. Animal models have been used to identify definitive causal relationships between specific components of this complex immune response and the survival of the host following exposure to a variety of pathogens. Although these studies remain valid, the complexities of the pathways by which specific components of the immune response interact both to control the pathogen and to limit immunopathology are just now being fully realized. Defining the role of specific factors within complex pathways now requires highly refined and manipulatable tools, and recent developments within the mouse model provide these tools.

Within the context of mycobacterial disease, and tuberculosis in particular, the complexity arising from the persistence of this pathogen and the need for balance between protection and pathogenesis is at the core of our difficulty in controlling this pathogen. The cell types that contribute to the immunity to TB include my-
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eloid and lymphoid cells but within these broad groupings are a wide range of cell phenotypes, the importance of which depend on location of the challenge, the nature of challenge, and the constituents of the microbiome, which play a large part in regulating the tonic state of the immune system (Erturk-Hasdemir et al. 2013). To deconvolute the complexity of the immune system and to drill down through the mechanisms that mediate its initiation, expansion, and regulation, it is critical to use a model wherein many of the variables can be controlled. The mouse does not provide a direct mimic of the human response to mycobacterial infection. It does, however, provide a living, breathing vertebrate lung on a small scale with the capacity to perform a large number of experiments with definitive outcomes. It also provides a manipulatable tool that represents the complexity of the vertebrate immune response to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*Mtb*) while allowing definitive experimentation to isolate the critical components involved in both protection and pathogenesis. The recent increase in tools for the mouse model has allowed us to better define the interaction between the pathogen and the different components of the immune response, and the outcomes have been surprising.

**GENETIC APPROACHES**

A powerful tool provided by the mouse is the ability to investigate complex genetic traits in a mammalian model. Mutant mouse strains can be used for “reverse” genetic approaches to query the role of a specific gene in the development of mycobacterial disease in the vertebrate host; this is almost entirely performed with murine models at the current time. “Forward” genetics use random mutation as well as the comparison of inbred strains of mice, which differ in their susceptibility to mycobacterial disease to probe for novel genes in an unbiased manner (Fortin et al. 2007). The variety of susceptibility within inbred mouse strains is highlighted by the fact that CBA, C3HeB/FeJ, DBA/2, and 129SvJ are susceptible to *Mtb*, whereas C57BL/6 J and BALB/c are resistant (Medina et al. 1998). By crossing the inbred strains and generating congenic lines, loci associated with the susceptible phenotype have been identified. The use of this tool has resulted in the determination of several independent loci responsible for susceptibility such as *sst1*, which contains the *Ipr1* gene (Pan et al. 2005); loci on chromosomes 9 and 3, which mediate interstrain differences between susceptible I/St and resistant A/Sn strains (Sánchez et al. 2003); and the *Th1/4* loci, which contribute to susceptibility of the DBA/2 J strain (Marquis et al. 2009). Importantly these susceptibility loci are associated with different arms of the protective response and highlight the importance of the balanced integration of the lymphoid, myeloid, and subsequent inflammatory response in maintaining control of *Mtb* in vivo.

**TARGETED CELLULAR APPROACHES**

Early events occurring during infection are difficult to model and analyze even in the mouse model. It is thought that aerosol delivery of a suspension of bacteria at a low concentration mimics natural infection, and indeed most of the animal models use this or a variation of this delivery system. Whether this is a true mimic is not clear, as the nature of infectious bacteria arriving from the transmitting individual to the exposed individual is not yet defined. Using this low-dose model, however, results in very few early events and renders investigation of these events difficult. Indirect measures have therefore been used within the mouse model to investigate the importance of specific cell types in the early initiation of the acquired cellular response, which is critical for control of *Mtb*. One such model includes genetically modified mice that allow for the timed depletion of specific cells, which confer the diphtheria toxin receptor (DTR) (Saito et al. 2001). Recently the use of this model to delete cells positive for C-C chemokine receptor 2 (CCR2) resulted in the surprising observation that inflammatory monocytes (which express CCR2) are required to deliver invading bacteria to the draining lymph node (Samstein et al. 2013). Dendritic cells had been thought to be responsible for this transport, and indeed they appear to act in this capacity once infection is established (Wolf et al.
2007); however, the inflammatory monocytes, although responsible for delivery, were not responsible for driving T-cell activation (Samstein et al. 2013). Using the CD11c<sup>+</sup> DTR model (Jung et al. 2002), it was found that T cells were compromised in their ability to be activated if CD11c<sup>+</sup> cells lacked the IL-12R<sub>b1</sub> (Robinson et al. 2010). There are other genetic systems available that can be used for timed depletion of specific cell types or of specific molecules within specific cell types such as the cre/loxP system (Kühn et al. 2002). Concerns with these models that must be considered when using them in the context of <i>Mtb</i> infection include the ability of the depleting agent to penetrate the macrophage-dominated lesion and the impact of significant cell death on the integrity of the granulomatous lesion. Despite these concerns, the use of these targeting systems to deplete molecules and cells within a defined time frame and within specific cell types have increased the power of the initial genetic-deletion techniques that were used to confirm the roles of specific components of the immune response such as IFN-γ, TNF, and IL-12 in controlling <i>Mtb</i> infection (Cooper et al. 1993, 1997; Flynn et al. 1993, 1995).

EXAMINING T-CELL RESPONSES WITHIN THE <i>Mtb</i>-INFECTED MOUSE

Understanding how the body recognizes invasion by <i>Mtb</i> and initiates the expansion of antigen-specific T cells is important in understanding pathogenesis and in vaccine design. Because of the low frequency of initiating events following low-dose aerosol infection, it is necessary to have indirect measures of antigen presentation. In recent studies with the mouse model, one aspect of early infection was highlighted when it became clear that the acquired immune response largely ignores the arrival of the bacterium in the lung following aerosol infection (Chackerian et al. 2002; Reiley et al. 2008; Wolf et al. 2008). In these papers, analyses of antigen-specific cellular responses were made using specific antigens and/or T-cell receptor transgenic (TCR<sup>Tg</sup>) T cells. The importance of the TCR<sup>Tg</sup> T cells is that they provide a highly sensitive tool with which to indicate the kinetics and location of appropriately presented antigen when delivered exogenously and examined at specific times postransfer (Kearney et al. 1994). In three separate laboratories the timing of initial naïve T-cell activation was found to be delayed relative to challenge (∼7–9 d) and was found to occur within the lung-draining lymph node and not to any great extent with the lung (Gallegos et al. 2008; Reiley et al. 2008; Wolf et al. 2008). The use of TCR<sup>Tg</sup> cells was critical to this insight as the very low frequency of the endogenous response meant that the earliest events could not be detected directly. Although TCR<sup>Tg</sup> cells represent a powerful tool, they should be used with care as they are an artificial T cell and do not develop identically to endogenous T cells. It is also critical to keep the transferred precursor level low as it is known that the precursor frequency of naïve T cells impacts the outcome of the immune response (Kearney et al. 1994; Jenkins et al. 2012; Sprent 2013).

The function of T cells within the inflamed site induced by <i>Mtb</i> infection is also a subject that has been investigated using the mouse model. In this respect, use of immunohistochemistry and live imaging has been crucial. Early intravital studies supported the key role of TNF in development of the macrophage matrix of the <i>Mtb</i>-induced granuloma (Egen et al. 2008) and also showed that T cells within the macrophage-dominated lesion fail to undergo migration arrest and only occasionally secreted cytokine in a targeted manner (Egen et al. 2011). In a different study, using flow-cytometric examination of T-cell function immediately ex vivo, a low level of antigen availability within the granuloma was associated with poor cytokine production in vivo (Bold et al. 2011). These studies, along with those implicating the importance of correct chemokine receptor expression in T-cell function (Slight et al. 2013), support the importance of T-cell location relative to the <i>Mtb</i>-infected macrophage in mediating the control of bacterial growth. A recent mouse study addressed the importance of direct T-cell interaction with infected macrophages using a bone marrow chimera model. In these chimeras, bone marrow is transferred into an irradi-
ated host and repopulates the radiosensitive portions of the hematopoietic system (Shizuru et al. 1996). Using donors that differ in the ability to express specific molecules and that can be differentiated by the expression of a congenic marker, the response of these cells can be compared in vivo with the same stimuli and within the same context. Using this tool it was found that within the same host, only those infected macrophages expressing MHC class II showed CD4 T-cell-dependent reduction of bacterial numbers (Srivastava et al. 2013); thus addressing a long-term question of whether direct T-cell macrophage interaction was required for antibacterial activity by the macrophage. This type of bone marrow chimera model is very useful for a range of immunological investigations of the chronic inflammation induced by *Mtb*. Key points are that intact and deficient cells are within the same environment and will not undergo rejection over the long term (which transferred cells would undergo). Concerns include the fact that long-lived, nонdividing hematopoietic cells (memory T cells, tissue macrophages, etc.) will not be fully removed by irradiation, and this should be considered and controlled for wherever possible.

Full investigation of the function and phenotype of T cells during mycobacterial disease has been greatly impacted recently by the use of tetramer staining (Altman et al. 1996) and cell sorting. The tetramer stains used to identify a subset of the antigen-specific cells within an endogenous population of responding cells have proven particularly useful in identifying T-cell trends that were not apparent when studying the bulk population of activated T cells. By focusing on T cells of one antigen specificity, it has been possible to define several subsets of responding cells, such as those expressing markers associated with antigen exposure (e.g., PD-1 and KLRG-1 [Reiley et al. 2010]). Importantly, the addition of cell sorting to the study of T cells from infected mice has allowed the functional capacity of T-cell subsets to be assessed. Thus, when T cells were subsetted based on their expression of PD-1 or KLRG-1, they were found to have different abilities to produce cytokine and to proliferate and persist with an infected host (Reiley et al. 2010). The increased use of tetramer stains in human studies to identify and characterize antigen-specific T cells (Lindestam Arlehamn et al. 2013) will allow the work in the mice to undergo even more refinement and allow for observations made in the mouse to be fully translated to the antigen-specific population in humans. This very powerful set of tools is set to transform our understanding of T-cell function within the human.

**PATHOLOGIC CONSEQUENCES OF Mtb INFECTION IN THE MOUSE**

Using histological techniques, many studies have been made of the pathologic consequences of infection in the mouse lung, and inclusion of this parameter in any mouse model provides important information regarding the health of the lung tissue. Importantly, although increased bacterial burden and decreased granuloma integrity are usually seen together, it is also the case that the granulomatous response develops over time despite little change in bacterial number (Rhoades et al. 1997). A recent development in our understanding of the role of B-cell follicles in immunity was prompted by the repeated observation of these follicles associated with *Mtb*-induced inflammation in the mouse. Using mouse models of gene deletion, bone marrow chimeras, and antibody/serum treatment, the role of B cells in *Mtb* infection has been examined. Recently, it has been shown that B cells serve to regulate the IL-17 response and thereby reduce neutrophil recruitment to the infection site (Kondratieva et al. 2010; Kozakiewicz et al. 2013). Using genetically depleted mice it was also found that loss of the activating receptor FcγRIIa compromises control of *Mtb* growth, whereas loss of the inhibitory receptor FcγRIIB improves control (Maglione et al. 2008). In contrast, in models where B cells are present but are unable to generate circulating immunoglobulin, they act to inhibit immunity in association with excess IL-10 production (Torrado et al. 2013). Recent work has indicated an association between B-cell follicles in migration of T cells from the blood vessels throughout the inflamed area of the lungs in mice (Khader 2013).
et al. 2009, 2011), and this work was extended to nonhuman primates (NHPs) and humans. It was found that B-cell follicles were in a minority of lesions from active TB patients, and that B-cell follicles were dominant in latently infected relative to actively infected NHPs (Slight et al. 2013). The mouse model was then used to investigate the causative connection between the B-cell follicles and bacterial control (Slight et al. 2013). It was found that although B-cell follicles are associated with control, they are not required for it and that they are a consequence of the appearance of C-X-C chemokine receptor 5 (CXCR5)-expressing CD4 T cells, which respond to CXCL13 and which act as follicular helper T cells. The expression of CXCR5 on the T cells is required to mediate appropriate migration of the activated T cell from the blood vessel to colocalize with infected phagocytes and thereby mediate macrophage activation (Slight et al. 2013). This work is a fine example of how the use of multiple models allows for the identification of a phenomenon followed by the definition of the pathways by which the phenomenon occurs.

Although activation of infected macrophages requires colocalization with antigen-specific T cells, these T cells are also actively regulated by the environment which they create. Although the ability of T cells to undergo migration arrest and mediate antibacterial function is low (see above), they are very able to activate the accumulated macrophages within the Mtb-induced lesion to generate a highly inflamed site. How T cell function within this site and how the site is regulated have been extensively addressed using mouse models. Original observations using gene-deleted mice indicated that IFN-γ and IL-12p40 were essential for control of Mtb infection in the mouse model (Cooper et al. 1993, 1997, 2002b), and these studies were complementary to the observation in humans that absence of these genes resulted in Mendelian susceptibility to mycobacterial disease (MSMD) (Filipe-Santos et al. 2006). However, the role of IFN-γ has been questioned because of the observation that the simple number of IFN-γ-producing T cells in the peripheral blood of humans does not strongly correlate with ability to control mycobacterial disease. Recent studies with mice, using gene-deleted and bone marrow chimera models, have shown that IFN-γ expression by T cells acts to limit the accumulation of neutrophils (Pearl et al. 2001; Nandi et al. 2011), and that it also regulates IL-17 activity (Desvignes et al. 2009; Nandi et al. 2011) within the inflamed site. IFN-γ also acts directly to limit the accumulation of activated T cells within the mycobacterial lesion (Gomes et al. 1999; Cooper et al. 2002a; Nandi et al. 2011), and this may contribute to the inability of the vertebrate host to eliminate the infection. One consequence of IFN-γ production is the generation of nitric oxide by macrophages within the granuloma. Although nitric oxide is critical to limit growth of Mtb, it is also known to regulate the pathologic response to mycobacterial infection (Cooper et al. 2002a). In this regard an antibiotic-dependent Mtb was recently used to specifically probe the anti-inflammatory activity of nitric oxide. It was found that lymphocyte-derived IFN-γ limited the production of IL-1 and subsequent immunopathology via the induction of nitric oxide–mediated inhibition of the NLRP3 inflammasome (Mishra et al. 2013). Nitric oxide also acts to limit the accumulation of activated T-cell subsets within mycobacterially infected tissue (Pearl et al. 2012).

Although the pathologic response to Mtb infection in the mouse lung does not recapitulate the complete set of outcomes seen in diseased human tissue, manipulation of the mouse model can be used to determine the factors involved in initiating and regulating inflammation. Comparative pathology can then be used to identify factors that, when dysregulated, allow for the type of pathology seen in humans.

USE OF THE MOUSE MODEL TO DEVELOP DRUGS AND VACCINES

Although the real power of the mouse model lies in the delineation of in vivo immunological and pathological pathways, it can also be used as a small animal model to study drugs and vaccines. It is in these studies where overinterpretation can cause problems. It is essential that the investigator ensures that the tool being used will
answer the question being asked and also that the scientific community interprets the observations made in light of the constraints of the model. Within drug development it is necessary to understand the context of the bacteria within the mouse; thus, if one wants to screen a drug for its ability to target bacteria in a macrophage-dominated lesion in the lung within an immunocompetent host, then a B6 mouse infected with \textit{Mtb} via the aerosol route is potentially suitable. If, however, one needs to target bacteria growing within a neutrophil-dominated or necrotic lesion, then one can use a mouse lacking IFN-\gamma production or signaling (Desvignes et al. 2009; Nandi et al. 2011) or an inbred strain such as I/St (Eruslanov et al. 2005) or mice with the sst1-susceptible locus (Pichugin et al. 2009). The above also applies when considering vaccination; it has been shown that the susceptibility profiles of different mouse strains are maintained after vaccination with BCG (Bacillus Calmette–Guérin) (Medina et al. 1999). Thus if one wants to test a vaccine in a model where in expression of immunity is compromised, then a susceptible mouse strain is the correct one to use. In terms of vaccine development, however, the mouse most effectively represents the capacity to investigate potential mechanisms of protection rather than a tool to decide which vaccines move forward.

**CONCLUSION**

The mouse model allows for both definitive and unbiased approaches with which to probe the interaction between \textit{Mtb} and a vertebrate host. It also provides a manipulatable environment that can reflect aspects of the human infection and disease progression. Overinterpretation and inappropriate translation to human disease can lead to issues, but judicious interpretation within the context of the field as a whole can lead to much greater understanding of the pathways mediating pathogenesis in tuberculosis.
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