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Epilepsy is broadly characterized by aberrant neuronal excitability. Glutamate is the predominant excitatory neurotransmitter in the adult mammalian brain; thus, much of past epilepsy research has attempted to understand the role of glutamate in seizures and epilepsy. Seizures induce elevations in extracellular glutamate, which then contribute to excitotoxic damage. Chronic seizures can alter neuronal and glial expression of glutamate receptors and uptake transporters, further contributing to epileptogenesis. Evidence points to a shared glutamate pathology for epilepsy and other central nervous system (CNS) disorders, including depression, which is often a comorbidity of epilepsy. Therapies that target glutamatergic neurotransmission are available, but many have met with difficulty because of untoward adverse effects. Better understanding of this system has generated novel therapeutic targets that directly and indirectly modulate glutamatergic signaling. Thus, future efforts to manage the epileptic patient with glutamatergic-centric treatments now hold greater potential.

EXCITOTOXICITY AND EPILEPSY

Normal neuronal signaling requires a complex orchestra of pre- and postsynaptic events mediated by intracellular signaling and gene expression pathways. Such short- and long-term changes can occur simultaneously and separately, dependently and independently. Normal signaling, however, goes awry in pathological conditions, such as epilepsy. The resulting aberrant cellular and network activity produces a shift in connectivity and synchronicity that contributes to disease progression. In epilepsy, chronic dysynchronous network activity induces extraneous neuronal firing and pathological alterations in signaling that may arise from multiple pathways. One factor that is heavily implicated in much of the aberrant signaling and resulting pathology of epilepsy is the neurotransmitter, glutamate. In the following text, the myriad glutamatergic mechanisms related to epilepsy will be explored. Further, present and potential avenues for modulating glutamate transmission for therapeutic gain will be discussed. Although current understanding of epilepsy has relied heavily on a role for glutamate, future efforts to better understand this essential neurotransmitter may result in improved therapeutic treatment strategies and preventative measures for the patient with epilepsy.
EXCITATORY NEUROTRANSMISSION IN THE NORMAL AND EPILEPTIC BRAIN

Glutamate is the predominant excitatory neurotransmitter of the adult mammalian brain and is critical to normal execution of numerous processes. Calcium-dependent presynaptic release of glutamate into the synaptic cleft is driven in response to neuronal depolarization. Glutamate, like the inhibitory neurotransmitter \( \gamma \)-aminobutyric acid (GABA), mediates its excitatory effects via several ionotropic and metabotropic receptor subclasses (Fig. 1). Of the postsynaptic ionotropic glutamate receptors, \( \alpha \)-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) receptors (AMPARs) are critical to fast excitatory neurotransmission, whereas \( N \)-methyl-\( \omega \)-aspartate (NMDA) receptors (NMDARs) mediate much of the slow postsynaptic excitatory potentials essential to global glutamatergic signaling.

Figure 1. The glutamatergic tripartite synapse. Excitatory afferents project from cortical or hippocampal regions, releasing glutamate into the synaptic cleft. Under normal conditions, synaptic glutamate can signal through ionotropic \( \alpha \)-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptors (AMPARs), \( N \)-methyl-\( \omega \)-aspartate receptors (NMDARs), and kainate receptors (KARs), or through metabotropic mGluR I, II, or III class receptors. Synaptic glutamate is subject to reuptake by astrocytes via the glutamate transporters, glutamate transporter 1 (GLT-1) and \( L \)-glutamate/\( L \)-aspartate transporter (GLAST). In epilepsy, GLT-1 and GLAST expression is down-regulated, an effect that can contribute to further excitotoxic damage under conditions of chronic seizure-induced glutamate release. Currently approved antiseizure drugs (ASDs) target some aspects of the glutamatergic synapse and more compounds are currently in development to selectively modulate glutamatergic signaling through mGluRs, as well as glial receptors and transporters. Astrocyte-specific strategies to augment GLT-1/GLAST expression in epilepsy, and thereby a decrease in extraneous synaptic levels of excitotoxic glutamate, is also an area of active investigation for therapeutic intervention. Additional areas of therapeutic development include mGluR targeting agents, cannabinoid (CB) receptor targeting compounds, and neuropeptide receptors, all of which are hypothesized to directly and indirectly modulate glutamatergic signaling. SE, Status epilepticus; mGluR, metabotropic glutamate receptor.
information processing. Glutamate can also interact with an additional ionotropic glutamate receptor, the kainate receptor (KAR). The KAR has a less clearly understood role in neuronal signaling that may include both pre- and postsynaptic modulation of excitatory neurotransmission (Contractor et al. 2000; Lerma and Marques 2013). The effect of glutamate on these receptor subtypes thereby stimulates a number of pre- and postsynaptic events, all of which underlie normal and abnormal neuronal activity.

The majority of fast excitatory synaptic transmission proceeds either through the presynaptic regulation of glutamate release or through the postsynaptic strengthening or weakening of neuronal connections at synapses via changes in AMPAR localization. The cycling of synaptic AMPARs promotes long-term potentiation and long-term depression (Anggono and Huganir 2012). Additionally, homeostatic plasticity facilitates long-duration plastic responses to subcellular modifications in synaptic transmission without large-scale alterations in regional connectivity (Turrigiano 2007, 2012). Neuronal circuit activity and past synaptic activity at individual synapses regulate the number, synaptic localization (externalized or internalized), and subunit composition of AMPARs. Thus, neurons can strengthen or weaken their response to excitatory input. Changes in relative synaptic strength certainly contribute to long-term changes in neurocircuitry within the brain. Synaptic remodeling ultimately contributes to neuronal destabilization, because of the increased or decreased ease with which a postsynaptic neuron can be depolarized by presynaptic input. For this reason, aberrant neuronal activity as seen within an epileptic network likely leads to long-term rewiring within neuronal networks, an effect that contributes to network hyperexcitability associated with epilepsy (Chen et al. 1999; Leite et al. 2005).

To counterbalance these rapid, destabilizing changes at individual spines, neurons also undergo homeostatic plasticity, which can stabilize activity at the individual neuron level and also at the circuit level (Anggono and Huganir 2012), effects that help to maintain a specific “set point” (Turrigiano 2012). When neuronal or circuit activity deviates from this set point, they respond in a manner that attempts to restore activity and reduce excitability. In epilepsy, repeated dysynchronous network activity may modify the circuit “set point,” as is observed within the entorhinal cortex-hippocampal microcircuit (Coulter et al. 2011). Mechanistically, extraneous synaptic activity results in enhanced intracellular calcium, gene transcription, and activation of signaling kinases (Seeberg et al. 2008; Goold and Nicoll 2010). Collectively, such changes likely contribute to the hallmarks of temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE), including neuronal loss, gliosis, and mossy fiber sprouting (Leite et al. 2005). These changes may also shift the extent of activity-induced AMPAR accumulation at synapses (Seeberg et al. 2008), which could give rise to enhanced synaptic efficiency or contribute to further aberrant glutamate release. In fact, calcium impermeable GluA2-containing AMPAR expression is increased in rat cerebral cortex following pilocarpine-induced status epilepticus (SE) (Russo et al. 2013), demonstrating a potential long-term shift in neuroplasticity that may contribute to neuroprotection against SE-induced neurotoxicity. With the observed network hyperactivity of epilepsy, the resultant set-point shift may underlie long-term modifications in neuronal connectivity and circuitry to contribute to ictogenesis and epileptogenesis.

**METABOTROPIC GLUTAMATE RECEPTOR SUBTYPES, REGULATION, AND ROLE IN ICTOGENESIS AND EPILEPSY**

In addition to postsynaptic ionotropic AMPARs and NMDARs, pre- and postsynaptic metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) have also been implicated in epilepsy. The mGluRs are divided into three major functional subgroups (Fig. 1). Group I includes presynaptic mGluR1 and postsynaptic mGluR5. Presynaptic mGluR1 can enhance vesicular release of glutamate, whereas postsynaptic mGluR5 can modulate the postsynaptic actions of ionotropic receptors (NMDA/AMPA). In neurons, mGluR5 is physically linked to NMDARs via the proteins Homer, shank, and PSD-95, and is involved in direct phosphorylation of NMDARs. Astrocytes
also show robust expression of mGluR5 (see Durand et al. 2013). Group II includes mGluR2/3, which reside predominately on the presynaptic terminal and can inhibit presynaptic glutamate release. Following pilocarpine-induced SE, there is a profound down-regulation of presynaptic mGluR2/3 expression in areas CA1 and CA3. This may promote enhanced excitability (Tang et al. 2004; Pacheco Otalia et al. 2006). Additionally, mGluR3 is expressed on astrocytes and regulates the expression of the glutamate transporters L-glutamate/L-aspartate transporter (GLAST) and glutamate transporter (GLT)-1 (Aronica et al. 2003), which are responsible for >90% of glutamate reuptake (Rothstein et al. 1994), an effect that can profoundly modulate glutamate levels in the synaptic cleft (Durand et al. 2013). Interestingly, astrocytic mGluR3 expression is up-regulated in TLE (Aronica et al. 2000). Extraneous glutamate release associated with chronic seizure activity likely contributes to the reported mGluR3 expression on astrocytes. Group III metabotropic glutamate receptors function as inhibitory presynaptic receptors and include mGluR4, 6, 7, and 8. Group III mGluRs are up-regulated in seizure and epilepsy models and may reflect a physiologic mechanism to compensate for increased hyperexcitability (Pitsch et al. 2007). Altogether, mGluRs represent a potential mechanism to modulate glutamatergic signaling in epilepsy.

The genetic mutation underlying fragile X syndrome (FXS), an autism spectrum disorder wherein ~14% of patients present with seizures (Berry-Kravis et al. 2010), is caused by dysfunction in the fragile X mental retardation protein (FMRP). FMRP disrupts mGluR5 trafficking to neuronal synapses, an effect that may contribute to seizures associated with FXS (Bardoni et al. 2001). This protein interacts with cellular processes essential to those neuroplasticity processes mediated by group I mGluRs, including mGluR5 (Bardoni et al. 2001). Fragile X mental retardation 1 (FMR1) knockout mice are susceptible to audiogenic seizures (Pacey et al. 2009), suggesting that mGluR5 plays a role in mediating neuronal hyperexcitability. A role for FMRP-mediated regulation of mGluR5 is also highlighted by the observation of greater de novo gene mutations in epilepsy associated specifically within autism-spectrum disorder- and FMRP-associated genes (Ep4K Consortium et al. 2013). This observation suggests that these pathologies likely converge at the level of glutamatergic neurotransmission and contribute to an imbalance in excitation. Clinical trials currently underway to examine the use of mGluR5 antagonists in the targeted treatment of FXS (Hagerman et al. 2009) will ultimately determine whether mGluR5 antagonists will be effective in the management of FXS-associated seizures, and perhaps other epilepsies.

Expression of group I mGluRs (e.g., mGluR1/5), at excitatory synapses is also regulated by the immediate early gene, Homer 1a (Brakeman et al. 1997). Induction of Homer 1a itself is modulated by NMDAR signaling mechanisms (Tu et al. 1999; Sato et al. 2001). Activation of Homer 1a can result in reduced tyrosine phosphorylation of GluA2-containing AMPARs (Hu et al. 2010; Turrigiano 2012), an effect that can reduce the expression of synaptic AMPARs and contribute to long-term changes in neuroplasticity observed in epilepsy. There is ample evidence for a key role of Homer 1a in seizures and epileptogenesis. In normal rats, Homer 1a expression is up-regulated following acute maximal electroshock (MES) (Fig. 2) (Brakeman et al. 1997; M Barker-Haliski and HS White, unpubl.) and chronic electroconvulsive seizures (Altar et al. 2004). In the kindling model of epilepsy (Potschka et al. 2002), Homer 1a-overexpressing mice show delays in kindling acquisition (Potschka et al. 2002), and Homer 1a is up-regulated in response to SE (Cavarsan et al. 2012). Homer 1a protein normally contributes to homeostatic scaling (Hu et al. 2010), which is likely aberrant in chronic seizures (Frank 2014). In addition to the observed dysregulation of Homer 1a in seizure models, expression of other synaptic plasticity-associated immediate early genes (IEGs), including c-Fos and Arc, have also been shown to be dysregulated in animal models of chronic seizures (Klein et al. 2004; Christensen et al. 2010). Moreover, such IEG dysregulation is highly conserved in some of the comorbidities of epilepsy, like neuropathic pain (Obara et al. 2013), as well as other instanc-
es of acute glutamatergic excitotoxicity, including methamphetamine-induced neurotoxicity (Barker-Haliski et al. 2012). Glutamatergic excitotoxicity may also induce long-term changes in basal and activity-dependent IEG expression following such an insult (Barker-Haliski et al. 2012). Collectively, these effects on IEG expression likely contribute to further modification of synaptic transmission in epilepsy (Ortuno-Sahagun et al. 2013). Aberrant glutamatergic signaling and chronic excitotoxic damage also leads to changes in IEG expression, all of which likely contribute to seizure maintenance and progression. Additional investigations are clearly required; however, observed changes in IEGs are of interest and suggestive of a novel mechanism underlying ictogenesis and perhaps epileptogenesis that could serve as a potential therapeutic target for the prevention and treatment of epilepsy.

**PREVENTION OF EPILEPTOGENESIS THROUGH MODULATION OF GLUTAMATERGIC MECHANISMS**

Evidence for a role of glutamate in seizures and epileptogenesis is found in animal models and humans during SE. For example, SE-induced by high sublethal to lethal doses of nerve agents is associated with excessive acetylcholine accumulation and a secondary recruitment of excitatory glutamatergic signaling (Lallement et al. 1991). SE-induced glutamate release results in overstimulation of glutamate receptors, including NMDARs, sustained long-term seizure activity, and development of seizure-induced brain damage (McDonough and Shih 1997; Dorandeu et al. 2013a). With prolonged SE, GABA receptors are internalized and NMDARs migrate to neuronal synapses (Wasterlain and Chen 2008; Naylor et al. 2013; Wasterlain et al. 2013), all effects that lead to reduced inhibition and hyperexcitability. These SE-induced changes in receptor localization highlight why drugs that target GABAergic neurotransmission likely fail to suppress seizures associated with sustained SE, whereas treatment with NMDAR antagonists in combination with GABA agonists and other agents can often successfully attenuate experimental SE.

NMDAR antagonists like MK-801 or ketamine are able to suppress seizures and be neuroprotective after prolonged SE (Dorandeu et al. 2013a).
et al. 2013a,b). Thus, modulation of glutamatergic signaling at the level of NMDARs plays a critical role in mitigating SE-induced damage. The extensive damage associated with prolonged SE is widely appreciated to represent a risk for the development of epilepsy. Long-term remodeling of synaptic connectivity and dendritic morphology mediated by NMDARs may contribute to the onset of spontaneous recurrent seizures. NMDAR subunits undergo posttranscriptional modifications and epigenetic changes in response to SE (Ryley Parrish et al. 2013), effects that contribute to long-lasting changes in circuit connectivity. For these reasons, specifically targeting the glutamatergic system with NMDAR antagonists may effectively prevent epileptogenesis after SE (Osterweil et al. 2013). Moreover, inhibition of brain-specific microRNA-134, which participates in those processes necessary for NMDAR-dependent spine remodeling (Schratt et al. 2006), can prevent spontaneous recurrent seizures months after SE in >90% of animals treated; an effect suggesting a strategy to prevent TLE (Jimenez-Mateos et al. 2012). Although not indicative of antiepileptogenesis per se, Sroubek and colleagues (2001) were able to use ketamine to prevent memory deficits associated with pilocarpine-induced SE. Further, Loss and colleagues (2012) observed reductions in post-SE-induced anxiety with ketamine treatment. Because growing evidence suggests that certain comorbidities may actually precede epileptogenesis (Kanner et al. 2012; Brooks-Kayal et al. 2013), the ability of NMDAR antagonists to prevent the onset of epilepsy-associated comorbidities (i.e., disease modifying), suggests a role for glutamate excitotoxicity in mediating epileptogenesis and some of the attendant comorbidities.

A ROLE FOR GLIAL REGULATION OF GLUTAMATE IN EPILEPSY

Investigations to understand the neuronal dysfunction that occurs in epilepsy has led to the development of many antiseizure drugs (ASDs) that modulate neuronal signaling. Although glia were traditionally viewed as mere support cells of the nervous system, growing evidence, and interest in the field, has now firmly established an essential role for glial cells in regulating normal and abnormal neuronal function. Astrocytes actively participate in synaptic signaling by ensheathing neuronal synapses with processes rich in neurotransmitter transporters and receptors that function to buffer synaptic glutamate levels (Halassa et al. 2007). Astrocytes are considered critical to the regulation of extracellular glutamate levels, for example, astrocytes possess the transporters GLAST and GLT-1 necessary for the removal of glutamate from the synapse (Rothstein et al. 1994). In times of excessive seizure activity or another excitotoxic event, extracellular glutamate levels rise, and contribute to the reactive astrocytosis associated with epileptogenesis and other neurotoxic events (Laird et al. 2008; Wetherington et al. 2008; Friend and Keefe 2013). Through the screening of FDA-approved drugs, Rothstein and colleagues showed that the antibacterial, ceftriaxone, could substantially up-regulate GLT1 messenger RNA (mRNA) transcription and exert a neuroprotective effect in an in vivo model of ischemic injury (Rothstein et al. 2005). Moreover, GLT-1-overexpressing mice show a significant reduction in disease severity following pilocarpine-induced SE (Kong et al. 2012), suggesting that targeting glutamate transporters through transcriptional or translational regulation processes could represent an as-yet untapped therapeutic strategy for excitotoxic injury, including epilepsy (Lin et al. 2012). Efforts are now underway to use ceftriaxone to increase expression of glutamate transporters in a variety of preclinical models and clinical disorders associated with glutamate-mediated excitotoxic injury, including ALS (Simantov et al. 1999; Berry et al. 2013), Huntington’s disease (Miller et al. 2008), substance-abuse disorders (Sari et al. 2009; Abulseoud et al. 2012), traumatic brain injury (Goodrich et al. 2013), and epilepsy (Jelenkovic et al. 2008; Rawls et al. 2010; Zeng et al. 2010). As interest in, and understanding of, glial function in pathological conditions grows, efforts to capitalize on this knowledge will provide significant potential for “glia-centric” therapeutics.
One of the most characterized instances of astroglial reactivity in epilepsy is that which is observed following SE and during subsequent epileptogenesis in TLE (Gibbons et al. 2013). Astroglial reactivity is defined by hypertrophy, changes in expression of intermediate filaments, such as glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), and morphological changes in astrocytic processes, including elongation and thickening. Following SE, several neuronal and astroglial morphological changes occur within the brain that likely contribute to physiological rearrangement underlying TLE (Engel 1996). In particular, normal glutamate clearance is disrupted because of reduced expression of GLAST and GLT-1 (Rothstein et al. 1994; Tanaka et al. 1997). Synaptic glutamate clearance normally requires active reuptake by these transporters, rather than enzymatic degradation; thus, reduction in the expression of these transporters may contribute to ictogenesis and seizure maintenance. Using immunohistochemistry, gross reductions in expression of glial GLAST and GLT-1 is observed in resected hippocampal tissues from patients with pharmacoresistant TLE, whereas the neuronal glutamate transporter, EAAC1, expression is increased (Proper et al. 2002). GLT-1 expression is also reduced in rats following lithium-pilocarpine SE (Crino et al. 2002) and amygdala kindling (Miller et al. 1997), whereas EAAC1 expression is increased. Unfortunately, the observed long-term changes in transporter expression have not been able to clearly reveal those acute changes that initiate epileptogenesis and lead to the development of TLE. Studies 1–2 weeks following SE in astrocytes derived from rats with Kainate (KA)-induced SE, a time in which spontaneous seizure activity is low (Williams et al. 2009), showed no detectable change in the amplitude of glutamate transport currents nor a detectable change in GLT-1 expression (Takahashi et al. 2010). However, it was observed that reactive post-SE astrocytes show an enhanced capacity to clear extracellular glutamate, as measured by a decrease in the decay kinetics of glutamate transport currents (Takahashi et al. 2010). These changes in glutamate transport currents may be caused by alterations in the number and type of synaptic transporters (Takahashi et al. 2010). Although these data obtained following KA-induced SE conflict with transporter expression data collected from human patients with pharmacoresistant TLE (Proper et al. 2002), as well as rodent pilocarpine (Crino et al. 2002) and kindling data (Miller et al. 1997), it is known that KA-induced SE is associated with a decrease in EAAC1 expression and a moderate increase in astroglial transporter expression. Thus, changes in transporter kinetics observed following KA-induced SE may suggest that SE models result in subcellular response variability. On the other hand, these findings may suggest that acute subcellular changes in glutamate transporter kinetics following SE may promote long-term changes in receptor expression in established TLE. Efforts to clearly define both acute and chronic changes in glutamate receptor function and expression may reveal new avenues for therapeutic intervention.

SE is also known to affect metabotropic glutamate receptor expression on astrocytes. Following SE, an up-regulation of mGluR1/5-glutamate receptors on reactive astrocytes has been reported in a rat model of TLE (Aronica et al. 2000), as well as human TLE patients (Notenboom et al. 2006). How astrocyte-specific changes in glutamate receptor expression following SE can contribute to TLE is still relatively unexplored, but may provide novel mechanisms to bring forth a new class of astrocyte-selective antiseizure therapies (Gibbons et al. 2013). Such glial receptor–specific compounds, like tetrahydrobenzothiepine oxide (THPO) as an inhibitor of astrocyte-specific GABA transporters (White et al. 2002; Schousboe et al. 2014), suggest yet another approach that may lead to a new class of compounds for the prevention of epileptogenesis post-SE.

EPILEPSY-RELATED PHENOTYPES ASSOCIATED WITH ABERRANT GLUTAMATE SIGNALING

An interesting role for glutamate signaling and glutamate receptors in epilepsy arises from patients with encephalitis because of the development of NMDAR antibodies (Niehusmann
et al. 2009; Lancaster et al. 2011) and/or antibodies directed against glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD) (Boronat et al. 2011; Liimatainen et al. 2014). Sometimes, the autoimmune disorder, including the seizures, can be effectively controlled with clinical immunotherapy treatment despite the fact that the antibodies are persistently detectable in sera (Alexopoulos et al. 2011; Lancaster et al. 2011). Such reports of seizures arising from autoimmune attack of glutamatergic receptors further implicate the glutamatergic system in epilepsy.

In addition to behavioral seizures associated with epilepsy, many patients also suffer from a number of comorbid conditions, which often become more detrimental to a patient’s quality of life than the seizures themselves (Brooks-Kayal et al. 2013). Increasing evidence points to a shared glutamate pathology in several of these comorbid conditions, including those related to depression (Zarate et al. 2006; Niciu et al. 2013) and schizophrenia (Cascella et al. 2009; Merritt et al. 2013). The hypothesized glutamate imbalance in both epilepsy and these specific comorbidities may help explain the efficacy of NMDAR antagonists, like ketamine, in depression (Zarate et al. 2006) and prevention of pathological damage associated with SE (Dorandeu et al. 2013a,b). Such evidence is intriguing given the clinical advances with ASDs, including levetiracetam, tiagabine, vigabatrin, and zonisamide (Piedade et al. 2012). Thus, the potential for adverse psychotic events should be considered when embarking on any ASD-treatment regimen in individuals with a risk of psychosis.

CURRENT ASDs THAT MODULATE GLUTAMATE-MEDIATED NEUROTRANSMISSION

Because of the importance of maintaining balance between excitation and inhibition, much effort to develop pharmacological therapies for epilepsy have focused on identifying compounds that can modulate these two pathways. To date, several ASDs are available that target the glutamatergic system (Fig. 1). Topiramate suppresses excitatory neurotransmission by attenuating non-NMDA-type glutamate receptor excitatory neurotransmission through inhibition of kainate-evoked currents (Gibbs et al. 2000). Topiramate has also been shown to reduce high basal concentrations of extracellular glutamate in hippocampi of spontaneously epileptic rats (Kanda et al. 1996). In addition to topiramate, the broad-spectrum ASD felbamate can inhibit glutamate currents mediated by NMDARs (Rho et al. 1994). Pregabalin selectively binds to the accessory subunit α2δ-1 of voltage-gated calcium channels (Dooley et al. 2007) to block P/Q-type calcium currents, thereby reducing the calcium-dependent release of glutamate (Dooley et al. 2000). In addition to effects on voltage-gated sodium channels, lamotrigine can modulate P/Q-type, N-type, and R-type calcium channels (Wang et al. 1996; Dibue et al. 2013), all of which are expressed on presynaptic nerve terminals, thereby indirectly modulating glutamate release. Other sodium channel blockers, including phenytoin and carbamazepine, also reduce evoked glutamate release through their initiating treatment with ASDs, especially for those patients with familial or personal history of psychiatric illness. As one study showed, 3.7% of patients who were treated with topiramate presented with psychotic adverse events (Mula et al. 2003). Such adverse psychotropic effects are also observed with other ASDs, including levetiracetam, tiagabine, vigabatrin, and zonisamide (Piedade et al. 2012). Thus, the potential for adverse psychotic events should be considered when embarking on any ASD-treatment regimen in individuals with a risk of psychosis.
ability to block sodium-evoked calcium influx and excitation-induced glutamate release (Fig. 1). Thus, currently approved ASDs show varying degrees of effects on glutamatergic neurotransmission, effects that can be either direct or indirect.

Although several of the available ASDs indirectly target glutamatergic signaling, glutamate receptor–selective agents have been slower to emerge. As NMDA hypofunction is hypothesized to contribute to schizophrenia, it is no surprise that clinical development of NMDAR-selective antagonists have met with difficulty owing to adverse behavioral effects (Wada et al. 1992). However, results obtained with selective NMDAR antagonists in depression suggest that it may be possible to selectively target NMDAR for therapeutic gain (see above). Of all ASDs currently approved for clinical use, only felbamate possesses any substantial effects on NMDARs (Rho et al. 1994; White et al. 1995a; Kleckner et al. 1999; Harty and Rogawski 2000). Conversely, modulation of AMPARs may be a more promising clinical target because modulation of AMPAR, but not NMDAR (Hunt and Castillo 2012), signaling exerts fewer effects on neuroplasticity (Goda and Stevens 1996) and has greater potential to modulate hyperexcitability without potential for psychosis (Rogawski 2013). For these reasons, efforts to develop AMPAR-selective agents resulted in clinical approval of perampanel as the first-in-class glutamate system-selective drug for epilepsy (Hanada et al. 2011; Loscher and Schmidt 2012; Rheims and Ryvlin 2013; Rogawski 2013). Perampanel is a noncompetitive AMPAR antagonist (Rheims and Ryvlin 2013) that decreases neuronal excitability and synchronization characteristic of epileptiform activity (Rogawski 2013).

EMERGING MECHANISMS FOR POTENTIAL THERAPIES THAT MODULATE THE GLUTAMATE SYSTEM

Many other agents that directly target non-NMDA-type glutamate receptors are now in preclinical and clinical development in an effort to exploit this mechanism to reduce hyperexcitability in the epileptic brain (Alexander and Godwin 2006; Faught 2014). Although not critically addressed in this review, KARs represent another key class of glutamate receptors that may play a role in epilepsy, and recent evidence indicates that these receptors are up-regulated in astrocytes in response to SE (Vargas et al. 2013). However, whether these receptors are functional and actively contribute to seizures remains to be determined, but could suggest that selectively targeting astrocytic processes that contribute to glutamate release could be a novel therapeutic target for the treatment of epilepsy (Gibbons et al. 2013). Additionally, selurampanel (BGG492; Fig. 2) is an AMPA/KA-receptor antagonist (Faught 2014) undergoing clinical trials in patients with partial (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier NCT01338805) and photosensitive epilepsy (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier NCT00784212). The approval of perampanel and knowledge of the glutamatergic system gained over the last several decades provides strong support that non-NMDA-type glutamate receptors can be effectively manipulated to manage epilepsy.

More promising for the patient with epilepsy, however, is the potential for indirect modulation of the glutamate system through alternative strategies that have yet to be clinically deployed in the therapeutic arsenal. The following targets and mechanisms to modulate the glutamate system potentially offer first-in-class therapies for the patient with epilepsy and provide promise for the ~30% of patients resistant to current therapies (Brodie and Kwan 2002).

In addition to efforts to increase transcriptional up-regulation of glutamate transporters, like GLT-1 (see above), other strategies to modulate glutamate transporters include efforts to exploit the neuropeptide system. Neuropeptides have long been known to possess endogenous anticonvulsant properties and may also represent a unique therapeutic strategy for the patient with epilepsy (White et al. 2009; Zhang et al. 2009). As an example, galanin receptors are notably up-regulated on glial cells following neuronal injury (Cortes et al. 1990; Xu et al. 1992), and galanin itself possesses significant anticonvulsant properties (Mazarati et al. 1992, 1998;
Lin et al. 2003) likely because of indirect modulation of presynaptic glutamate release (Ben-Ari 1990; Kinney et al. 1998). Galanin analogs have shown preclinical efficacy in various seizure models (White et al. 2009; Jequier Gygax et al. 2014); however, the true test of this strategy will necessarily have to await clinical data from appropriately designed clinical trials.

The endocannabinoid system has also emerged as a novel therapeutic strategy to indirectly modulate glutamatergic signaling. The cannabinoid receptors (CB)1 and CB2, are expressed on both glia and neurons (Stella 2010) and CB1 receptors are regulated through glutamatergic mechanisms. Although CB1 receptors are highly expressed on GABAergic interneurons, classical effects of cannabinoids are presumed to be mediated through CB1 receptors expressed on glutamatergic principal neurons (Monory et al. 2007; Stella 2010). CB2 receptors are not highly expressed in the healthy brain (see Stella 2010), but CB2 receptors are up-regulated on infiltrating microglia in response to CNS injury and inflammation (Fig. 2) (Maresz et al. 2005). These observations provide a contextual therapeutic target in epilepsy (i.e., microglia that are activated in response to CNS injury and inflammation (Fig. 2) (Maresz et al. 2005). Thus, cannabinoids likely act by indirectly modulating glutamatergic transmission via neuronal CB1 receptors and indirectly confer anti-inflammatory effects through microglial CB2 receptors. Even though well-validated preclinical data on the effects of cannabidiol in epilepsy and seizure models is sparse, that which is available suggests efficacy of cannabidiol in various in vitro and in vivo seizure models (Consroe et al. 1982; Jones et al. 2010, 2012). These reasons, when coupled with anecdotal evidence in human patients with drug-refractory epilepsy, may suggest a potential clinical benefit for high-cannabidiol containing marijuana extracts in controlling difficult-to-treat epilepsies in children (Porter and Jacobson 2013). Clinical trials in children with Dravet’s syndrome are underway (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier NCT02091375 and NCT02091206) and these data, as well as results from earlier tolerability studies in a small population of adult epilepsy patients (Cunha et al. 1980), are important for understanding the role of CB receptors in epilepsy.

In addition to anecdotal efficacy of cannabidiol itself, combination studies may suggest potential synergism of CB receptor–targeting agents used in combination with approved ASDs. Luszczki and colleagues (2011, 2013) used the mouse MES test to examine the protective effects of the general CB receptor agonist, WIN 55,212-2, in combination with various ASDs. They observed a potentiated anticonvulsant efficacy with several ASDs in this test in the presence of WIN (5 mg/kg), specifically WIN 55,212-2 in combination with carbamazepine and valproate (Luszczki et al. 2011), lamotrigine, pregabalin, and topiramate (Luszczki et al. 2013). Importantly, the synergism was not caused by changes in brain (Luszczki et al. 2011) or plasma (Luszczki et al. 2013) concentration of the ASDs themselves, but likely arose from convergent suppressive effects on glutamatergic neurotransmission (Fig. 1). These drug combination studies, therefore, suggest potential synergistic action of CB agonists with some ASDs, which may also provide clinical benefit for seizure control. However, although combinations with second-generation ASDs resulted in no significant adverse motor effects (Luszczki et al. 2013), combination with first-generation ASDs resulted in significant impairments in motor coordination, muscle strength, and long-term memory (Luszczki et al. 2011) suggesting that the combination of WIN 55,212-2 with some ASDs results in a negative narrowing of the therapeutic window. As such, additional preclinical and clinical investigations are still required before the therapeutic potential of CB/ASD combination therapies can be clearly defined. Whether CB agonist combination therapies with second- and third-generation ASDs, as well as glutamate-selective compounds, will prove useful in the management of epilepsy is certainly of clinical importance and deserving of further study.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Taken together, substantial evidence shows that glutamate plays a pivotal role in normal neuro-
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