Prion Strain Diversity

Jason C. Bartz

Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, School of Medicine, Creighton University, Omaha, Nebraska 68178

Correspondence: jbartz@creighton.edu

Prion diseases affect a wide range of mammal species and are caused by a misfolded self-propagating isoform (PrP^{Sc}) of the normal prion protein (PrP^C). Distinct strains of prions exist and are operationally defined by differences in a heritable phenotype under controlled experimental transmission conditions. Prion strains can differ in incubation period, clinical signs of disease, tissue tropism, and host range. The mechanism by which a protein-only pathogen can encode strain diversity is only beginning to be understood. The prevailing hypothesis is that prion strain diversity is encoded by strain-specific conformations of PrP^{Sc}; however, strain-specific cellular cofactors have been identified in vitro that may also contribute to prion strain diversity. Although much progress has been made on understanding the etiological agent of prion disease, the relationship between the strain-specific properties of PrP^{Sc} and the resulting phenotype of disease in animals is poorly understood.

PRIONS AND PRION DISEASES

Prion diseases are a group of transmissible neurodegenerative diseases that affect animals, including humans. The animal prion diseases include scrapie in sheep and goats, transmissible mink encephalopathy (TME) in ranch-raised mink, chronic wasting disease (CWD) in cervids, and bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) (Cuillé and Chelle 1936; Zlotnik and Stamp 1961; Marsh and Hanson 1969; Williams and Young 1980, 1992; Wells 1987; Hope et al. 1988; Marsh et al. 1991; Liberski et al. 2009; Saunders et al. 2012). The human prion diseases can be acquired, inherited, or can occur sporadically, and include Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease (CJD), Gerstmann–Sträussler–Scheinker disease (GSS), fatal familial insomnia (FFI), and kuru (Alpers and Gajdusek 1965;

Gibbs et al. 1968, 1980; Goldfarb et al. 1992; Tateishi et al. 1995). Prion diseases have long asymptomatic incubation periods that range from months to decades and are followed by a short symptomatic phase that is characterized by progressive cognitive and/or motor deficits (Dickinson and Outram 1979; Race et al. 2001). During the asymptomatic phase, prions can be detected in the central nervous system (CNS) and in extraneural locations (Hadlow et al. 1987). Currently, effective treatment for prion diseases is not available, and they are inevitably fatal.

The prion is composed primarily, if not entirely, of an abnormal isoform (PrP^{Sc}) of the host-encoded prion protein (PrP^C) (Bolton et al. 1982; Prusiner 1982; Basler et al. 1986; Deleault et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2010). Prion propagation is thought to occur in a three-

Editor: Stanley B. Prusiner

Additional Perspectives on Prion Diseases available at www.perspectivesinmedicine.org

Copyright © 2016 Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; all rights reserved; doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a024349

Cite this article as *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 2016;6:a024349

J.C. Bartz

step process in which PrP^{Sc} first binds to PrP^C, followed by a conformational conversion of PrP^C to PrP^{Sc}. This conversion results in a change in the physical properties of PrP^C, which includes an increase in β -pleated sheet content and decreased solubility in nondenaturing detergents (Caughey and Raymond 1991; Pan et al. 1993; Moore et al. 2011). Next, fragmentation of the growing PrP^{Sc} polymer results in the generation of new free ends for PrP^C to bind to. In the absence of preexisting PrP^{Sc}, PrP^C can spontaneously misfold into PrP^{Sc} that is enhanced by PrP^C mutations, providing a molecular basis for sporadic and familial forms of human prion disease.

PRION STRAIN DEFINITION

Prion strains are operationally defined as a phenotype of disease under a fixed set of agent and host parameters (Fig. 1). For example, agent parameters such as titer strongly influence the incubation period of disease (Marsh and Hanson 1978; Prusiner et al. 1981, 1982). Host parameters, including route of infection and PrP genotype, influence the incubation period and effective titer of disease (Dickinson et al. 1968, 1969; Dickinson and Fraser 1969; Dickinson and Meikle 1971; Kimberlin and Walker 1977, 1979, 1982, 1986; Bruce and Dickinson 1985). Under experimental conditions in which these parameters are precisely controlled, distinct

phenotypes of disease correspond with prion strains (Fig. 1). Differences in the distribution and relative intensity of spongiform degeneration in select areas of the CNS are, at the current time, the most well-accepted criteria to distinguish strains (Fraser and Dickinson 1967, 1968). Not all disease phenotypes are unique to a given strain; for example, strains with distinct distributions of spongiform degeneration can have similar incubation periods and/or clinical signs of disease (Ayers et al. 2011). Importantly, the strain-specific phenotype is maintained on serial passage and is therefore heritable. Under passage conditions that are not precisely controlled, or when serial passage is not available, the term “prion isolate” may best reflect this uncertainty. A significant shortcoming of the operational definition of prion strains is that the degree to which the disease phenotype has to vary to be considered a unique strain is not agreed upon.

PRION STRAIN TROPISM

Prion strains can differ in the range of pathological changes observed in the CNS. The relative intensity of spongiform degeneration in specified regions of the CNS at terminal disease is the basis of the lesion profile (Fraser and Dickinson 1967). Strains of prions are defined by differences in the lesion profile. Although semiquantitative, the lesion profile is a robust

Figure 1. Host–agent interactions in prion disease.

and highly reproducible technique that has been used for more than 40 years. There is a wealth of existing lesion-profile data that is useful for comparison to newly described prions. The use of the lesion profile can also aid in establishing the etiology of disease, as in the case of transmission of BSE to humans, which results in the emergence of variant CJD (Bruce et al. 1997). Although the lesion profile is the defining characteristic of prion strains, it does not necessarily reflect strain-specific differences in neuronal tropism because neurons can harbor high levels of PrP^{Sc} at terminal disease yet fail to develop spongiform degeneration (van Keulen et al. 1995).

Differences in the regional deposition of PrP^{Sc} within the CNS are strain specific. Histoblot analysis of the brains from hamsters or transgenic mice expressing hamster PrP^C infected with either the Sc237 or 139H strains of hamster-adapted scrapie have regional differences in the localization of PrP^{Sc} (Hecker et al. 1992; DeArmond et al. 1993). Immunohistochemistry can also reveal differences in the localization of PrP^{Sc} immunoreactivity between different strains and can provide additional information on the cellular distribution of PrP^{Sc} within neurons and glia (Jeffrey et al. 2001, 2003; Sisó et al. 2010; Ayers et al. 2011).

The tropism of prions outside the CNS is a determinant of prion strains. In natural prion disease, differences in the distribution of PrP^{Sc} in the spleen and lymph node are apparent between classical and atypical scrapie. Atypical scrapie is characterized by a relative lack of detectable PrP^{Sc} in these tissues compared with the classical form; however, lymphoreticular system (LRS) tissues from atypical scrapie cases still harbor infectivity (Benestad et al. 2003; Klingeborn et al. 2006; Androletti et al. 2011). A more striking example of tissue tropism is observed in hamsters infected with either the hyper (HY) or drowsy (DY) strains of TME. PrP^{Sc}, as well as infectivity, is widely distributed in HY TME-infected hamsters and is detected in the brain, LRS, skeletal muscle, nasal secretions, and blood (Bessen and Marsh 1992b; Marsh and Bessen 1994; Mulcahy et al. 2004; Bessen et al. 2010; Elder et al. 2013, 2015). In

contrast, infectivity and PrP^{Sc} are limited to the CNS of DY TME-infected hamsters (Bartz et al. 2003, 2004; Bessen et al. 2009). Additionally, hamsters are not susceptible to extraneural infection with the DY TME agent, perhaps attributable to a failure to establish infection in secondary LRS tissues, which is an important component of extraneural prion pathogenesis (Dickinson and Fraser 1972; Race et al. 2000; McCulloch et al. 2011).

The mechanism responsible for PrP^{Sc} tropism is poorly understood. Ocular inoculation of prions results in a sequential spread of spongiform degeneration that is consistent with transport along neuroanatomical tracks (Fraser and Dickinson 1985; Scott and Fraser 1989). Consistent with this seminal observation, the temporal and spatial spread of PrP^{Sc} in the nervous system is consistent with spread along neuroanatomical tracks regardless of the initial site of inoculation (Beekes et al. 1998; Androletti et al. 2000; Beekes and McBride 2000; van Keulen et al. 2000; Bartz et al. 2003; Kincaid and Bartz 2007). Sciatic nerve inoculation results in direct neuronal spread of prions in rats, mice, and hamsters (Kimberlin et al. 1983; Bassant et al. 1986; Bartz et al. 2002). Detailed analysis of the temporal and spatial spread of PrP^{Sc} in the peripheral and central nervous system of hamsters inoculated in the sciatic nerve with either the HY or DY TME strains indicates that both these strains are retrogradely transported along the same four descending neuroanatomical pathways (Ayers et al. 2009). At terminal disease, strain-specific differences in PrP^{Sc} distribution in the CNS are observed; however, this could be overcome by altering the route of infection (Ayers et al. 2009). These data suggest that, once in the CNS, strain-specific differences in transport or the ability of neurons to support PrP^{Sc} formation do not exist, but rather strain-specific differences in neurodegeneration (i.e., clinical target areas) contribute to the observed differences in PrP^{Sc} deposition at terminal disease (Kimberlin et al. 1987a; Kimberlin and Walker 1988; Mirabile et al. 2014). Alternatively, recent evidence suggests that prion replication cofactors can alter strain properties in vitro (Deleault et al. 2012b; Miller et al. 2013; Supat-

J.C. Bartz

tapone 2014). It is possible, in vivo, that differences in the cellular distribution of strain-specific cofactors influence tropism. Less is known about the mechanism underlying tissue tropism outside the CNS. It is possible that strain-specific replication cofactors or inhibitors govern PrP^{Sc} formation; alternatively, strain-specific differences in the balance between PrP^{Sc} formation and clearance may influence tissue tropism (Choi and Priola 2013).

PRION STRAIN PROPERTIES

Prion strain diversity may be encoded by distinct conformations of PrP^{Sc}. The mere existence of prion strain diversity was used as evidence against the prion hypothesis (Bruce and Dickinson 1987). In the absence of a prion-specific nucleic acid genome, new mechanisms to encode strain diversity must exist. Studies of rodent-adapted prions were the first to indicate that differences in the biochemical properties of PrP^{Sc} correspond with different prion strains (Kascsak et al. 1987). Subsequent studies using the well-characterized HY and DY strains of TME were the first to show that PrP^{Sc} could have strain-specific differences in the proteinase K (PK) digestion site, relative PK resistance, and detergent solubility (Bessen and Marsh 1992a,b, 1994). Consistent with these findings, strain-specific differences in PrP^{Sc} migration properties of human prion isolates were preserved on transmission to transgenic mice expressing chimeric mouse–human PrP^C (Telling et al. 1996). These observed differences in the PK cleavage site of PrP^{Sc} from several strains suggest that the conformation of PrP^{Sc} differs among prion strains. Structural studies of PrP^{Sc} using Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy indicate that strain-specific differences in the secondary structure of PrP^{Sc} exist (Caughey et al. 1998; Moore et al. 2011). Electron microscopy of PrP^{Sc} fibrils enriched from murine brain indicate that the diameter and twist periodicity could differentiate prion strains (Sim and Caughey 2009). The conformation-dependent immunoassay (CDI) measures changes in immunoreactivity of PrP^{Sc} under conditions of increasing denaturation compared with immu-

noreactivity of PrP^C and can identify strain-specific differences in PrP^{Sc} conformation between several rodent prion strains (Safar et al. 1998). Additionally, the PrP^{Sc} conformational stability assay has identified strain-specific differences in PrP^{Sc} stability from a wide range of natural and synthetic prion strains (Peretz et al. 2001; Thackray et al. 2007; Colby et al. 2009; Ayers et al. 2011; Gonzalez-Montalban et al. 2011; Ghaemmaghami et al. 2013). Although these assays indicate strain-specific differences in PrP^{Sc} conformation, it is unclear what conformational differences in the structure of PrP^{Sc} (e.g., tertiary or quaternary) contribute to the measured differences. Strain-specific differences in the PrP^{Sc} aggregate state have been observed using sedimentation equilibrium but not sedimentation velocity ultracentrifugation, suggesting that strain-specific differences in PrP^{Sc} size occur (Tixador et al. 2010; Laferrière et al. 2013). Luminescent conjugated polythiophenes (LCPs) are a class of amyloid binding dyes whose emission wavelength spectra are influenced by the morphology of the bound ligand (Nilsson et al. 2006). When LCP is bound to the PrP^{Sc} of different prion strains, a strain-specific LCP emission wavelength is detected, which suggests strain-specific differences in the tertiary or quaternary structure of PrP^{Sc} (Sigurdson et al. 2007).

Cellular cofactors can influence the rate of PrP^{Sc} formation, and there is increasing evidence to suggest that cellular cofactors can also contribute to prion strain diversity. Phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) can enhance the formation of murine PrP^{Sc} in vitro (Deleault et al. 2012a). When three distinct prion strains were propagated using protein misfolding cyclic amplification (PMCA) that contained only PrP^C and PE as the cofactor, the properties of each of the input strains changed. Interestingly, all three strains were altered into a single indistinguishable strain with unique properties in animals compared with the input strains as defined by incubation period, neuropathology, and the conformational stability of PrP^{Sc}. This convergence of strain properties that is induced by the presence of PE indicates that cofactors can modify strain properties in vitro that are

maintained in vivo (Deleault et al. 2012b). Consistent with this finding is the observation that cofactors can initiate structural rearrangements of PrP^C that may initiate or facilitate the formation of PrP^{Sc} (Miller et al. 2013). These seminal studies provide evidence that cellular cofactors can influence strain properties in vitro, but what remains to be determined is how, in vivo, these cellular cofactors are involved in regulating the strain-specific phenotype of disease.

Strain-specific differences in the accumulation of infectivity and PrP^{Sc} during the time course of disease are well documented (Marsh and Kimberlin 1975; Kimberlin and Walker 1979; Hecker et al. 1992; Beekes et al. 1996; van Keulen et al. 2000; Mulcahy and Bessen 2004; Kaatz et al. 2012). These studies suggest strain-specific differences in the rate of prion replication in various tissues from natural and experimental prion disease but are limited by the complexity of the animal host and the resulting alternative explanations. For example, strain-specific differences in the rate of transport to new areas of the tissue that support prion formation, the rate of prion clearance, and differences in cell death all can contribute to the overall titer of a tissue (Ayers et al. 2009; Choi and Priola 2013). In an attempt to overcome these weaknesses, the relative efficiency of PrP^{Sc} formation was calculated using PMCA, which only measures PrP^{Sc} formation and not clearance in a closed system (Shikiya et al. 2014). Using this technique, strain-specific differences in the efficiency of PrP^{Sc} formation have been observed (Makarava et al. 2010; Shikiya et al. 2010; Ayers et al. 2011; Gonzalez-Montalban et al. 2011). Interestingly, prion strains with short incubation periods have correspondingly higher efficiencies of PrP^{Sc} formation compared with strains with long incubation periods (Ayers et al. 2011). The structural underpinnings of these observations are unknown. The aggregate size of PrP^{Sc} has a strong influence on prion formation rates, with small PrP^{Sc} aggregates having higher specific activity compared with larger PrP^{Sc} aggregates (Silveira et al. 2005). Therefore, strain-specific differences in the distribution of PrP^{Sc} aggregates may influence the rate of PrP^{Sc} formation and the out-

come of disease. In addition, sialation of PrP can influence the rate of PrP^{Sc} formation, and strain-specific differences in PrP sialation may contribute to the overall rate of PrP^{Sc} formation (Katorcha et al. 2014). Strain-specific differences in the requirement for RNA in the formation of PrP^{Sc} have been identified; however, it is unclear whether RNA contributes to the strain-specific properties of disease (Deleault et al. 2010; Piro et al. 2011; Saá et al. 2012; Gonzalez-Montalban et al. 2013).

Fragmentation of PrP^{Sc} is hypothesized to be required for PrP^{Sc} propagation. Although a PrP^{Sc} fragmentation assay does not currently exist, differences in the conformational stability of PrP^{Sc} in denaturants have been used as a surrogate marker of PrP^{Sc} fragmentation. Initial studies in murine prion strains have indicated that strains with shorter incubation periods have PrP^{Sc} with a lower conformational stability compared with PrP^{Sc} from long incubation period strains (Colby et al. 2009; Colby and Prusiner 2011; Ghaemmaghami et al. 2011). This finding suggests that PrP^{Sc} with low conformational stability can more readily fragment, facilitating a more rapid formation of PrP^{Sc} compared with PrP^{Sc} with correspondingly longer incubation periods. This interpretation is consistent with the elegant studies of yeast prions that show that the rate of [PSI⁺] strain propagation inversely corresponds to low conformational stability (i.e., thermal denaturation) (Tanaka et al. 2004). Subsequent studies examining a large panel of hamster-adapted prion strains came to the opposite conclusion—that short incubation period strains with a more efficient rate of PrP^{Sc} formation, as determined by PMCA, have high PrP^{Sc} conformational stabilities compared with long incubation period strains with relatively less efficient PrP^{Sc} formation and lower PrP^{Sc} conformational stabilities (Peretz et al. 2001; Ayers et al. 2011). These conflicting results have several possible interpretations. It is possible that overly high or low PrP^{Sc} conformational stabilities enhance fragmentation, resulting in higher rates of PrP^{Sc} formation and shorter incubation periods (Choi et al. 2014). It has been suggested that low PrP^{Sc} conformational stability corresponds

J.C. Bartz

with increased neuronal clearance *in vivo*; however, this hypothesis has not been supported by cell culture studies (Ayers et al. 2011; Choi et al. 2014). Finally, it is possible that the conformational stability assay may be measuring a property of PrP^{Sc} other than fragmentation.

The relationship between strain-specific PrP^{Sc} properties and the biological phenotype of disease is poorly understood. Although there is much evidence to support the hypothesis that prion strain properties are encoded by distinct conformations of PrP^{Sc}, definitive proof remains elusive. A major shortcoming to directly test this hypothesis is the lack of knowledge regarding the relationship between the different biochemical properties of PrP^{Sc} and how they translate into the phenotype of disease. Importantly, it is not well understood what portion, if any, of the identified strain-specific biochemical differences of PrP^{Sc} actually encode strain diversity or are a consequence of it (i.e., causation versus correlation).

EVIDENCE FOR PRION STRAINS IN NATURAL PRION DISEASE

The first evidence of prion strain variation was observed in small ruminants. Sheep scrapie brain pool 1 (SSBP/1) is made up of brain homogenate from three different sheep (one Cheviot sheep and two Cheviot/Border Leicester sheep) and was maintained by serial passage in sheep without apparent changes in the disease (Dickinson 1976). Interspecies transmission of SSBP/1 to goats resulted in the identification of two distinct disease syndromes, termed scratching and drowsy (Pattison and Millson 1961). Intraspecies transmission of the scratching and drowsy syndromes in goats maintained the clinical phenotype of disease for at least nine serial passages. More recently, an atypical form of sheep scrapie, also known as Nor98, has a different clinical presentation of disease and histopathological features compared with classical scrapie (Benestad et al. 2003). Importantly, atypical scrapie has unique PK-resistant PrP^{Sc} fragments and is more sensitive to PK digestion compared with classical

forms of scrapie (Benestad et al. 2003; Klingeborn et al. 2006).

In cattle, three forms of BSE are recognized. Classical BSE reached epidemic proportions since its identification in 1995 and is responsible for the emergence of the variant form of CJD (Wells 1987; Bruce et al. 1997; Hill et al. 1997; Scott et al. 1999). With increased prion surveillance efforts in cattle, two additional forms of BSE have been identified. Bovine amyloidotic spongiform encephalopathy (BASE), also known as L-type BSE, is characterized by lower molecular weight migration of the PK-resistant fragment of PrP^{Sc} compared with classical BSE (Casalone et al. 2004; Buschmann et al. 2006). The regional distribution of PrP^{Sc} in the CNS from BASE is more rostral in the CNS compared with classical BSE (Casalone et al. 2004). Additionally, amyloid plaques are found in CNS tissue of BASE that are not observed in classical BSE (Casalone et al. 2004). In contrast to BASE, the PK-resistant fragment of PrP^{Sc} from H-type BSE migrates to a higher molecular weight compared with classical BSE. Both atypical forms of BSE are rare and are largely identified in aged cattle, which suggests they have a sporadic etiology (Brown et al. 2006).

Several types of CJD have been identified. Two major forms of PrP^{Sc} are found in CJD, types 1 and 2, which are characterized by migration of the unglycosylated PrP^{Sc} polypeptide at 21 and 19 kDa, respectively (Parchi et al. 1996). These differences result from PK cleavage of PrP^{Sc} at amino acid residue 82 in type 1 and residue 97 in type 2 (Parchi et al. 2000). These two subtypes can be further refined into six subtypes based on the PRNP codon 129 methionine/valine polymorphism. The subtypes of CJD correspond with specific clinical and pathological characteristics (Parchi et al. 1996, 1999), and may illuminate the etiology of CJD and suggest that some cases of sCJD may actually be attributable to an infectious etiology (Kobayashi et al. 2015).

Experimental transmission studies under controlled conditions firmly established the existence of prion strains in natural prion disease. Although the evidence for strains in the natural host species is strong, factors that are not con-

trolled under field conditions could influence the outcome of disease (Fig. 1). As mentioned earlier in this section, the scratching and drowsy strains of scrapie are preserved following serial passage, indicating that strain diversity exists in natural disease. Experimental passage of SSBP/1 to mice results in the isolation of several distinct strains, suggesting that more than one strain of sheep scrapie is present in the inoculum (Thackray et al. 2011, 2012). Interspecies transmission, however, can lead to generation of new strains, complicating the interpretation of these studies (Kimberlin et al. 1987b; Bartz et al. 2000). To eliminate this variable, transmission of prions to transgenic mice expressing PrP^C with the same amino acid sequence as the inoculum PrP^{Sc} can preserve strain properties (Scott et al. 1989); however, recent evidence suggests that this is not always the case (Crowell et al. 2015). Transmission of sCJD and FFI, which have 21 and 19 kDa deglycosylated PrP^{Sc}, respectively, to transgenic mice that express a chimeric human–mouse PrP gene results in the preservation of strain-specific biochemical properties of PrP^{Sc}, which indicates that these are bona fide prion strains (Telling et al. 1996). Similarly, experimental passage of H- and L-type BSE to transgenic mice expressing bovine PrP^C result in the preservation of strain-specific migration of PrP^{Sc}, confirming that these are indeed distinct strains of BSE (Buschmann et al. 2006). Passage of sheep scrapie to transgenic mice expressing ovine PrP^C results in the preservation of the distinctive strain properties and provides further evidence for the diversity of strains in sheep scrapie (Thackray et al. 2011, 2012).

In natural prion disease, more than one prion strain can be present in a single host. The coexistence of classical and atypical (Nor98) strains of scrapie is suggested by the presence of both classical and atypical PrP^{Sc} and pathology in a single sheep (Mazza et al. 2010). Passage of a single-sheep scrapie isolate to transgenic mice expressing ovine PrP^C resulted in the isolation of multiple distinct strains, suggesting that the field isolate of scrapie contained more than one prion strain (Thackray et al. 2011). Evidence for the coexistence of prion strains

in humans infected with CJD is based on the detection of both types 1 and 2 PrP^{Sc} in the same individual (Puoti et al. 1999). The relative percentage of CJD cases in which types 1 and 2 PrP^{Sc} coexist, however, is controversial (Polymenidou et al. 2005; Schoch et al. 2006; Notari et al. 2007). Experimentally, incomplete PK digestion of PrP^{Sc} may allow for an overestimation of the co-occurrence of types 1 and 2 PrP^{Sc} (Notari et al. 2004). Conversely, co-occurrence of types 1 and 2 PrP^{Sc} may be underestimated if a large panel of anti-PrP antibodies is not used or if tissue sampling from a limited number of brain regions occurs. Regardless, it is clear that in natural prion disease, mixtures of prion strains occur frequently. The effect of strain mixtures on the development of disease and transmission in cases of natural prion disease is unclear.

CONSEQUENCES OF PRION STRAIN MIXTURES

Selection of a strain from a mixture influences prion adaptation. Transmission of prions to a new species can result in extended incubation periods and lower attack rates (i.e., species barrier) compared with intraspecies transmission in the original host species (Pattison 1966). The species-barrier effect influences differences in the amino acid sequence of the donor and host PrP^{Sc} and PrP^C (Bartz et al. 1994; Kocisko et al. 1995; Priola and Chesebro 1995; Browning et al. 2004). Subsequent serial passages in the new host species result in strain adaptation, which is characterized by a shortening and eventual stabilization of the incubation period (Kimberlin and Walker 1978). Adaptation is thought to occur via selection of the strain that is the most fit for the new host species from either a preexisting mixture of strains or from strains that are generated on interspecies transmission (Kimberlin and Walker 1978; Kimberlin et al. 1987b; Bartz et al. 2000). In both of these possibilities, the number and relative ratio of the strains in a mixture is thought to influence strain emergence.

An adapted strain that is stably passaged may contain a mixture of strains. Consistent

J.C. Bartz

with this hypothesis, changing the prion replication environment either in cell culture or PMCA can alter the properties of the strain, perhaps by selecting for a minor strain that was present in the starting inoculum (Li et al. 2010; Mahal et al. 2010; Gonzalez-Montalban et al. 2013; Makarava et al. 2013). This can also be accomplished by selection of prions that propagate in the presence of antiprion drugs that, once the drug is removed, revert back to the drug-sensitive phenotype (Li et al. 2010). These data suggest that prions act as a quasispecies. Viral quasispecies are defined as a population of similar but not identical viral particles that are a consequence of a high mutation rate of the viral genome (Domingo et al. 1978; Domingo 2000). Likewise, prion quasispecies are hypothesized to be a similar but not identical population of PrP^{Sc} conformations (Collinge and Clarke 2007; Li et al. 2010). Although intriguing, little is understood about the mutation rate of prions, and the distribution of PrP^{Sc} conformations is unknown (Kimberlin and Walker 1986; Bruce and Dickinson 1987; Kimberlin et al. 1987b). The implication of multiple strains existing as one mixture on the biology of prion disease is only beginning to be understood.

Prion strains can interfere with each other. This was first observed in mice when the long incubation period strain 22C was inoculated before superinfection with 22A. As the interval between inoculation with the blocking strain 22C and superinfection with 22A increased, 22C was able to extend the incubation period or completely block 22A from causing disease (Dickinson et al. 1972). Prion-strain interference can occur by either superinfection, as in the previous example, or during co-infection in which the short and long incubation period strains are inoculated simultaneously. Strain interference occurs between strains from mouse, hamster, and human prions, indicating that the phenomenon is not limited to one species (Manuelidis 1998; Baron and Biacabe 2001; Bartz et al. 2004; Schutt and Bartz 2008; Nilsson et al. 2010; Haldiman et al. 2013). Strain interference can occur following inoculation via either neuronal (intracerebral, sciatic nerve)

(Dickinson et al. 1975; Shikiya et al. 2010) or non-neuronal (intraperitoneal, oral) (Dickinson et al. 1975) routes of inoculation. Overall, strain interference is a common property of prions.

The relative onset of replication of the blocking and superinfecting strain influences strain emergence. When the blocking and the superinfecting strains are co-infected, as the relative titer of the blocking strain to the superinfecting strain is increased, the blocking strain has an increased ability to interfere with the superinfecting strain (Dickinson et al. 1975). Interestingly, the titer of the blocking strain must be greater compared with the superinfecting strain for strain interference to occur in animals and in vitro using the PMCA strain interference model (Shikiya et al. 2010). In strain interference attributable to superinfection, extending the time between inoculation of the blocking strain and superinfecting strain increases the ability of the blocking strain to interfere with the superinfecting strain (Dickinson et al. 1972, 1975; Bartz et al. 2004, 2007; Shikiya et al. 2010). For strain interference to occur in both circumstances (i.e., co-infection or superinfection), the onset of replication of the slowly replicating long incubation period strain before the onset of replication of the faster replicating short incubation period strain is required (Bartz et al. 2007).

The blocking strain replication is required for strain interference to occur. Inactivation of the 22A strain by boiling, exposure to 12 M urea, or ionizing radiation eliminates the ability to interfere with the superinfected 22C strain, indicating that blocking strain replication and infectivity is necessary for strain interference (Kimberlin and Walker 1985). Mice inoculated with TME do not develop prion disease, and when the TME agent is used as the blocking strain, it does not extend the incubation period of 22A, 22C, 79A, 87A, 139A, or ME7 strains (Taylor et al. 1986). These data suggest that replication (and not simply site blocking) is required for strain interference to occur.

Strain interference requires that the blocking and superinfecting strains infect the same cells. Sciatic nerve inoculation of hamsters with a long incubation period strain (DY TME) be-

fore superinfection with a short incubation period strain (HY TME) leads to extension of the incubation period of complete blockage of HY TME (Bartz et al. 2004, 2007). In this system, ventral motor neurons (VMNs) ipsilateral to the side of inoculation are the first cells infected by both HY and DY TME, suggesting that VMNs are where strain interference occurs (Bartz et al. 2007; Ayers et al. 2009; Shikiya et al. 2010). Consistent with this hypothesis, superinfection of the sciatic nerve with HY TME opposite (i.e., contralateral) to the DY TME-infected nerve eliminates strain interference, and the animals develop disease with incubation periods similar to animals inoculated with HY TME alone (Bartz et al. 2007). This conclusively shows that both strains need to infect the same population of neurons (VMNs in this example) for the strain interference effect to occur. Finally, these data indicate that a soluble secreted factor does not produce the strain interference effect.

The mechanism of prion strain interference is not known. The replication site hypothesis predicts that prion strains compete for a limited number of prion replication sites in the host that are necessary for propagation of both the blocking and superinfection strains (Dickinson and Outram 1979). The replication site was hypothesized to be the gene product of *Sinc* (Dickinson and Outram 1979), which was subsequently identified as PrP^C (Hunter et al. 1987; Westaway et al. 1987). Consistent with this hypothesis, PMCA strain interference studies indicate that the blocking strain DY TME does not convert all of the available PrP^C to PrP^{Sc}, yet it is still able to interfere with the superinfecting strain HY TME (Shikiya et al. 2010). In animals, the blocking strain does not disrupt transport to or result in the death of cells required for superinfection replication, and the only observed change that corresponds with strain interference is the formation of PrP^{Sc} (Shikiya et al. 2010). These data suggest that the blocking strain is sequestering PrP^C or preventing its use by the superinfecting strain (Shikiya et al. 2010). Recent evidence indicates that just before the onset of clinical disease, PrP^C expression is downregulated in the CNS (Mays et al. 2014, 2015). This could provide another mechanism

by which the blocking strain limits the number of replication sites; however, it remains to be established whether PrP^C downregulation occurs in neurons at the early time points postinfection when the blocking strain is able to interfere with the superinfecting strain.

CONCLUSIONS

A significant challenge in prion biology is to identify the novel mechanisms that a protein-only infectious agent uses to accomplish complex biological tasks. Prion strains are operationally defined by differences in neuropathology when passaged under controlled experimental conditions. The current definition of prion strains is based on a fundamental lack of understanding of the molecular basis that encodes prion strain variation. It is unclear whether strain-specific differences in PrP^{Sc} conformation alone can encode strain variation or whether strain-specific cofactors are involved. It is unknown whether the biochemical properties of PrP^{Sc} that correspond with strain variation are causative or correlative. Further complicating the understanding of prion strains is recent evidence suggesting that strains are not static and unchanging but rather a dynamic mixture of many strains. Although much has been accomplished, further work is clearly needed to resolve these longstanding, important problems in prion biology.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This article is dedicated to the late Dr. Richard Bessen, mentor, colleague, and friend.

REFERENCES

- Alpers M, Gajdusek DC. 1965. Changing patterns of kuru: Epidemiological changes in the period of increasing contact of the Fore people with Western civilization. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* **14**: 852–879.
- Andréoletti O, Berthon P, Marc D, Sarradin P, Grosclaude J, van Keulen L, Schelcher F, Elsen J, Lantier F. 2000. Early accumulation of PrP^{Sc} in gut-associated lymphoid and nervous tissues of susceptible sheep from a Romanov flock with natural scrapie. *J Gen Virol* **81**: 3115–3126.
- Andréoletti O, Orge L, Benestad SL, Beringue V, Litaize C, Simon S, Le Dur A, Laude H, Simmons H, Lugan S, et al.

J.C. Bartz

2011. Atypical/Nor98 scrapie infectivity in sheep peripheral tissues. *PLoS Pathog* **7**: e1001285.
- Ayers J, Kincaid AE, Bartz JC. 2009. Prion strain targeting independent of strain-specific neuronal tropism. *J Virol* **83**: 81–87.
- Ayers JI, Schutt CR, Shikiya RA, Aguzzi A, Kincaid AE, Bartz JC. 2011. The strain-encoded relationship between PrP replication, stability and processing in neurons is predictive of the incubation period of disease. *PLoS Pathog* **7**: e1001317.
- Baron TGM, Biacabe AG. 2001. Molecular analysis of the abnormal prion protein during coinfection of mice by bovine spongiform encephalopathy and a scrapie agent. *J Virol* **75**: 107–114.
- Bartz JC, McKenzie DI, Bessen RA, Marsh RF, Aiken JM. 1994. Transmissible mink encephalopathy species barrier effect between ferret and mink: PrP gene and protein analysis. *J Gen Virol* **75**: 2947–2953.
- Bartz JC, Bessen RA, McKenzie D, Marsh RF, Aiken JM. 2000. Adaptation and selection of prion protein strain conformations following interspecies transmission of transmissible mink encephalopathy. *J Virol* **74**: 5542–5547.
- Bartz JC, Kincaid AE, Bessen RA. 2002. Retrograde transport of transmissible mink encephalopathy within descending motor tracts. *J Virol* **76**: 5759–5768.
- Bartz JC, Kincaid AE, Bessen RA. 2003. Rapid prion neuroinvasion following tongue infection. *J Virol* **77**: 583–591.
- Bartz JC, Aiken JM, Bessen RA. 2004. Delay in onset of prion disease for the HY strain of transmissible mink encephalopathy as a result of prior peripheral inoculation with the replication-deficient DY strain. *J Gen Virol* **85**: 265–273.
- Bartz JC, Kramer ML, Sheehan MH, Hutter JAL, Ayers JI, Bessen RA, Kincaid AE. 2007. Prion interference is due to a reduction in strain-specific PrP^{Sc} levels. *J Virol* **81**: 689–697.
- Basler K, Oesch B, Scott M, Westaway D, Walchli M, Groth DE, McKinley MP, Prusiner SB, Weissmann C. 1986. Scrapie and cellular PrP isoforms are encoded by the same chromosomal gene. *Cell* **46**: 417–428.
- Bassant M, Baron H, Gumpel M, Cathala F, Court L. 1986. Spread of scrapie agent to the central nervous system: Study of a rat model. *Brain Res* **383**: 397–401.
- Beekes M, McBride P. 2000. Early accumulation of pathological PrP in the enteric nervous system and gut-associated lymphoid tissue of hamsters orally infected with scrapie. *Neurosci Lett* **278**: 181–184.
- Beekes M, Baldauf E, Diringer H. 1996. Sequential appearance and accumulation of pathognomonic markers in the central nervous system of hamsters orally infected with scrapie. *J Gen Virol* **77**: 1925–1934.
- Beekes M, McBride PA, Baldauf E. 1998. Cerebral targeting indicates vagal spread of infection in hamsters fed with scrapie. *J Gen Virol* **79**: 601–607.
- Benestad SL, Sarradin P, Thu B, Schönheit J, Tranulis MA, Bratberg B. 2003. Cases of scrapie with unusual features in Norway and designation of a new type, Nor98. *Vet Rec* **153**: 202–208.
- Bessen R, Marsh R. 1992a. Biochemical and physical properties of the prion protein from two strains of the transmissible mink encephalopathy agent. *J Virol* **66**: 2096–2101.
- Bessen R, Marsh R. 1992b. Identification of two biologically distinct strains of transmissible mink encephalopathy in hamsters. *J Gen Virol* **73**: 329–334.
- Bessen RA, Marsh RF. 1994. Distinct PrP properties suggest the molecular basis of strain variation in transmissible mink encephalopathy. *J Virol* **68**: 7859–7868.
- Bessen RA, Martinka S, Kelly J, Gonzalez D. 2009. Role of the lymphoreticular system in prion neuroinvasion from the oral and nasal mucosa. *J Virol* **83**: 6435–6445.
- Bessen RA, Shearin H, Martinka S, Boharski R, Lowe D, Wilham JM, Caughey B, Wiley JA. 2010. Prion shedding from olfactory neurons into nasal secretions. *PLoS Pathog* **6**: e1000837.
- Bolton D, McKinley M, Prusiner S. 1982. Identification of a protein that purifies with the scrapie prion. *Science* **218**: 1309–1311.
- Brown P, McShane LM, Zanusso G, Detwile L. 2006. On the question of sporadic or atypical bovine spongiform encephalopathy and Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease. *Emerg Infect Dis* **12**: 1816–1821.
- Browning SR, Mason GL, Seward T, Green M, Eliason GAJ, Mathiason C, Miller MW, Williams ES, Hoover E, Telling GC. 2004. Transmission of prions from mule deer and elk with chronic wasting disease to transgenic mice expressing cervid PrP. *J Virol* **78**: 13345–13350.
- Bruce M, Dickinson A. 1985. Genetic control of amyloid plaque production and incubation period in scrapie-infected mice. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* **44**: 285–294.
- Bruce M, Dickinson A. 1987. Biological evidence that scrapie agent has an independent genome. *J Gen Virol* **68**: 79–89.
- Bruce ME, Will RG, Ironside JW, McConnell I, Drummond D, Suttie A, McCardle L, Chree A, Hope J, Birkett C, et al. 1997. Transmissions to mice indicate that “new variant” CJD is caused by the BSE agent. *Nature* **389**: 498–501.
- Buschmann A, Gretzschel A, Biacabe AG, Schiebel K, Corona C, Hoffmann C, Eiden M, Baron T, Casalone C, Groschup MH. 2006. Atypical BSE in Germany—Proof of transmissibility and biochemical characterization. *Vet Microbiol* **117**: 103–116.
- Casalone C, Zanusso G, Acutis P, Ferrari S, Capucci L, Tagliavini F, Monaco S, Caramelli M. 2004. Identification of a second bovine amyloidotic spongiform encephalopathy: Molecular similarities with sporadic Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **101**: 3065–3070.
- Caughey B, Raymond G. 1991. The scrapie-associated form of PrP is made from a cell surface precursor that is both protease- and phospholipase-sensitive. *J Biol Chem* **266**: 18217–18223.
- Caughey B, Raymond GJ, Bessen RA. 1998. Strain-dependent differences in β -sheet conformations of abnormal prion protein. *J Biol Chem* **273**: 32230–32235.
- Choi YP, Priola SA. 2013. A specific population of abnormal prion protein aggregates is preferentially taken up by cells and disaggregated in a strain dependent manner. *J Virol* **87**: 11552–11561.
- Choi YP, Head MW, Ironside JW, Priola SA. 2014. Uptake and degradation of protease-sensitive and -resistant

- forms of abnormal human prion protein aggregates by human astrocytes. *Am J Pathol* **184**: 3299–3307.
- Colby DW, Prusiner SB. 2011. De novo generation of prion strains. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **9**: 771–777.
- Colby DW, Giles K, Legname G, Wille H, Baskakov IV, DeArmond SJ, Prusiner SB. 2009. Design and construction of diverse mammalian prion strains. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **106**: 20417–20422.
- Collinge J, Clarke A. 2007. A general model of prion strains and their pathogenicity. *Science* **318**: 930–936.
- Crowell J, Hughson A, Caughey B, Bessen RA. 2015. Host determinants of prion strain diversity independent of prion protein genotype. *J Virol* **89**: 10427–10441.
- Cuillé J, Chelle PL. 1936. La maladie dite “tremblante du mouton” est-elle inoculable? [Is the disease known as “scrapie” inoculable?] *C R Seances Acad Sci* **203**: 1552–1554.
- DeArmond S, Yang S, Lee A, Bowler R, Taraboulos A, Groth D, Prusiner S. 1993. Three scrapie prion isolates exhibit different accumulation patterns of the prion protein scrapie isoform. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **90**: 6449–6453.
- Deleault NR, Harris BT, Rees JR, Supattapone S. 2007. Formation of native prions from minimal components in vitro. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **104**: 9741–9746.
- Deleault NR, Kascak R, Geoghegan JC, Supattapone S. 2010. Species-dependent differences in cofactor utilization for formation of the protease-resistant prion protein in vitro. *Biochemistry* **49**: 3928–3934.
- Deleault NR, Piro JR, Walsh DJ, Wang F, Ma J, Geoghegan JC, Supattapone S. 2012a. Isolation of phosphatidylethanolamine as a solitary cofactor for prion formation in the absence of nucleic acids. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **109**: 8546–8551.
- Deleault NR, Walsh DJ, Piro JR, Wang F, Wang X, Ma J, Rees JR, Supattapone S. 2012b. Cofactor molecules maintain infectious conformation and restrict strain properties in purified prions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **109**: E1938–E1946.
- Dickinson A. 1976. Scrapie in sheep and goats. *Front Biol* **44**: 209–241.
- Dickinson A, Fraser H. 1969. Genetical control of the concentration of ME7 scrapie agent in mouse spleen. *J Comp Pathol* **79**: 363–366.
- Dickinson AG, Fraser H. 1972. Scrapie: Effect of *Dh* gene on incubation period of extraneurally injected agent. *Heredity* **29**: 91–93.
- Dickinson A, Meikle V. 1971. Host-genotype and agent effects in scrapie incubation: Change in allelic interaction with different strains of agent. *Mol Gen Genet* **112**: 73–79.
- Dickinson A, Outram G. 1979. The scrapie replication-site hypothesis and its implications for pathogenesis. In *Slow transmissible diseases of the central nervous system*, Vol. 2 (ed. Prusiner SB, Hadlow WJ), pp. 13–31. Academic, New York.
- Dickinson A, Meikle V, Fraser H. 1968. Identification of a gene which controls the incubation period of some strains of scrapie agent in mice. *J Comp Pathol* **78**: 293–299.
- Dickinson A, Meikle V, Fraser H. 1969. Genetical control of the concentration of ME7 scrapie agent in the brain of mice. *J Comp Pathol* **79**: 15–22.
- Dickinson A, Fraser H, Meikle V, Outram G. 1972. Competition between different scrapie agents in mice. *Nat New Biol* **237**: 244–245.
- Dickinson AG, Fraser H, McConnell I, Outram GW, Sales DI, Taylor DM. 1975. Extraneural competition between different scrapie agents leading to loss of infectivity. *Nature* **253**: 556.
- Domingo E. 2000. Viruses at the edge of adaptation. *Virology* **270**: 251–253.
- Domingo E, Sabo D, Taniguchi T, Weissmann C. 1978. Nucleotide sequence heterogeneity of an RNA phage population. *Cell* **13**: 735–744.
- Elder AM, Henderson DM, Nalls AV, Wilham JM, Caughey BW, Hoover EA, Kincaid AE, Bartz JC, Mathiason CK. 2013. In vitro detection of prionemia in TSE-infected cervids and hamsters. *PLoS ONE* **8**: e80203.
- Elder AM, Henderson DM, Nalls AV, Hoover EA, Kincaid AE, Bartz JC, Mathiason CK. 2015. Immediate and ongoing detection of prions in the blood of hamsters and deer following oral, nasal, or blood inoculations. *J Virol* **89**: 7421–7424.
- Fraser H, Dickinson A. 1967. Distribution of experimentally induced scrapie lesions in the brain. *Nature* **216**: 1310–1311.
- Fraser H, Dickinson A. 1968. The sequential development of the brain lesion of scrapie in three strains of mice. *J Comp Pathol* **78**: 301–311.
- Fraser H, Dickinson A. 1985. Targeting of scrapie lesions and spread of agent via the retino-tectal projection. *Brain Res* **346**: 32–41.
- Ghaemmaghami S, Watts JC, Nguyen H-O, Hayashi S, Dearmond SJ, Prusiner SB. 2011. Conformational transformation and selection of synthetic prion strains. *J Mol Biol* **413**: 527–542.
- Ghaemmaghami S, Colby DW, Nguyen HOB, Hayashi S, Oehler A, Dearmond SJ, Prusiner SB. 2013. Convergent replication of mouse synthetic prion strains. *Am J Pathol* **182**: 866–874.
- Gibbs C, Gajdusek D, Asher D, Alpers MP, Beck E, Daniel P, Matthews W. 1968. Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease (spongiform encephalopathy): Transmission to the chimpanzee. *Science* **161**: 388–389.
- Gibbs C, Amyx H, Bacote A, Masters C, Gajdusek D. 1980. Oral transmission of kuru, Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease, and scrapie to nonhuman primates. *J Infect Dis* **142**: 205–208.
- Goldfarb L, Petersen R, Tabaton M, Brown P, LeBlanc A, Montagna P, Cortelli P, Julien J, Vital C, Pendelbury W, et al. 1992. Fatal familial insomnia and familial Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease: Disease phenotype determined by a DNA polymorphism. *Science* **258**: 806–808.
- Gonzalez-Montalban N, Makarava N, Savtchenko R, Baskakov IV. 2011. Relationship between conformational stability and amplification efficiency of prions. *Biochemistry* **50**: 6815–6823.
- Gonzalez-Montalban N, Lee YJ, Makarava N, Savtchenko R, Baskakov IV. 2013. Changes in prion replication environment cause prion strain mutation. *FASEB J* **27**: 3702–3710.

J.C. Bartz

- Hadlow WJ, Race RE, Kennedy RC. 1987. Temporal distribution of transmissible mink encephalopathy virus in mink inoculated subcutaneously. *J Virol* **61**: 3235–3240.
- Haldiman T, Kim C, Cohen Y, Chen W, Blevins J, Qing L, Cohen ML, Langeveld J, Telling GC, Kong Q, et al. 2013. Coexistence of distinct prion types enables conformational evolution of human PrP^{Sc} by competitive selection. *J Biol Chem* **288**: 29846–29861.
- Hecker R, Taraboulos A, Scott M, Pan KM, Yang SL, Torchia M, Jendroska K, Dearmond SJ, Prusiner SB. 1992. Replication of distinct scrapie prion isolates is region specific in brains of transgenic mice and hamsters. *Genes Dev* **6**: 1213–1228.
- Hill A, Desbruslais M, Joiner S, Sidle K, Gowland I, Collinge J, Doey L, Lantos P. 1997. The same prion strain causes vCJD and BSE. *Nature* **389**: 448–450, 526.
- Hope J, Reekie L, Hunter N, Multhaup G, Beyreuther K, White H, Scott A, Stack M, Dawson M, Wells G. 1988. Fibrils from brains of cows with new cattle disease contain scrapie-associated protein. *Nature* **336**: 390–392.
- Hunter N, Hope J, McConnell I, Dickinson AG. 1987. Linkage of the scrapie-associated fibril protein (PrP) gene and *Sinc* using congenic mice and restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis. *J Gen Virol* **68**: 2711–2716.
- Jeffrey M, Martin S, González L, Ryder S, Bellworthy S, Jackman R. 2001. Differential diagnosis of infections with the bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) and scrapie agents in sheep. *J Comp Pathol* **125**: 271–284.
- Jeffrey M, Martin S, González L. 2003. Cell-associated variants of disease-specific prion protein immunolabelling are found in different sources of sheep transmissible spongiform encephalopathy. *J Gen Virol* **84**: 1033–1045.
- Kaatz M, Fast C, Ziegler U, Balkema-Buschmann A, Hammerschmidt B, Keller M, Oelschlegel A, McIntyre L, Groschup MH. 2012. Spread of classic BSE prions from the gut via the peripheral nervous system to the brain. *Am J Pathol* **181**: 515–524.
- Kascsak R, Rubenstein R, Merz P, Tonna-DeMasi M, Fersko R, Carp R, Wisniewski H, Diringer H. 1987. Mouse polyclonal and monoclonal antibody to scrapie-associated fibril proteins. *J Virol* **61**: 3688–3693.
- Katorcha E, Makarava N, Savtchenko R, D'Azzo A, Baskakov IV. 2014. Sialylation of prion protein controls the rate of prion amplification, the cross-species barrier, the ratio of PrP^{Sc} glycoform and prion infectivity. *PLoS Pathog* **10**: e1004366.
- Kimberlin R, Walker C. 1977. Characteristics of a short incubation model of scrapie in the golden hamster. *J Gen Virol* **34**: 295–304.
- Kimberlin R, Walker C. 1978. Evidence that the transmission of one source of scrapie agent to hamsters involves separation of agent strains from a mixture. *J Gen Virol* **39**: 487–496.
- Kimberlin R, Walker C. 1979. Pathogenesis of mouse scrapie: Dynamics of agent replication in spleen, spinal cord and brain after infection by different routes. *J Comp Pathol* **89**: 551–562.
- Kimberlin R, Walker C. 1982. Pathogenesis of mouse scrapie: Patterns of agent replication in different parts of the CNS following intraperitoneal infection. *J R Soc Med* **75**: 618–624.
- Kimberlin R, Walker C. 1985. Competition between strains of scrapie depends on the blocking agent being infectious. *Intervirology* **23**: 74–81.
- Kimberlin R, Walker C. 1986. Pathogenesis of scrapie (strain 263K) in hamsters infected intracerebrally, intraperitoneally or intraocularly. *J Gen Virol* **67**: 255–263.
- Kimberlin R, Walker C. 1988. Pathogenesis of experimental scrapie. *Ciba Found Symp* **135**: 37–62.
- Kimberlin R, Hall S, Walker C. 1983. Pathogenesis of mouse scrapie. Evidence for direct neural spread of infection to the CNS after injection of sciatic nerve. *J Neurol Sci* **61**: 315–325.
- Kimberlin R, Cole S, Walker C. 1987a. Pathogenesis of scrapie is faster when infection is intraspinal instead of intracerebral. *Microb Pathog* **2**: 405–415.
- Kimberlin R, Cole S, Walker C. 1987b. Temporary and permanent modifications to a single strain of mouse scrapie on transmission to rats and hamsters. *J Gen Virol* **68**: 1875–1881.
- Kincaid AE, Bartz JC. 2007. The nasal cavity is a route for prion infection in hamsters. *J Virol* **81**: 4482–4491.
- Klingeborn M, Wik L, Simonsson M, Renström L, Ottinger T, Linné T. 2006. Characterization of proteinase K-resistant N- and C-terminally truncated PrP in Nor98 atypical scrapie. *J Gen Virol* **87**: 1751.
- Kobayashi A, Parchi P, Yamada M, Brown P, Saverioni D, Matsuura Y, Takeuchi A, Mohri S, Kitamoto T. 2015. Transmission properties of atypical Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease: A clue to disease etiology? *J Virol* **89**: 3939–3946.
- Kocisko D, Priola S, Raymond G, Chesebro B, Lansbury P, Caughey B. 1995. Species specificity in the cell-free conversion of prion protein to protease-resistant forms: A model for the scrapie species barrier. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **92**: 3923–3927.
- Laferrière F, Tixador P, Moudjou M, Chapuis J, Sibille P, Herzog L, Reine F, Jaumain E, Laude H, Rezaei H, et al. 2013. Quaternary structure of pathological prion protein as a determining factor of strain-specific prion replication dynamics. *PLoS Pathog* **9**: e1003702.
- Li J, Browning S, Mahal SP, Oelschlegel AM, Weissmann C. 2010. Darwinian evolution of prions in cell culture. *Science* **327**: 869–872.
- Liberski PP, Sikorska B, Guioy D, Bessen RA. 2009. Transmissible mink encephalopathy—Review of the etiology of a rare prion disease. *Folia Neuropathol* **47**: 195–204.
- Mahal SP, Browning S, Li J, Suponitsky-Kroyter I, Weissmann C. 2010. Transfer of a prion strain to different hosts leads to emergence of strain variants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **107**: 22653–22658.
- Makarava N, Kovács GG, Bocharova O, Savtchenko R, Alexeeva I, Budka H, Rohwer RG, Baskakov IV. 2010. Recombinant prion protein induces a new transmissible prion disease in wild-type animals. *Acta Neuropathol* **119**: 177–187.
- Makarava N, Savtchenko R, Baskakov IV. 2013. Selective amplification of classical and atypical prions using modified protein misfolding cyclic amplification. *J Biol Chem* **288**: 33–41.
- Manuelidis L. 1998. Vaccination with an attenuated Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease strain prevents expression of a virulent agent. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **95**: 2520–2525.

- Marsh R, Bessen R. 1994. Physicochemical and biological characterizations of distinct strains of the transmissible mink encephalopathy agent. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **343**: 413–414.
- Marsh RE, Hanson RP. 1969. Physical and chemical properties of the transmissible mink encephalopathy agent. *J Virol* **3**: 176–180.
- Marsh R, Hanson R. 1978. The Syrian hamster as a model for the study of slow virus diseases caused by unconventional agents. *Fed Proc* **37**: 2076–2078.
- Marsh R, Kimberlin R. 1975. Comparison of scrapie and transmissible mink encephalopathy in hamsters. II: Clinical signs, pathology, and pathogenesis. *J Infect Dis* **131**: 104–110.
- Marsh R, Bessen R, Lehmann S, Hartsough G. 1991. Epidemiological and experimental studies on a new incident of transmissible mink encephalopathy. *J Gen Virol* **72**: 589–594.
- Mays CE, Kim C, Haldiman T, van der Merwe J, Lau A, Yang J, Grams J, Di Bari MA, Nonno R, Telling GC, et al. 2014. Prion disease tempo determined by host-dependent substrate reduction. *J Clin Invest* **124**: 847–858.
- Mays CE, van der Merwe J, Kim C, Haldiman T, McKenzie D, Safar JG, Westaway D. 2015. Prion infectivity plateaus and conversion to symptomatic disease originate from falling precursor levels and increased oligomeric PrP^{Sc} species. *J Virol* **89**: 12418–12426.
- Mazza M, Iulini B, Vaccari G, Acutis PL, Martucci F, Esposito E, Peletto S, Barocci S, Chiappini B, Corona C, et al. 2010. Co-existence of classical scrapie and Nor98 in a sheep from an Italian outbreak. *Res Vet Sci* **88**: 478–485.
- McCulloch L, Brown KL, Bradford BM, Hopkins J, Bailey M, Rajewsky K, Manson JC, Mabbott NA. 2011. Follicular dendritic cell-specific prion protein (PrP) expression alone is sufficient to sustain prion infection in the spleen. *PLoS Pathog* **7**: e1002402.
- Miller MB, Wang DW, Wang F, Noble GP, Ma J, Woods VL, Li S, Supattapone S. 2013. Cofactor molecules induce structural transformation during infectious prion formation. *Structure* **21**: 2061–2068.
- Mirabile I, Jat PS, Brandner S, Collinge J. 2014. Identification of clinical target areas in the brainstem of prion infected mice. *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol* **41**: 613–630.
- Moore RA, Timmes AG, Wilmarth PA, Safronetz D, Priola SA. 2011. Identification and removal of proteins that co-purify with infectious prion protein improves the analysis of its secondary structure. *Proteomics* **11**: 3853–3865.
- Mulcahy ER, Bessen RA. 2004. Strain-specific kinetics of prion protein formation in vitro and in vivo. *J Biol Chem* **279**: 1643–1649.
- Mulcahy ER, Bartz JC, Kincaid AE, Bessen RA. 2004. Prion infection of skeletal muscle cells and papillae in the tongue. *J Virol* **78**: 6792–6798.
- Nilsson KP, Hammarström P, Ahlgren F, Herland A, Schnell EA, Lindgren M, Westermarck GT, Inganäs O. 2006. Conjugated polyelectrolytes—Conformation-sensitive optical probes for staining and characterization of amyloid deposits. *Chembiochem* **7**: 1096–1104.
- Nilsson KP, Joshi-Barr S, Winson O, Sigurdson CJ. 2010. Prion strain interactions are highly selective. *J Neurosci* **30**: 12094–12102.
- Notari S, Capellari S, Giese A, Westner I, Baruzzi A, Ghetti B, Gambetti P, Kretzschmar HA, Parchi P. 2004. Effects of different experimental conditions on the PrP^{Sc} core generated by protease digestion: Implications for strain typing and molecular classification of CJD. *J Biol Chem* **279**: 16797–16804.
- Notari S, Capellari S, Langeveld J, Giese A, Strammiello R, Gambetti P, Kretzschmar HA, Parchi P. 2007. A refined method for molecular typing reveals that co-occurrence of PrP^{Sc} types in Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease is not the rule. *Lab Invest* **87**: 1103–1112.
- Pan K, Baldwin M, Nguyen J, Gasset M, Serban A, Groth D, Mehlhorn I, Huang Z, Fletterick R, Cohen F, et al. 1993. Conversion of α -helices into β -sheets features in the formation of the scrapie prion proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **90**: 10962–10966.
- Parchi P, Castellani R, Capellari S, Ghetti B, Young K, Chen S, Farlow M, Dickson D, Sima A, Trojanowski J, et al. 1996. Molecular basis of phenotypic variability in sporadic Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease. *Ann Neurol* **39**: 767–778.
- Parchi P, Giese A, Capellari S, Brown P, Schulz-Schaeffer W, Windl O, Zerr I, Budka H, Kopp N, Piccardo P, et al. 1999. Classification of sporadic Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease based on molecular and phenotypic analysis of 300 subjects. *Ann Neurol* **46**: 224–233.
- Parchi P, Zou W, Wang W, Brown P, Capellari S, Ghetti B, Kopp N, Schulz-Schaeffer WJ, Kretzschmar HA, Head MW, et al. 2000. Genetic influence on the structural variations of the abnormal prion protein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **97**: 10168–10172.
- Pattison I. 1966. The relative susceptibility of sheep, goats and mice to two types of the goat scrapie agent. *Res Vet Sci* **7**: 207–212.
- Pattison IH, Millson GC. 1961. Scrapie produced experimentally in goats with special reference to the clinical syndrome. *J Comp Pathol* **71**: 101–109.
- Peretz D, Scott MR, Groth D, Williamson RA, Burton DR, Cohen FE, Prusiner SB. 2001. Strain-specified relative conformational stability of the scrapie prion protein. *Protein Sci* **10**: 854–863.
- Piro JR, Harris BT, Supattapone S. 2011. In situ photo-degradation of incorporated polyanion does not alter prion infectivity. *PLoS Pathog* **7**: e1002001.
- Polymenidou M, Stoeck K, Glatzel M, Vey M, Bellon A, Aguzzi A. 2005. Coexistence of multiple PrP^{Sc} types in individuals with Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease. *Lancet Neurol* **4**: 805–814.
- Priola S, Chesebro B. 1995. A single hamster PrP amino acid blocks conversion to protease-resistant PrP in scrapie-infected mouse neuroblastoma cells. *J Virol* **69**: 7754–7758.
- Prusiner S. 1982. Novel proteinaceous infectious particles cause scrapie. *Science* **216**: 136–144.
- Prusiner S, Cochran S, Downey D, Groth D. 1981. Determination of scrapie agent titer from incubation period measurements in hamsters. *Adv Exp Med Biol* **134**: 385–399.
- Prusiner S, Cochran S, Groth D, Downey D, Bowman K, Martinez H. 1982. Measurement of the scrapie agent using an incubation time interval assay. *Ann Neurol* **11**: 353–358.

J.C. Bartz

- Puoti G, Giaccone G, Rossi G, Canciani B, Bugiani O, Tagliavini F. 1999. Sporadic Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease: Co-occurrence of different types of PrP^{Sc} in the same brain. *Neurology* **53**: 2173–2176.
- Race R, Oldstone M, Chesebro B. 2000. Entry versus blockade of brain infection following oral or intraperitoneal scrapie administration: Role of prion protein expression in peripheral nerves and spleen. *J Virol* **74**: 828–833.
- Race R, Raines A, Raymond G, Caughey B, Chesebro B. 2001. Long-term subclinical carrier state precedes scrapie replication and adaptation in a resistant species: Analogies to bovine spongiform encephalopathy and variant Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease in humans. *J Virol* **75**: 10106–10112.
- Saá P, Sferrazza GF, Ottenberg G, Oelschlegel AM, Dorsey K, Lasmézas CI. 2012. Strain-specific role of RNAs in prion replication. *J Virol* **86**: 10494–10504.
- Safar J, Wille H, Itri V, Groth D, Serban H, Torchia M, Cohen FE, Prusiner SB. 1998. Eight prion strains have PrP^{Sc} molecules with different conformations. *Nat Med* **4**: 1157–1165.
- Saunders SE, Bartelt-Hunt SL, Bartz JC. 2012. Occurrence, transmission, and zoonotic potential of chronic wasting disease. *Emerg Infect Dis* **18**: 369–376.
- Schoch G, Seeger H, Bogousslavsky J, Tolnay M, Janzer RC, Aguzzi A, Glatzel M. 2006. Analysis of prion strains by PrP^{Sc} profiling in sporadic Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease. *PLoS Med* **3**: e14.
- Schutt CR, Bartz JC. 2008. Prion interference with multiple prion isolates. *Prion* **2**: 61–63.
- Scott J, Fraser H. 1989. Transport and targeting of scrapie infectivity and pathology in the optic nerve projections following intraocular infection. *Prog Clin Biol Res* **317**: 645–652.
- Scott M, Foster D, Mirenda C, Serban D, Coufal F, Walchli M, Torchia M, Groth D, Carlson G, DeArmond S, et al. 1989. Transgenic mice expressing hamster prion protein produce species-specific scrapie infectivity and amyloid plaques. *Cell* **59**: 847–857.
- Scott M, Will R, Ironside J, Nguyen H, Tremblay P, DeArmond S, Prusiner S. 1999. Compelling transgenic evidence for transmission of bovine spongiform encephalopathy prions to humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **96**: 15137–15142.
- Shikiya RA, Ayers JJ, Schutt CR, Kincaid AE, Bartz JC. 2010. Coinfecting prion strains compete for a limiting cellular resource. *J Virol* **84**: 5706–5714.
- Shikiya RA, Eckland TE, Young AJ, Bartz JC. 2014. Prion formation, but not clearance, is supported by protein misfolding cyclic amplification. *Prion* **8**: 415–420.
- Sigurdson CJ, Nilsson KP, Hornemann S, Manco G, Polymeridou M, Schwarz P, Leclerc M, Hammarström P, Wüthrich K, Aguzzi A. 2007. Prion strain discrimination using luminescent conjugated polymers. *Nat Methods* **4**: 1023–1030.
- Silveira J, Raymond G, Hughson A, Race R, Sim V, Hayes S, Caughey B. 2005. The most infectious prion protein particles. *Nature* **437**: 257–261.
- Sim VL, Caughey B. 2009. Ultrastructures and strain comparison of under-glycosylated scrapie prion fibrils. *Neurobiol Aging* **30**: 2031–2042.
- Sisó S, Jeffrey M, Martin S, Chianini F, Daglish MP, González L. 2010. Characterization of strains of ovine transmissible spongiform encephalopathy with a short PrP^d profiling method. *J Comp Pathol* **142**: 300–310.
- Supattapone S. 2014. Synthesis of high titer infectious prions with cofactor molecules. *J Biol Chem* **289**: 19850–19854.
- Tanaka M, Chien P, Naber N, Cooke R, Weissman JS. 2004. Conformational variations in an infectious protein determine prion strain differences. *Nature* **428**: 323–328.
- Tateishi J, Brown P, Kitamoto T, Hoque Z, Roos R, Wollman R, Cervenakova L, Gajdusek D. 1995. First experimental transmission of fatal familial insomnia. *Nature* **376**: 434–435.
- Taylor D, Dickinson A, Fraser H, Marsh R. 1986. Evidence that transmissible mink encephalopathy agent is biologically inactive in mice. *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol* **12**: 207–215.
- Telling GC, Parchi P, DeArmond S, Cortelli P, Montagna P, Gabizon R, Mastrianni J, Lugaresi E, Gambetti P, Prusiner S. 1996. Evidence for the conformation of the pathologic isoform of the prion protein enciphering and propagating prion diversity. *Science* **274**: 2079–2082.
- Thackray AM, Hopkins L, Klein MA, Bujdoso R. 2007. Mouse-adapted ovine scrapie prion strains are characterized by different conformers of PrP^{Sc}. *J Virol* **81**: 12119–12127.
- Thackray AM, Hopkins L, Lockey R, Spiropoulos J, Bujdoso R. 2011. Emergence of multiple prion strains from single isolates of ovine scrapie. *J Gen Virol* **92**: 1482–1491.
- Thackray AM, Lockey R, Beck KE, Spiropoulos J, Bujdoso R. 2012. Evidence for co-infection of ovine prion strains in classical scrapie isolates. *J Comp Pathol* **147**: 316–329.
- Tixador P, Herzog L, Reine F, Jaumain E, Chapuis J, Le Dur A, Laude H, Beringue V. 2010. The physical relationship between infectivity and prion protein aggregates is strain-dependent. *PLoS Pathog* **6**: e1000859.
- van Keulen L, Schreuder B, Meloen R, Poelen-van den Berg M, Mooij-Harkes G, Vromans M, Langeveld J. 1995. Immunohistochemical detection and localization of prion protein in brain tissue of sheep with natural scrapie. *Vet Pathol* **32**: 299–308.
- van Keulen L, Schreuder B, Vromans M, Langeveld J, Smits M. 2000. Pathogenesis of natural scrapie in sheep. *Arch Virol Suppl* **16**: 57–71.
- Wang F, Wang X, Yuan CG, Ma J. 2010. Generating a prion with bacterially expressed recombinant prion protein. *Science* **327**: 1132–1135.
- Wells G. 1987. A novel progressive spongiform encephalopathy in cattle. *Vet Rec* **121**: 419–420.
- Westaway D, Goodman P, Mirenda C, McKinley M, Carlson G, Prusiner S. 1987. Distinct prion proteins in short and long scrapie incubation period mice. *Cell* **51**: 651–662.
- Williams E, Young S. 1980. Chronic wasting disease of captive mule deer: A spongiform encephalopathy. *J Wildl Dis* **16**: 89–98.
- Williams E, Young S. 1992. Spongiform encephalopathies in Cervidae. *Rev Sci Tech* **11**: 551–567.
- Zlotnik I, Stamp JT. 1961. Scrapie disease of sheep. *World Neurol* **2**: 895–907.

Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine

Prion Strain Diversity

Jason C. Bartz

Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2016; doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a024349 originally published online October 6, 2016

Subject Collection [Prion Diseases](#)

TDP-43 Prions

Takashi Nonaka and Masato Hasegawa

α -Synuclein: Multiple System Atrophy Prions

Amanda L. Woerman, Joel C. Watts, Atsushi Aoyagi, et al.

Genetics of Synucleinopathies

Robert L. Nussbaum

β -Amyloid Prions and the Pathobiology of Alzheimer's Disease

Joel C. Watts and Stanley B. Prusiner

Disease Mechanisms of C9ORF72 Repeat Expansions

Tania F. Gendron and Leonard Petrucelli

Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy: Is Latency in Symptom Onset Explained by Tau Propagation?

Joshua Kriegel, Zachary Papadopoulos and Ann C. McKee

Noncerebral Amyloidoses: Aspects on Seeding, Cross-Seeding, and Transmission

Gunilla T. Westermark, Marcus Fändrich, Katarzyna Lundmark, et al.

Structural and Chemical Biology of Presenilin Complexes

Douglas S. Johnson, Yue-Ming Li, Martin Pettersson, et al.

Cell Biology and Pathophysiology of α -Synuclein

Jacqueline Burré, Manu Sharma and Thomas C. Südhof

Molecular Mechanisms of Chronic Wasting Disease Prion Propagation

Julie A. Moreno and Glenn C. Telling

Genetics of Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis

Mehdi Ghasemi and Robert H. Brown, Jr.

The Genetics of C9orf72 Expansions

Ilse Gijssels, Marc Cruts and Christine Van Broeckhoven

Prion-Like Characteristics of Polyglutamine-Containing Proteins

Margaret M.P. Pearce and Ron R. Kopito

Therapeutic Strategies for Restoring Tau Homeostasis

Zapporah T. Young, Sue Ann Mok and Jason E. Gestwicki

Fused in Sarcoma Neuropathology in Neurodegenerative Disease

Ian R.A. Mackenzie and Manuela Neumann

Experimental Models of Inherited PrP Prion Diseases

Joel C. Watts and Stanley B. Prusiner

For additional articles in this collection, see <http://perspectivesinmedicine.cshlp.org/cgi/collection/>