Interaction of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* with Host Cell Death Pathways
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*Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*Mt*) has coevolved with humans for tens of thousands of years. It is thus highly adapted to its human host and has evolved multiple mechanisms to manipulate host immune responses to its advantage. One central host pathogen interaction modality is host cell death pathways. Host cell apoptosis is associated with a protective response to *Mt* infection, whereas a necrotic response favors the pathogen. Consistently, *Mt* inhibits host cell apoptosis signaling but promotes induction of programmed necrosis. The molecular mechanisms involved in *Mt*-mediated host cell death manipulation, the consequences for host immunity, and the potential for therapeutic and preventive approaches will be discussed.

The death of eukaryotic cells is an extremely diverse and complex event that has been categorized into multiple cell death types according to morphological features and unique cell signaling and effector molecules involved (Galluzzi et al. 2012b). Here we will give a brief overview of apoptosis, necroptosis, and pyroptosis, as these are the cell death modalities that are the most relevant in regard to interaction of pathogens with their host cell (Lamkanfi and Dixit 2010).

Apoptosis is morphologically characterized by cell shrinking, fragmentation of the nucleus, formation of membrane blebs, and apoptotic bodies. The apoptotic bodies contain cell cytoplasm and other cellular organelles and they are taken up by macrophages via receptor-mediated phagocytosis. In a process defined as efferocytosis, a macrophage phagocytes a whole apoptotic cell. In both cases the cytoplasm and other cellular components never leave the dying cell, and hence apoptosis is immunologically silent. Important biochemical and cell signaling events that are often used to measure cellular apoptosis are the loss of mitochondrial outer membrane permeability (MOMP), cleavage and activation of members of the caspase protease family, fragmentation of chromosomal DNA, and externalization of phosphatidylserine at the cell membrane. The extrinsic apoptosis signaling pathway is activated by ligand binding to a death receptor (DR) such as TNF-receptor or Fas. Intracellular signaling events are complex but lead to the activation of caspase 8, which will then activate the executioner caspase 3, 6, and 7. The intrinsic apoptosis signaling pathway can be engaged by an increase in cytosolic reactive oxygen species (ROS), UV exposure, genotoxic stress, growth factor withdrawal, and others. These events will change the equilibrium of pro- and...
antiapoptotic Bcl-2 family members toward a majority of proapoptotic proteins being activated. Two of these are Bax and Bak, which after activation form a pore that results in MOMP and release of cytochrome c into the cytosol. Here it associates with the apoptosis protease activating factor-1 (Apaf-1) to form the apoptosome complex, which will cleave and activate procaspase 9. Just like caspase 8, caspase 9 also cleaves and activates the executioner caspses 3, 6, and 7. The activated executioner caspase target and activate a wide array of cellular proteins, which are responsible for the morphological changes associated with apoptosis, such as caspase-activated DNases that cleave chromosomal DNA (Lamkanfi and Dixit 2010; Galluzzi et al. 2012a) (Fig. 1).

Historically, it was assumed that all cellular necrosis is accidental but it is now evident that most necrotic events are highly regulated by a multitude of pathways (Vanlangenakker et al. 2012). DRs and Toll-like receptors (TLRs) can induce the formation of an intracellular signaling complex containing Fas-associated death domain (FADD), caspase 8, rest in peace 1 (RIPK1), and rest in peace 3 (RIPK3). This complex can either induce apoptosis via activated caspase 8 or necroptosis via activated RIPK3, depending on other regulatory proteins. RIPK3 leads, via activation of other signaling proteins, to the disruption of mitochondrial function and necrosis. RIPK1 knockout mice are not viable and so necrostatin-1, a very specific inhibitor of RIPK1, is commonly used to investigate RIPK1-mediated necroptosis induction. Another important modulator of a necrotic cell death pathway, referred to as parthanatos, is the poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 (PARP1). PARP1 upon short-term activation actually helps to prevent apoptotic cell death via its DNA repair function. Nevertheless, continuous activation leads to massive ATP consumption that triggers mitochondrial malfunction and necrosis. PARP1 may also be activated by RIPK1, highlighting a connection with DR and TLR signaling (Vanlangenakker et al. 2012; Sridharan and Upton 2014).

Finally, pyroptosis can be regarded as a mixture between apoptosis and programmed necrosis because, like the latter, pyroptosis leads to cell membrane rupture and no membrane blebs or apoptotic bodies are produced but, similar to apoptosis, genomic DNA is degraded and caspase activation is required (Kepp et al. 2010; Aachoui et al. 2013). In contrast to apoptosis, pyroptosis leads to inflammation caused by the leakage of cytosolic content via damaged cell membranes and because of the caspase 1-mediated generation of the secreted inflammatory cytokines IL-1β and IL-18. The caspase 1-mediated pyroptosis can be dependent on the adaptor ASC in the cases of NLRP3 and AIM2 activation or independent of ASC in the case of NLRC4-mediated activation. Caspase 11 transcription can be induced via TLR signaling after bacterial infection, and then it can either lead to stimulation of caspase 1 activity or directly induce pyroptosis. In contrast to caspase 1, caspase 11 is unable to cleave proIL-1β and proIL-18 cytokines. The mechanism of caspase 11 activation and its downstream targets for pyroptosis induction are unknown (Miao et al. 2011; Aachoui et al. 2013; Broz and Monack 2013; Ng and Monack 2013).

**HOST CELL APOPTOSIS AND CONSEQUENCES TO Mtb PATHOGENESIS**

The induction of host cell apoptosis was reported to have some direct bactericidal effects on intracellular bacterial viability (Molloy et al. 1994; Duan et al. 2002). Nevertheless, recent reports show that this is probably not a major factor during in vivo infections; instead, uptake of apoptotic cells by bystander macrophages and/or neutrophils, a process defined as effrocytosis, can lead to killing of Mtb (Fratzazi et al. 1997; Blomgran et al. 2012; Briken 2012; Martin et al. 2012; Yang et al. 2012). The killing of the bacteria inside the phagocytosed dead cell depends on recruitment and activation of the phagosomal NADPH oxidase complex, NOX2, to generate ROS (Yang et al. 2012). Another mechanism for the increased killing after effrocytosis is that Mtb, contained within double membrane phagosomes, is unable to inhibit phagosome maturation, and consequently lyso-
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**Figure 1.** Host cell apoptosis pathways are classified into two main categories—extrinsic and intrinsic pathways. The extrinsic pathway of cell death is triggered by the interaction of death receptors (DRs) with specific death ligands and involves activation of caspase 8. Intrinsic pathways are triggered by stimuli that target mitochondria and result in release of cytochrome c, which participates in apoptosome formation, a platform for caspase 9 activation. In addition, CD8⁺ T cells engage the extrinsic pathway via the Fas death receptor and the intrinsic pathway via perforin/granzyme secretion. Caspase 8 and 9 activate downstream effector caspases (caspase 3, 6, and 7), which leads to the characteristic features of apoptotic cells via activation of multiple targets. Apoptosis is immunologically silent as cellular material is enclosed within apoptotic bodies. Apoptosis of *Mtb*-infected cells results in packaging of mycobacterial antigens within apoptotic bodies that, when phagocytosed by dendritic cells, allow for antigen presentation to CD8⁺ T cells via cross-presentation and the induction of a robust adaptive immune response. Additionally, uptake of dying apoptotic cells by healthy macrophages or neutrophils (efferocytosis) leads to killing of bacteria. However, *Mtb*-mediated apoptosis induction was also reported to help in dissemination of bacteria during early phase of infection.
somies and their bactericidal enzymes will fuse with the 
*Mtb* phagosome (Martin et al. 2012).

Granuloma formation is a hallmark feature of tuberculosis lung pathology (Ramakrishnan 2012; Reece and Kaufmann 2012). Compelling evidence from the zebrafish model shows that the ESX-1 secretion system, via secretion of EsxA (ESAT-6), is involved in the up-regulation of MMP9 on epithelial cells surrounding the granuloma, leading to recruitment of uninfected macrophages (Volkman et al. 2010), and that this, together with apoptosis induction of infected macrophages, helps in the dissemination of the bacteria (Davis and Ramakrishnan 2009). The beneficial effect of host cell apoptosis in the spread of bacteria and its dependence on the ESX-1 system were supported by evidence from *Mtb* in mouse lung infections showing a correlation between strains that induce increased lung cell apoptosis and bacterial growth (Aguilo et al. 2013). Consistently, the proapoptotic *nuoG Mtb* mutant was present in a larger number of diverse lung myeloid cells, albeit with fewer bacteria per cell, compared with wild-type *Mtb* during the early phase of aerosol mouse infection (Blomgran et al. 2012).

It is difficult to reconcile the contradictory observations of host cell apoptosis on *Mtb* pathology during the innate phase of the immune response. One explanation is that maybe during this phase both features (protective and permissive) of host cell apoptosis come into play. This may explain why proapoptotic *Mtb* mutants do not have a virulence defect during the early phase of *in vitro* infections, as one would expect if only the defense features of host cell apoptosis are in effect (Hinchey et al. 2007; Velmurugan et al. 2007; Blomgran et al. 2012). Consequently, proapoptosis mutants may induce better innate immune defense responses, but they may also be more efficient in attracting uninfected macrophages, neutrophils, and dendritic cells, and hence disseminate better according to the results obtained in the zebrafish model (Davis and Ramakrishnan 2009) and by comparing dissemination of wild-type and the proapoptotic *nuoG* mutant *Mtb* in mouse lungs (Blomgran et al. 2012).

In contrast, the long-term in vivo survival studies using proapoptosis *Mtb* mutants show that host cell apoptosis induction is beneficial to host survival overall (Edwards et al. 2001; Velmurugan et al. 2007; Sun et al. 2013). Mice infected with the *nuoG Mtb* mutant survive longer and have lower bacterial burden in the lungs during the persistent phase of the infection (Velmurugan et al. 2007). The knockdown of superoxide dismutase A (*SodA*) resulted in increased apoptosis of host cells in mouse lungs and decreased virulence of the bacteria (Edwards et al. 2001). *SodA* is secreted via the SecA2 secretion system in *Mtb*, and a *secA2* deletion mutant was attenuated in mice (Braunstein et al. 2003) and has a proapoptosis phenotype because of its defect in *SodA* secretion (Hinchey et al. 2007). However, the SecA2 system also affects other responses of the host cell and consequently these pleiotropic effects of the mutant make the interpretation of the cause of the in vivo attenuation difficult (Ligon et al. 2012). For example, the early growth defect of the *secA2* mutant is not caused by a lack in *SodA* export, because expressing a *SodA* protein secreted via the SecA1 pathway could not reverse the phenotype (Sullivan et al. 2012). This suggests that it is not the proapoptotic feature of the SecA2 mutant that mediates that phenotype but rather the defect in the secretion of another effector (Sullivan et al. 2012). Recently, an antisense knockdown approach was successful in reducing expression of the nucleoside diphosphate kinase (*Ndk*) gene in *Mtb* and showed its importance for host cell apoptosis inhibition and virulence (Sun et al. 2013).

Another line of evidence supporting the role of host cell apoptosis in host defense is provided by studies using mouse mutants that lack genes important for either induction or repression of host cell apoptosis and their changes in susceptibility to *Mtb* infections. The Intracellular pathogen resistance 1 (*Ipr1*) gene is crucial for mediating host cell apoptosis instead of necrosis on *Mtb* infections *ex vivo* (Pan et al. 2005). The *Ipr1* knockout mice are more susceptible to *Mtb* infections and induce less host cell apoptosis (Pan et al. 2005). Mice deficient in the 5-lipoxygenase gene (*Alox5*−/−) cannot produce the lipidox A (LXA₄) and therefore infected macrophages undergo apoptosis instead of ne-
The literature on the inhibition of host cell apoptosis by Mtb has been reviewed recently (Abebe et al. 2011; Behar et al. 2011; Briken 2013). The mechanisms of inhibition include the up-regulation of host cell antiapoptosis signaling proteins such as the Bcl-2 family member Mcl-1 (Sly et al. 2003) or the Bfl-1 protein (Kausalya et al. 2001). The Mtb-induced secretion of soluble host cell TNF-receptor 2 to inhibit TNF signaling has also been described (Balczewicz-Sablinska et al. 1998). In addition, virulent Mtb H37Rv strains, but not attenuated Mtb H37Ra, can inhibit TNF-mediated cell death signaling in infected cells (Keane et al. 1997). The Fas–FasL interaction is one pathway for a CD8+ T-cell to induce death of target cells. Mtb infection leads to a reduction of Fas expression at the cell surface to inhibit T-cell-mediated killing (Oddo et al. 1998) and activates intracellular signaling pathways to suppress FasL-induced apoptosis signaling (Loeuillet et al. 2006). Finally, the neutralization of phagosomal ROS by bacterial defense mechanisms detailed below is important in suppressing TNF-mediated host cell apoptosis (Miller et al. 2010).
The *Mtb* nuoG gene is part of a 14-gene operon (NDH-1) encoding for a type I NADH dehydrogenase whose function is important for the capacity of *Mtb* to inhibit host cell apoptosis (Velmurugan et al. 2007; Miller et al. 2010). In cells infected with the *nuoG* *Mtb* mutant, ROS accumulates in the phagosome, which leads to an increase in apoptosis (Miller et al. 2010). The detailed mechanism of how the NDH-1 system neutralizes and/or inhibits the ROS generated by the phagocyte NADPH oxidase system, NOX2, is still unknown. Catalases and superoxide dismutases are enzymes important for the detoxification of superoxides. The deletion mutant of the *Mtb* superoxide dismutase, SodA, is not viable but it was shown that the proapoptotic phenotype of the secretion mutant SecA2 is dependent on the secretion defect of SodA (Hinchey et al. 2007). It is thus likely that the mutants deficient in secretion of SodA lead to an increase in phagosomal ROS similar to the *nuoG* mutant, which results in increased apoptosis. Another *Mtb* protein that targets host cell ROS is the enhanced intracellular survival (eis) protein. Nevertheless, it can inhibit not only NOX2-generated phagosomal ROS but also mitochondrial ROS via inhibition of the intracellular kinase JNK (Kim et al. 2012). eis is a secreted protein that reaches the host cell cytosol and, via its acetyltransferase activity, acetylates the phosphatase DUSP16/MKP-7 that increases its activity to dephosphorylate and hence inactivate JNK (Kim et al. 2012). The eis *Mtb* mutant induces caspase-independent apoptosis and autophagy that is different from the *nuoG* mutant that induces caspase-dependent apoptosis and no autophagy (Miller et al. 2010; L Srinivasan, unpubl.). Besides ROS, reactive nitrogen intermediates (RNIs) are generated in the phagosome to kill bacteria. The serine/threonine protein kinase E (PknE) of *Mtb* is up-regulated by an increase in RNIs, and without PknE there is an increase in the expression of proapoptotic members of the Bcl-2 family (Bax and Bid), a down-regulation of the antiapoptotic members such as Mcl-1, and a dephosphorylation of the prosurvival kinase Akt (Jayakumar et al. 2008; Kumar and Narayanan 2012).

A “loss-of-function” genetic screen for proapoptotic transposon mutants of *Mtb* identified the importance of two operons for host cell death inhibition (Danelishvili et al. 2010). The first operon (*Rv3654c*-Rv3660c) encompasses four genes that have homology with type IV pili. Interestingly, Rv3654c is secreted into the host cell cytosol, where it binds to and cleaves the protein-associated splice factor (PSF), which is important for splicing of caspase 8 pre-mRNA (Danelishvili et al. 2010). The consequence of this interaction is that there are lower levels of caspase 8 in cells infected with wild-type *Mtb* compared with a *Rv3654c* deletion mutant (Danelishvili et al. 2010). The other antiapoptotic operon is Rv3561c-Rv3565c, which is implicated in the inhibition of cell death dependent on the inflammatory caspase 1 (Danelishvili et al. 2011). After *Mtb* infection, Rv3364c enters the host cell cytosol and binds to and inactivates the host cell protease cathepsin G (Danelishvili et al. 2011). How cathepsin G regulates caspase 1 activity is not known but it was hypothesized that the effect might be mediated via cathepsin G cleavage and activation of the protease activated receptor-4, which then can induce caspase 1 activation via a NOD (nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain)-dependent pathway (Danelishvili et al. 2011) (for a detailed review, see Briken 2013).

**HOST CELL PROGRAMMED NECROSIS AND CONSEQUENCES TO Mtb PATHOGENESIS**

Host cell programmed necrosis is not detrimental to viability of intracellular *Mtb* (Molloy et al. 1994; Duan et al. 2002; Gan et al. 2008). Instead *Mtb*, after infection and multiplication, induces programmed necrosis to escape the cell (Chen et al. 2006; Lee et al. 2006; Behar et al. 2010). The host cell production of the eicosanoids, PGE₂ versus LXA₄, is of critical importance for the induction of apoptosis or necrosis, respectively (Behar et al. 2010). Mice deficient in 5-
lipoxygenase cannot make LXA₄ and thus are more resistant to \textit{Mtb} infections (Bafica et al. 2005). On the other hand, mice deficient in prostaglandin E synthase (PTGES) cannot produce PGE₂, and these animals are more susceptible to \textit{Mtb} infections (Divangahi et al. 2009). Mutations in zebrafish and humans in the leukotriene A₄ hydrolase (LTA₄H) are associated with hypersusceptibility state to mycobacterial infections (Tobin et al. 2010). This is due to the increase in LXA₄ in the absence of LTA₄H, leading to increased necrosis and decreased TNF production, which favors mycobacterial replication and leads to host cell programmed necrosis and increased bacterial virulence (Tobin et al. 2010). Interestingly, the overexpression of LTA₄H and subsequent high levels of the leukotriene B₄ (LTB₄) and low levels of LXA₄ also leads to an increase in host cell programmed necrosis and increased susceptibility to mycobacterial infections (Tobin et al. 2012). This is due to the induction of excessive TNF production by LTB₄, which keeps bacterial replication low, but favors induction of host cell necrosis and excessive inflammation leading to tissue damage and pathology (Tobin et al. 2012; Roca and Ramakrishnan 2013). Hence at both extreme ends of the spectrum, high LXA₄/no LTB₄ and high LTB₄/no LXA₄, the outcomes for the host are very similar with high levels of host cell necrosis, host tissue pathology, and host susceptibility (Tobin et al. 2012; Roca and Ramakrishnan 2013). This is despite the fact that the bacterial numbers are quite different in the two scenarios. High LXA₄ levels result in high bacterial numbers, and high LTB₄ levels result in a low bacterial burden (Tobin et al. 2012; Roca and Ramakrishnan 2013). In conclusion, the Goldilocks principle applies to levels of LXA₄ and LTB₄ in order to produce a successful host immune response (Tobin and Ramakrishnan 2013).

**MANIPULATION OF PROGRAMMED NECROSIS PATHWAYS BY \textit{Mtb}**

A correlation between virulence of \textit{Mtb} and its capacity to induce mitochondrial-dependent necrosis was first proposed by studies comparing the capacities of \textit{Mtb} H37Rv and the attenuated \textit{Mtb} H37Ra strains to disrupt mitochondrial function (Chen et al. 2006; Behar et al. 2011). Indeed only \textit{Mtb} H37Rv led to an early mitochondrial transmembrane potential loss caused by mitochondrial permeability transition (MPT) pore complex. Inhibitors of MPT and mitochondrial Ca²⁺ influx both abrogated \textit{Mtb} H37Rv-mediated programmed necrosis induction (Duan et al. 2002; Chen et al. 2006). Other reports showed caspase-independent, programmed necrotic cell death induction after infection of cells with high bacterial numbers that did not lead to bactericidal activity (Lee et al. 2006). The threshold for host cells to undergo necrosis was determined to be between 20 and 40 bacteria per phagocyte as determined in ex vivo and in vivo studies (Lee et al. 2006; Repasy et al. 2013). This death pathway was independent of Ca²⁺ flux and MPT and did not require lysosomal cathepsin protease activity (Lee et al. 2006, 2011; Welin et al. 2011; Wong and Jacobs 2011). One report showed that this high bacterial burden, programmed necrosis pathway is independent of ESX-1 secretion system but requires the presence of the PhoPR two-component system for the activation of lysosomal lipases that mediate cell necrosis (Lee et al. 2011). This is in contrast to other studies, which showed that cell death induction of \textit{Mtb}-infected cells was dependent on bacterial expression of EsxA, one protein of the ESX-1 system that is involved in function of the system but is also a secreted effector itself (Welin et al. 2011; Wong and Jacobs 2011; Simeone et al. 2012). The function of the ESX-1 system was linked to escape of \textit{Mtb} out of the phagosome at later stages of the infection, which coincided with host cell necrosis induction (Simeone et al. 2012). The \textit{Mtb} EsxA protein is important in forming pores in the phagosomal membrane (Wong and Jacobs 2011), which is essential for subsequent NLRP3-inflammasome activation, because \textit{esxa} \textit{Mtb} mutants are deficient in activation of this inflammasome (Koo et al. 2008; Kurenuma et al. 2009; Wong and Jacobs 2011; Abdalla et al. 2012; Dorhoi et al. 2012). The activation of NLRP3 inflammasome in \textit{Mtb}-infected cells is dependent on the tyrosine kinase Syk, and this activation is...
essential for cell lysis, hence showing a necrototic host cell death pathway (Wong and Jacobs 2011) (Fig. 2). This is consistent with a previous report showing the activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome by Syk during a fungal infection (Gross et al. 2009). Another intriguing aspect of host cell programmed necrosis during mycobacterial infections was recently revealed by studies in the zebrafish model, which showed that too low as well as too high levels of host TNF production resulted in increased necrosis and host susceptibility to infection (Roca and Ramakrishnan 2013). At too low levels of TNF, the high intracellular bacterial burden led to necrosis, whereas at too high levels of TNF the burden of bacterial per cell was actually reduced but cells were sensitized by TNF to undergo RIPK1/3-dependent necroptosis (Roca and Ramakrishnan 2013).

Virulent Mtb induces the production of LXA4 that inhibits cyclooxygenase 2 (COX2) expression, which is an essential enzyme in the PGE2 synthesis pathway, and thus increased LXA4 levels result in decreased PGE2 (Chen et al. 2008). PGE2 is a central inhibitor of host cell programmed necrosis via two potentially related mechanisms. First, high levels of PGE2 limit the mitochondrial membrane damage, which leads to less ROS leakage into the cytosol and hence less programmed necrosis induction (Chen et al. 2008). This effect is mediated via PGE2 binding to the E-prostanoid (EP) 2 receptor leading to protein kinase A activation and increased cAMP production (Chen et al. 2008). Second, PGE2 induces the recruitment of Lamp-1-positive vesicles of lysosomal origin to the cell surface to repair damaged cell membranes (Divangahi et al. 2009). On the basis of susceptibility to PI3(K) inhibitors it was proposed that the mechanism involves binding of PGE2 to the EP4 receptor leading to PI(3)K activation. In the same study it was clearly shown that PGE2 leads to increased expression of the calcium sensor synaptotagmin 7 (Syt-7) and reduced necrosis and, vice versa, that reduction of Syt-7 via siRNA approaches inhibited plasma membrane repair (Divangahi et al. 2009). These data show that regulation of Syt-7 by PGE2 is central to suppression of host cell necrosis during infection with avirulent Mtb strains.

Another pathway through which LXA4 facilitates programmed necrosis is via inhibition of the formation of the apoptotic cell envelope (Gan et al. 2008). The primary target of LXA4 in these events is the serine protease inhibitor, plasminogen activator inhibitor type 2 (PAI2), which is down-regulated by increasing LXA4 levels (Gan et al. 2008). During the apoptotic envelope formation, phosphatidylycerine (PS) and annexin-1 levels at the cell membrane are augmented. Annexin-1 binds to PS and is the target for the tissue transglutaminase (tTG), which cross-links annexin-1 to form a matrix that stabilizes the apoptotic envelope. Nevertheless, annexin-1 can be cleaved by an unknown cellular serine protease, which will result in a truncated annexin-1 that binds to PS but cannot be cross-linked and hence fails to support the formation of a stable apoptotic envelope (Gan et al. 2008). The result will be the induction of cellular lysis. PAI2 is a serine protease inhibitor that can inhibit the protease cleaving annexin-1. Thus, Mtb, by inhibiting PAI2 expression, facilitates programmed necrosis induction (Gan et al. 2008).

As mentioned above, Mtb inhibits the lysosomal cell membrane repair pathway via down-regulation of the calcium sensor Syt-7. There is a second cell membrane repair pathway that is not controlled by PGE2 and is dependent on Golgi-derived vesicles (Divangahi et al. 2009). Interestingly, only this pathway shuttles PS and annexin-1 to the cell membrane in order to inhibit cell necrosis and support induction of apoptosis (Divangahi et al. 2009). Hence, by targeting the PAI2 protease inhibitor, Mtb has evolved to find a way to functionally inhibit also this second cell membrane repair mechanism. It is fascinating that this is achieved via targeting of one central cellular signaling molecule the eicosanoid LXA4 (for review, see Behar et al. 2011). A precise programmed necrosis pathway using the available hallmark inhibitors or knockout mice (Fig. 2) has not been defined by these studies involving LXA4 and consequently it was referred to here just as programmed necrosis.
Inflammation and replication of *Mtb* can lead to the loss of cell membrane integrity. Necropotosis can be initiated by extracellular ligands interacting with their cognate cell surface receptors (DRs, death receptors; TLR, Toll-like receptors) or by varied intracellular stress signals (physicochemical stress). One pathway involves activation of RIPK1 and RIPK3, which leads to mitochondrial malfunction. Intracellular stress can also activate PARP1, which can signal for mitochondrial dysfunction potentially involving RIPK1 (sometimes referred to as the parthanatos death pathway). The inflammasome (NLR/ASC) may signal directly for necroptosis induction or indirectly via caspase 1 leading to pyroptosis, which also results in cell lysis but induces DNA degradation similar to apoptotic cell death. Caspase 11 can signal directly for a pyroptosis-like cell death or indirectly via NLR/ASC to activate caspase 1–dependent pyroptosis signaling. The cell may attempt to inhibit cell membrane lysis either by recruitment of lysosomal membranes to the cell surface to repair the cell membrane or by cross-linking annexin-1 on the cell surface and thus leading to formation of a stable apoptotic envelope. Necrotic cell death is inflammatory as it leads to spilling of the intracellular contents into the extracellular milieu. *Mtb* has been associated with both the induction of necrosis pathways and the inhibition of the inhibitory mechanisms. Programmed necrosis of *Mtb*-infected host cells leads to bacterial escape, whereas the associated inflammatory response recruits new host cells for extracellular *Mtb* to infect and replicate in, thus leading to dissemination of infection.
Mtb GENES INVOLVED IN HOST CELL PROGRAMMED NECROSIS INDUCTION

There are several Mtb genes that are involved in the induction of host cell death (Briken 2013). Nevertheless, it is still not determined which of these or other, yet to be discovered, genes are the mediators of the Mtb necrotic cell death induction during the final stage of the bacterial intracellular replication cycle. An interesting candidate for a major role is the heparin-binding hemaglutinin (HBHA) protein, which is secreted into the host cell cytosol and targeted to the mitochondria to induce activation of the Bax protein (Sohn et al. 2011). This leads to the creation of a mitochondrial membrane channel resulting in the loss of membrane potential (Sohn et al. 2011). It is noteworthy that inhibition of mitochondrial membrane damage is one of the key features of PGE2 to inhibit host cell necrosis (Chen et al. 2008). Nevertheless, the Mtb HBHA protein does not seem to induce necrotic cell death but instead leads to caspase 9–dependent apoptotic cell death (Sohn et al. 2011).

The ancestral mycobacterial type VII secretion system ESX-4 has been duplicated four times during the evolution of mycobacterial species to yield ESX-1 to ESX-5 (Gey van Pittius et al. 2006; Houben et al. 2013). The EsxA protein of the ESX-1 system can form homo- and heterodimers that can insert into the host cell membrane to induce necrosis (Hsu et al. 2003; de Jonge et al. 2007; Smith et al. 2008; Welin et al. 2011). In contrast, other reports show that ectopic expression of EsxA and/or the incubation of cells with purified EsxA induce host cell apoptosis (Derrick and Morris 2007; Choi et al. 2010). There is a clear consensus though in the literature that Mtb or Mm mutants deficient in esxA induce less cell death and that these mutants are also severely attenuated in the mouse and zebrafish model of tuberculosis (Abdallah et al. 2007; DiGiuseppe Champion and Cox 2007; Ramakrishnan 2012). The interpretation of results with the esxA mutants in regard to the bacterial effector mediating the phenotype is difficult because deletion of esxA not only affects secretion of EsxA but also of all the other ESX-1 secretion system substrates.

A functional ESX-1 system is also essential for full activation of the NLRP3-inflammasome after mycobacterial infection (Koo et al. 2008; Mayer-Barber et al. 2010; McElvania Tekippe et al. 2010; Mishra et al. 2010; Walter et al. 2010; Abdalla et al. 2012; Dorhoi et al. 2012). One report shows that this activation of NLRP3 leads to the induction of necroptosis (Wong and Jacobs 2011). The Mtb Rv3364c protein is an inhibitor of host cell cathepsin G and this inhibition led to less caspase 1–dependent pyroptosis (Danelishvili et al. 2011). The ESX-5 system has also been implicated in the induction of host cell necrosis and inflammasome activation (Abdallah et al. 2011). According to the proposed model, the ESX-1 system helps Mtb to escape the phagosome following which ESX-5-secreted effector proteins participate in the induction of programmed necrosis to allow bacteria to leave the infected cell (Abdallah et al. 2011). The ESX-5 system is crucial for the secretion of the Mtb PE/PPE family of proteins (Abdallah et al. 2006, 2009). Interestingly, the PE_PGRS33 protein is transported to host cell mitochondria and induces programmed necrosis when expressed ectopically in mammalian cells (Cadieux et al. 2011). It remains to be determined what the function of this protein is in the context of live Mtb infection.

POTENTIAL FOR THERAPEUTIC AND PREVENTIVE APPROACHES

The fact that increased host cell apoptosis resulted in improved CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ T-cell priming as discussed above obviously led to efforts to improve the current vaccine, BCG (Bacillus Calmette–Guérin), by increasing its apoptosis-inducing potential. The first evidence that this strategy might be successful was provided by a BCG vaccine modified to express the Listeria monocytogenes toxin Listeriolysin O (LLO). The toxin is not secreted outside the infected cell but inserts into the phagosomal membrane to generate pores that allow better access of BCG proteins to the host cell cytosol, which should result in improved CD8⁺ T-cell priming. This BCG-LLO strain also induces increased host cell apoptosis compared with wild-
CONCLUDING REMARKS

The interaction of \textit{Mtb} with the host in regard to cell death is complex. Currently, the research is at a stage that does not allow designing a model that explains all of the data available. For example, more time and effort is needed to elucidate the ambiguous role of host cell apoptosis in \textit{Mtb} pathogenesis. Also, how does \textit{Mtb} regulate the induction of host cell necrosis in order to escape the cell and what are the bacterial effectors involved? Identifying these effectors may provide excellent novel drug targets because inhibiting the necrotic property of \textit{Mtb} during the later stages of active pulmonary disease should be able to provide clear therapeutic benefits. It is now well established that \textit{Mtb} infection of host cells involves interaction with the three major cell death pathways: apoptosis, pyroptosis, and necroptosis. It is quite likely that we will be able to gain many insights into these cell death pathways by studying a pathogen that has coevolved with humans for more than 50,000 years (Hershberg et al. 2008).
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