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The recent accelerated approval for use in extensively drug-resistant and multidrug-resistant-tuberculosis (MDR-TB) of two first-in-class TB drugs, bedaquiline and delamanid, has reinvigorated the TB drug discovery and development field. However, although several promising clinical development programs are ongoing to evaluate new TB drugs and regimens, the number of novel series represented is few. The global early-development pipeline is also woefully thin. To have a chance of achieving the goal of better, shorter, safer TB drug regimens with utility against drug-sensitive and drug-resistant disease, a robust and diverse global TB drug discovery pipeline is key, including innovative approaches that make use of recently acquired knowledge on the biology of TB. Fortunately, drug discovery for TB has resurfaced in recent years, generating compounds with varying potential for progression into developable leads. In parallel, advances have been made in understanding TB pathogenesis. It is now possible to apply the lessons learned from recent TB hit generation efforts and newly validated TB drug targets to generate the next wave of TB drug leads. Use of currently underexploited sources of chemical matter and lead-optimization strategies may also improve the efficiency of future TB drug discovery. Novel TB drug regimens with shorter treatment durations must target all subpopulations of Mycobacterium tuberculosis existing in an infection, including those responsible for the protracted TB treatment duration. This review summarizes the current TB drug development pipeline and proposes strategies for generating improved hits and leads in the discovery phase that could help achieve this goal.

INTRODUCTION AND CURRENT DRUG DEVELOPMENT PIPELINE

The goal of tuberculosis (TB) drug discovery and development is to achieve TB drugs and drug regimens that are well understood and superior to those available today in their efficacy, speed of action, safety and tolerability, ease of use for all patient populations, and accessibility.

An ideal new regimen should be rapidly bactericidal and possess potent sterilizing activity to achieve stable cure in a shorter time period than the typical 6 mo required for the current standard of care for drug-sensitive TB. To achieve a significantly shorter duration of therapy, it is expected that a regimen would need to kill all subpopulations of Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtbc) that exist in clinical TB and are thought...
to vary in replication rate. In addition, new regimens should include drugs that show novel mechanisms of action (MOA) to ensure effectiveness against strains that are resistant to existing TB drugs. The regimens should also be well tolerated and possess absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) properties suitable for coadministration with anti-HIV (human immunodeficiency virus) agents and appropriate for once-a-day oral dosing, optimally within a fixed-dose combination. It is also imperative that new drugs be available at relatively low cost to remain accessible to all high-burden countries.

The TB drug development pipeline can be viewed on the frequently updated website of the Stop TB Partnership’s Working Group for New TB Drugs (www.newtbdrugs.org). Strategies recently used in TB drug development include reevaluation of existing TB drugs to optimize their utility; repurposing of drugs registered for non-TB indications as components of TB drug combinations; development of improved analogs of compounds or drugs with some known but limited value for TB; and development of novel chemical entities with new modes of action against TB. TB drugs are delivered as combinations with at least three compounds to prevent resistance development and reap the efficacy benefits of different compound classes or modes of action. Evaluation of new TB drugs is therefore as part of regimens from clinical Phase II onward.

The current global TB drug development pipeline includes four compounds under evaluation for active pulmonary TB in Phase III clinical trials. Two of these four compounds, the fluoroquinolones, moxifloxacin and gatifloxacin, are being investigated as part of 4-mo regimens, combined with first-line TB drugs, for drug-sensitive TB. The other two, bedaquiline (a diarylquinoline) and delamanid (a nitroimidazole), are being evaluated as additions to background therapy for MDR-TB. Another nitroimidazole, PA-824, is poised to enter Phase III clinical trials as part of a novel drug combination with moxifloxacin and pyrazinamide, in which it will be evaluated as a 4-mo regimen against drug-sensitive TB and as a 4- or 6-month regimen against MDR-TB. Earlier in development, a variety of approaches to novel regimen development are ongoing. PA-824 and bedaquiline, both novel chemical entities, are under evaluation in Phase II as part of novel drug combinations that include the first-line TB drug pyrazinamide as well as the repurposed drugs moxifloxacin and clofazimine. A number of ongoing studies will evaluate members of the well-known anti-TB class, the rifamycins (rifampicin and rifapentine in this case), in regimens for drug-sensitive TB. Besides the nitroimidazoles and diarylquinolines, two other novel chemical classes are being developed for TB—the ethylenediamines (represented by SQ109) and the oxazolidinones (linezolid, sutezolid, and AZD5847). Notably, a gap currently exists in Phase I with no known ongoing studies of new candidate TB drugs at that stage of development. The paucity of new chemical classes represented (and hence distinct modes of action and utility against drug-resistant and drug-sensitive disease) underscores the need for diverse, well-reourced discovery efforts to increase the flow to development to account for attrition and to plug the Phase I gap.

**TB Drug Discovery**

Recent advances in understanding the molecular biology of Mtb have been significant, driven largely by the whole-genome sequencing of the bacterium in 1998 (Cole et al. 1998). Knowledge of the complete Mtb genome sequence enabled scientists to establish the number of essential genes both in vitro and in vivo (Sassetti and Rubin 2003; Sassetti et al. 2003), to study genome-wide DNA microarrays for patterns of gene expression under various growth conditions (Sherman et al. 2001; Schnappinger et al. 2003; Rustad et al. 2008; Zhang et al. 2012), and to more rapidly identify targets of new compounds via identification of the mutated genes of compound-resistant mutants (Abrahams et al. 2012a; La Rosa et al. 2012; Ioerger et al. 2013; Remiuinan et al. 2013). The use of elegant gene knockdown techniques has allowed in vitro and in vivo validation of potential drug targets.
by showing the effect of the depletion of a specific target (Wei et al. 2011; Woong et al. 2011).

There is limited commercial potential for new TB drugs, and therefore, this indication has not been an area of intense activity. In addition, the recent exodus of much of the pharmaceutical industry from antibacterial drug discovery has proven to be a loss for TB drug research and development, as the TB field no longer benefits from drug candidates being developed against other bacteria. Fortunately, some government and nongovernment organizations have taken the initiative to fill this gap, with a turning point occurring with the creation of a number of not-for-profit product-development partnerships (PDPs), such as the Global Alliance for TB Drug Development (TB Alliance) that formed in 2000. Since then, several additional consortia have formed, including the TB Drug Accelerator, the Lilly Early TB Drug Discovery Initiative, and More Medicines for TB (MM4TB) and Orchid, both funded by the European Commission. Academic and government laboratories are also involved in translational medicine of basic research to TB drug discovery, mostly funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. The TB field has recently experienced some positive developments with the approval of bedaquiline (TMC-207, Sirturo from Janssen), by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) at the end of 2012 (http://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/ucm33695.htm) (and by EMA in March of 2014), and delamanid (OPC-67683, Deltyba from Otsuka) by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) in December of 2013 (http://online.wsj.com/article/PR-CO-20131112-5-900844.html), both indicated for MDR-TB. However, the current drug discovery pipeline (http://www.newtbdrugs.org/pipeline-discovery.php) provides little substrate for the development of drastic treatment-shortening drug regimens that will truly change the TB treatment paradigm.

In this review, we focus on the lessons learned from screening efforts of the recent past and how these can be applied for greater future success. We then highlight the lead-generation efforts and TB drug targets that we believe to be the most promising and worthy of more attention. Specifically, we discuss advances in screening technologies that are expected to produce the next generation of progressable hits against Mtb, highlighting the potential for natural products as a source for novel hits, and mechanism- or structure-based drug discovery approaches for the generation of anti-TB leads. We then focus on a selection of novel drug targets that have been recently validated such that their inhibition should eliminate persisters (a subpopulation of organisms in an infection that is phenotypically resistant to killing by most drugs and thought to be responsible for protracted treatment durations and relapse) and contribute to treatment shortening in novel regimens.

THE CURRENT STATUS AND RECENT HISTORY IN HIT/LEAD GENERATION FOR TB SCREENING EFFORTS

As in the antibacterial field in general, despite significant effort being expended on Mtb target-based biochemical screens (Payne et al. 2007), no TB drug or drug candidate has emerged from these efforts to date. Problems with target-based screens include their propensity to identify hits with potency against the target but that do not inhibit bacterial growth because target inhibition does not translate into bacterial killing for a variety of reasons, including poor penetration and efflux. Conversely, whole-cell screening has yielded notable successes for TB drug discovery. As a consequence, it has become the mainstay of TB hit generation. Mtb whole-cell screening is an approach in which compound libraries are screened for their ability to inhibit bacterial growth. These screens have been performed against both replicating and nonreplicating Mtb under a variety of culture conditions in an effort to identify compounds that can kill the multiple subpopulations of Mtb that exist in an infection. Whole-cell screening has the advantage that it is not target-specific, thus, it enables screening against the organism’s entire set of potential targets at once. Results of such a
screen, which effectively allows the organism’s physiology to inform discovery efforts, yield accessible targets and sensitive pathways that would otherwise be unpredicted. This approach also overcomes cell-penetration and cell-efflux issues (i.e., getting and keeping compounds in cells) of target-based screens. However, whole-cell screening is not without its challenges. For example, target identification can be problematic, and if not successful, it can limit the ability to quickly improve the potency of hit compounds. A good example of whole-cell screening success is the compound TMC-207 (Andries et al. 2005); other examples include a diarylcoumarin series that targets the acyl–acyl carrier protein synthetase activity of FadD32 (Kawate et al. 2013; Stanley et al. 2013) and the indolcarboxamides NITD-304 and NITD-349, presumed to inhibit MmpL3 from the sequencing of resistant mutants (Rao et al. 2013). All of these compounds were subsequently shown to be efficacious in vivo against murine TB.

Perhaps the earliest publically accessible whole-cell screening results were from the NIH’s effort to acquire and test compounds against \( Mtb \) (Tuberculosis Antimicrobial Acquisition and Coordinating Facility, T AACF) (Ananthan et al. 2009; Maddry et al. 2009). More recently, several pharmaceutical companies have performed phenotypic screens; for example, GSK recently reported a set of 177 \( Mtb \)-active compounds (Ballell et al. 2013). Some hits were further investigated in the hit-to-lead phase and have been made public (Abrahams et al. 2012b). The Novartis Institute of Tropical Diseases (NITD) has published results of a hit-to-lead program based on their selected series (Kondreddi et al. 2013; Yokokawa et al. 2013). AstraZeneca has also performed phenotypic screening and published some results (Ramanchandran et al. 2013). Similar screens against \( Mtb \) are under way by members of the TB Drug Accelerator (TBDA) consortium and MM4TB, among others.

The whole-cell screens published thus far largely used standardized aerobic culture conditions that favor replicating \( Mtb \). More recently, specialized whole-cell screens have been performed, including those that use culture conditions considered relevant to in vivo infection \( Mtb \) inside host cells. The expectations are that these screens will identify inhibitors that will be active in vivo and against persisters. Screens against \( Mtb \) in macrophages have been successful (Christophe et al. 2010); the late preclinical compound Q203 is one example. It is an imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxamide derivative that targets QcrB, a component of the CytBC1 complex of the respiratory chain (Pethe et al. 2013). Interestingly, this series was also discovered through screens under standard culture conditions and for inhibitors of ATP homeostasis under nonreplicating conditions (Mak et al. 2012). Other cell-based specialized screens recently reported include those for inhibitors of ATP or pH homeostasis (Darby et al. 2013) and against engineered \( Mtb \) strains with modulated expression of pantothenate synthetase (Kumar et al. 2013). These screens are an improvement over the simple phenotypic screens because inhibitors against particular targets, pathways, or processes already proven essential for TB are likely to be discovered via a whole-cell approach, thus combining the advantages of validated targets with whole-cell screening.

First, structurally identical or closely related compounds have been identified as hits many times over by various organizations; these compounds are listed in Table 1. Some examples include imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxamides (e.g., Q203) that were shown to kill \( Mtb \) by at least four different phenotypic screens performed by various organizations (Abrahams et al. 2012b; Mak et al. 2012; Moraski et al. 2011, 2012); tetrahydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamides were selected as hits in at least three different screens (Maddry et al. 2009); and urea analogs containing an adamantyl group or a norbonanymethylene group were identified as hits in two different screens (Ananthan et al. 2009; Brown et al. 2011; Scherman et al. 2012). The redundant identification of these hits may reflect the similarity of both the
libraries of the various organizations and the screening methods.

Second, the same recurring mechanistic targets have been identified for different hits. Representative promiscuous targets are summarized in Table 2. For example, at least five structurally divergent chemical series were shown to have the same target, DprE1, an enzyme involved in isomerization of decaprenylphosphoryl-β-d-ribose to decaprenylphosphoryl-β-d-arabinose, an important cell wall component (Batt et al. 2012). Similarly, structurally divergent compounds were shown to share MmpL3 as the predominant resistant determinant; MmpL3 is a cell membrane transporter of trehalose monomycolate (Grzegorzewicz et al. 2012a). The exclusivity of MmpL3 as a target of many of the observed hits has been challenged recently and it remains to be debated at present (Li et al. 2014).

The reasons for the same mechanistic targets are not clear, but it may be that these are the most accessible and vulnerable targets under the screening conditions used, and they are mainly cell surface or membrane-bound proteins; the phenomenon of lipophilic compounds and membrane-localized targets has been pointed out in two recent review articles (Stanley et al. 2012; Goldman 2013). The main argument Stanley and Goldman present is that lipophilic compounds may partition and concentrate in the membranes higher than in the intracellular environment. These observations are a reminder that the methodology and conditions of a screen determine the results and the hits identified. Researchers thus may have to rethink their screens and the compound libraries they use because the lipophilic and high-molecular-weight properties of certain hits may preclude successful subsequent downstream development. It is also the case that highly lipophilic and high-molecular-weight compounds that target membrane-localized targets seem to correlate with the potential for hepatotoxicity (Chen et al. 2013), mitochondrial dysfunction (Naven et al. 2013), and nonspecific interactions (Tarcsey and Keseru 2013). They also affect pharmaceutical development owing to the lack of solubility and difficulty in formulation. The fact that bedaquiline (TMC-207), with a cLogP value of 7.3, was successfully developed amply shows that such a compound can be developed, even though the hurdles are high. Various measures can be used to correct this

Table 1. Structurally identical or closely related compounds have been identified as hits many times over by various organizations as listed below

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Structures</th>
<th>Investigating organization(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxamides</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>University of Notre Dame Institut Pasteur Korea, Quro National Institutes of Health, Novartis Institute of Tropical Diseases GlaxoSmithKline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrahydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamides</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>Tuberculosis Antimicrobial Acquisition and Coordination Facility GlaxoSmithKline Novartis Institute of Tropical Diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adamantyl ureas</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>Colorado State University Tuberculosis Antimicrobial Acquisition and Coordination Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indole-2-carboxamides</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>Novartis Institute of Tropical Diseases University of Illinois at Chicago GlaxoSmithKline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2. Representative promiscuous targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DprE1</td>
<td>BTZ043</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNB1</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAACF37790</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCA1</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azaindoles</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MmpL3</td>
<td>BM212</td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU1235</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C215</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Structure" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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problem. For example, hits with preferred physicochemical properties should be prioritized over higher-potency hits with poor properties, and methods should be established to accurately determine the drug concentrations in various cellular compartments. To that point, a recently reported method for measuring the intracellular compound levels in *Mycobacterium smegmatis* may be useful in linking intracellular compound levels to bacterial killing (Bhat et al. 2013).

Third, instead of the completely nontarget-specific whole-cell screens, target-specific, whole-cell screens using specialized strains that focus on a specific in vivo validated target, pathway, or process should be performed. Such screens should be designed to use the current knowledge of the most sensitive targets—including for persisters—the transport functions (Sarathy et al. 2012), and the porin systems of *Mtb* (Siroy et al. 2008) that can be exploited to transport drugs and avoid efflux.

Fourth, smaller libraries with preferred physicochemical properties should be used. For example, NITD scientists recently screened a library of small and polar compounds, with promising results (U. Manjunatha, P. Smith, et al., pers. comm.).
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Fifth, CPZN-45 (Ishizaki et al. 2013), spectinomides, and macrolides are successful chemical series in lead optimization derived from natural products. This suggests that use of natural products as a potential source for novel leads against Mtb should be revisited. New potential natural product leads are discussed below.

**Underexploited Methods of Lead Generation**

**Natural Products**

It is of interest to note among the four first-line TB drugs (rifampicin, isoniazid, pyrazinamide, and ethambutol) and six series of the second-line TB drugs (aminoglycosides, capreomycin-class polypeptides, fluoroquinolones, cyclolines, and para-aminosalicylic acid), at least four of them are derived from natural products (rifampicin, aminoglycosides, polypeptides, and cyclolines). The utility of natural products and natural product–derived compounds in antibacterial research is well-documented (Newman and Cragg 2012; Brown et al. 2014). Natural products are said to cover a wider chemical space compared with combinatorial library compounds (Feher and Schmidt 2003). This is important especially in view of the identification of frequent hits of synthetic compounds mentioned in the preceding section. Nevertheless, the drug discovery effort in the natural product area still seems to lag behind reflecting the general trend in the pharmaceutical industry, which has mostly retreated from the natural product discovery area 10–20 years ago. There are excellent recent reviews on anti-tubercular compounds derived from natural products (Singh et al. 2011; Garcia et al. 2012; Guzman et al. 2012; Salomon and Schmidt 2012; Kirst 2013).

Here, we highlight some recent notable examples that may prove to be useful leads for TB drug discovery (Fig. 1).

Thuggacin A, isolated from the fermentation broth of the myxobacterium Sorangium cellulosum, is active against Mtb with an minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of 8.0 μg/mL and an MOA believed to be inhibition of the Mtb respiratory chain—a target of great interest at present (Steinmetz et al. 2007; Irschik et al. 2007). Ergosterol peroxide, isolated from the leaves of Radermachera boniana, has an MIC of 1.5 μg/mL; although it has an unusual peroxide moiety, it appears to have a reasonable selectivity, because its cytotoxicity against Vero cells is greater than 86 μg/mL (Truong et al. 2011). Trichodermin A, isolated from a fungus from marine sponges, has an Mtb MIC of 0.12 μg/mL under both aerobic and hypoxic conditions (Pruksakorn et al. 2010); it has been shown to be active against nonreplicating mycobacteria and its MOA to be inhibition of ATP synthesis (Pruksakorn et al. 2011). Another peptide natural product, lariatin A, shows a potent inhibitory activity against Mtb, with an MIC of 0.39 μg/mL (Iwatsuki et al. 2007). Isolated from the soil bacterium Rhodococcus jostii, lariatin A forms an unusual “lasso” structure in which its tail passes through a ring structure; its MOA is speculated to be inhibition of cell wall biosynthesis (Iwatsuki et al. 2006). A thiostrepton-class compound isolated from Nocardia pseudobrasiliensis, nocardiocin is highly potent against Mtb, with an MIC ranging from 0.025 to 1.56 μg/mL. A known natural product, pyridomycin was recently shown to have the same MOA as isoniazid (i.e., inhibition of Mtb InhA, the enoyl-ACP reductase involved in mycolic acid biosynthesis) (Hartkoorn et al. 2012); structural studies indicate that this compound blocks both the cofactor- and substrate-binding pockets (Hartkoorn et al. 2013). As discussed below, all of the inhibitors of Mtb ClpP proteases to date are natural products or natural product–derived analogs.

These examples indicate natural products; although mostly neglected by current efforts caused by cost and other factors, they remain a relevant source of leads for TB drug development. As mentioned above, natural products occupy a chemical space that is very different from that covered by the various small molecules currently being screened within most pharmaceutical company libraries. Although medicinal chemistry to improve ADME and toxicity properties of an initial natural product hit may be challenging, such compounds can provide novel templates and indicate vulnerable targets for simplified, smaller, more developable
analogs. Natural products discovered for non-
*Mtb* bacteria may also be a source of future anti-
TB leads. Because of the recent accumulation of
knowledge in biosynthetic genes of secondary
metabolites, information on culture conditions
to generate secondary metabolites, and isola-
tion of underexplored organisms, the science
of natural products is poised to make a great
contribution to TB drug discovery when it is
combined with advances in analytical chemistry
and chemoinformatics (Li and Vederas 2009;
Wright 2014).

**Mechanism- and Structure-Based
Drug Design**

There are numerous examples of mechanism-
or structure-based drug designs (Finn 2012)
in TB research, only some of which will be
mentioned here. An interesting case of struc-
ture-guided design is the recently reported
malate synthase (GlcB) inhibitors that are ana-
logs of phenyl-diketoacids, designed based on
the enzyme X-ray crystal structure. One analog
showed efficacy against murine TB, as discussed
in the section on targets below (Krieger et al.
2012). FASII enoyl-ACP reductase (InhA) is
the well-validated target of the TB drug isonia-
zid and has been a target of rational drug design
(Pan and Tonge 2012). Based on the methylthia-
zole compounds discovered at GSK (Ballell Pag-
ges et al. 2010; Castro Pichel et al. 2012), scientists
at AstraZeneca have published their investiga-
tion of the binding mode of these agents to InhA
(Shirude et al. 2013). This is part of an effort
to discover direct InhA inhibitors that, unlike

![Figure 1. Recent notable examples of natural and natural-derived products that provide leads for TB drug discovery.](image-url)
isoniazid, do not require activation by KatG (Encinas et al. 2014). Defective KatG activation is the dominant resistance determinant in isoniazid-resistant Mtb clinical isolates.

Another approach to modern drug design, fragment-based drug discovery, has recently succeeded in generating inhibitors of Mtb pantothenate synthetase (Pts) (Silvestre et al. 2013). In this study, 1250 fragments were initially screened by a thermal-shift method and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) experiments. The initial 39 hits were further characterized by isothermal titration calorimetry and X-ray crystallography to further categorize them into fragments binding at the adenine pocket, those binding at the pantoate pocket, and a fragment binding deep in the pantoate pocket. One fragment has been elaborated to provide potent inhibitors of Pts (Hung et al. 2009).

**EMERGING TARGETS**

**Targeting Mtb Iron Acquisition and Storage**

Iron is an essential nutrient for all living organisms, including pathogenic bacteria in an infection, but the mammalian immune system uses various methods to restrict a pathogen’s access to iron (Doherty 2007). Various mammalian proteins are involved in iron homeostasis, and their effect on the immune system is discussed in an excellent review (Johnson and Wessling-Resnick 2012); they include hepcidin, lactoferrin, siderocalin, haptoglobin, hemopexin, Nramp1, ferroportin, and the transferrin receptor, which underscores the importance of this nutrient in the host–pathogen relationship. Iron is sequestered in the human body by intracellular ferritin and extracellular transferrin (Weinberg 1999). During infection, Mtb localizes inside host macrophages (Kauffmann 2004), where it has access to transferrin-bound iron. Mtb secretes two classes of siderophores, mycobactins (Rodriguez 2006) and carboxymycobactins (Ratledge and Dover 2000), to bind iron, obstructing it from the mammalian iron-binding proteins, and then internalizes the iron-laden siderophores through its receptors.

**Iron Acquisition**

It was recently shown that mbtE deletion mutants are unable to synthesize mycobactins and are attenuated for growth in vitro, macrophages, and guinea pigs, highlighting the importance of mycobactin biosynthesis for the growth and virulence of Mtb and establishing this pathway as a potential target for the TB drug development (Reddy et al. 2013). Mycobactin analogs that inhibited MbTA, another mycobactin biosynthesis enzyme, have shown in vitro Mtb activity superior to first-line TB drugs (Neres et al. 2008).

Deletion mutants of Mtb lacking irtA and irtB are attenuated in human macrophages and mouse lungs (Rodriguez and Smith 2006). IrtAB genes encode the ABC transporter IrtAB involved in the efficient transport and usage of iron from Fe-carboxymycobactin in Mtb. The irtAB genes are located in a chromosomal region previously shown to contain genes regulated by iron and the major iron regulator IdeR.

Mutants of Esx-3, a secretion system that works in concert with the ItrAB ABC transport system to take up iron-laden siderophores, have been shown to be severely impaired for growth in macrophages, indicating Esx-3 as another potential drug target in iron acquisition (Seigrist et al. 2009). A novel siderophore-export system consisting of MmpS4/MmpL4 and MmpS5/MmpL5 was recently identified in Mtb by Wells et al. (2013), and an mmpS4/S5 deletion mutant was shown to be attenuated in mouse infection models, indicating siderophore efflux as a potential drug target (Wells and Jones 2013). These investigators further showed that Mtb recycles its siderophores to enable efficient iron use and that disrupting this process causes the intracellular accumulation of deferrated siderophores, which poisons Mtb (Jones et al. 2014). This suggests that novel drugs targeting inactivation of siderophore export and recycling would deliver a one-two punch to Mtb by reducing its capacity to take up iron and by siderophore-mediated self-poisoning, making siderophore secretion a better target than siderophore biosynthesis because inhibition of siderophore biosynthesis is easily over-
come by *Mtb* using heme (Jones and Niederweis 2011).

An *Mtb* heme-uptake system has been defined (Tullius et al. 2011) that consists of the secreted protein Rv0203 and the transmembrane proteins MmpL3 and MmpL11. Recent experiments showed that Rv0203 transfers heme to both MmpL3 and MmpL11 during *Mtb* heme uptake (Owens et al. 2013), making these proteins potential targets for TB drugs.

### Iron Storage

Although iron acquisition is required for the growth and virulence of *Mtb*, it seems that proper iron storage within the pathogen is just as crucial. Excess free iron becomes toxic, catalyzing the production of reactive oxygen radicals that could lead to oxidative damage. *Mtb* has two iron storage proteins, bacterioferritin (BfrA) (Gupta et al. 2008) and a ferritin-like protein (BfrB) (McMath et al. 2010), which have been shown to be essential for *Mtb* protection against oxidative stress, growth in macrophages, and virulence in guinea pigs (Reddy et al. 2012). *Mtb* lacking ferritin suffers from iron-mediated toxicity, is unable to persist in mice, and is highly susceptible to killing by antibiotics (Pandey and Rodriguez 2012), showing that endogenous oxidative stress can enhance antibiotic killing.

Various investigators have targeted siderophores for the development of novel TB drugs. One approach has been to develop agents that directly inhibit enzymes involved in siderophore synthesis (Engelhart and Aldrich 2013). Another approach targets the iron-dependent regulator protein (IdeR) that represses siderophore synthesis genes and virulence factors when sustainable iron levels have been achieved (Mai et al. 2011); dysregulation of IdeR would lead to excess iron and oxidative damage or reduce virulence and enhance bacterial killing. The structural basis for iron activation and IdeR binding to DNA has been recently reported, and these insights have enabled the structure-based design of agents that target IdeR function. Small peptides that either enhance IdeR repression or inhibit IdeR dimerization show that IdeR activity can be rationally modulated (Monfeli and Beeson 2007).

The totality of the data reported here indicates that the biosynthesis, transport, and utilization of siderophores are potential targets for *Mtb* drug discovery, as *Mtb* survival and virulence seem to be directly related to iron availability. Indeed, the host already uses iron metabolism against pathogens: siderocalins are host proteins that sequester iron-laden siderophores (Holmes et al. 2005) as a defense mechanism.

### Targeting MmpL

The *Mtb* genome contains 13 genes that encode 12 RND (resistance, nodulation, and cell division) proteins designated *mycobacterial membrane protein large* (MmpL). RND proteins transport a variety of cationic, anionic, or neutral compounds, including various drugs, heavy metals, aliphatic and aromatic solvents, bile salts, fatty acids, detergents, and dyes, across the cytoplasmic membrane (Paulsen et al. 1996; Putman et al. 2000). In a heroic attempt to decipher the role of MmpL proteins in *Mtb*, mutant strains inactivated in 11 *mmpL* genes were generated (Domenech et al. 2005). Susceptibilities to a variety of drugs were unaffected in all the MmpL-deficient strains. One gene, *MmpL3*, was shown to be essential for growth, and mutants in four genes, *MmpL4*, *MmpL7*, *MmpL8*, or *MmpL11*, were attenuated in mice. The role of MmpL7 in virulence is likely explained by the findings that this polypeptide is required for the transport of phthiocerol dimyocerosate (PDIM), a known virulence factor, to the cell surface (Cox et al. 1999; Jain and Cox 2005). MmpL8 was found to be involved in sulfatide biogenesis and virulence (Domenech et al. 2004), and an *Mtb* mutant with disruptions in *mmpL4*, *mmpL5*, *mmpL7*, *mmpL8*, *mmpL10*, and *mmpL11* showed significant attenuation in mice (Lamichhane et al. 2005). As discussed above, Wells et al. (2013) recently showed the direct involvement of MmpL4 and MmpL5 in siderophore export; the involvement of MmpL3 and MmpL11 in heme uptake has also been shown, thus establishing the importance of...
these proteins in iron homeostasis and as potential targets for TB drug development. MmpL3, the only MmpL protein that has been shown to be essential, has also been shown to be the resistance determinant for a variety of unrelated drug candidates currently being evaluated (Grzegorzewicz et al. 2012a; Tahlan et al. 2012), as well as to be involved in the transport of mycolic acids across the cell membrane onto the cell surface (Grzegorzewicz et al. 2012a). Efflux of azole antibiotics in Mtb has been associated with mutations in Rv0678, which transcriptionally regulates the efflux system encoded by the mmpS5–mmpL5 efflux system (Milano et al. 2009).

The above studies clearly indicate the importance of this protein family for growth, transport of vital cell wall components with some involvement in virulence, and perhaps the efflux of small molecules that are toxic to the bacterial cell. It is therefore interesting to imagine a single molecule that might inhibit a variety of MmpL proteins and potentially affect growth, virulence, and sensitivity to other drugs. This would be reminiscent of β-lactam antibiotics that target penicillin-binding proteins and affect peptidoglycan biosynthesis and sensitivity to other drugs. A concerted search for such an inhibitor might uncover a natural product capable of such if MmpLs are as important in soil-dwelling mycobacteria. MmpLs are membrane proteins and may thus be more accessible for targeting than cytosolic enzymes, a potential explanation for the various compounds with reported associations with MmpL3. More studies are required to determine if different MmpLs are important under different in vivo conditions and their potential involvement in pathogenesis and drug efflux in the various environments of the diseased lung.

**Targeting Cholesterol Metabolism**

Mounting evidence suggests that Mtb uses the host’s cholesterol as a source of carbon and energy during infection. Strains defective in cholesterol transport or degradation are attenuated in activated macrophages, and Mtb requires several genes involved in cholesterol catabolism for full virulence in animal models (Pandey and Sassetti 2008; Chang et al. 2009; Yam et al. 2009; Nesbitt et al. 2010). It is unclear what carbon source for Mtb is most important during an infection, but host cholesterol use by Mtb has been shown (Van der Geize et al. 2007; Miner et al. 2009) and appears to be particularly important during the chronic phase of infection (Chang et al. 2009). Host cholesterol has been shown to be involved in a human Mtb infection (Kim et al. 2010), and high levels of cholesterol in the diet have been shown to significantly enhance the bacterial burden in the lung (Schafer et al. 2009). Specifically, cholesterol is required for phagocytosis of mycobacteria by macrophages (Gatfield and Pieters 2000; Peyron et al. 2000).

The intracellular growth operon, the igr locus, encodes enzymes of cholesterol catabolism in the Mtb genome (Chang et al. 2007) the primary function of which is the degradation of the 2’-propanoate side chain. Inactivation of the igr operon results in reduced Mtb growth on cholesterol alone or in combination with glycerol, indicating that cholesterol or its metabolites are toxic to the igr mutants. The igr locus encodes a cytochrome P450 (cyp125), two acyl-CoA dehydrogenases (fadE28 and fadE29), two conserved hypothetical proteins (Rv3541-2c), and a putative lipid-carrier protein; the locus is essential for growth in macrophages and critical in the chronic phase of infection (Thomas et al. 2011). An enzyme encoded by fadA5 has been described that catalyzes the thiolysis of acetoacetyl-CoA and is required for growth on cholesterol and virulence in the late stages of an infection (Nesbitt et al. 2010).

The other phase of cholesterol catabolism in Mtb is the degradation of the A–D rings. The 3-ketosteroid 9α-hydroxylase (KshAB) has been identified as a virulence factor involved in cholesterol ring degradation (Capyk et al. 2011). In a seminal study using bioinformatics, it was shown that 51 rhodococcal genes specifically expressed during growth on cholesterol were conserved within an 82-gene cluster in Mtb and that mycobacteria grown on cholesterol up-regulate hsaC and kshA (Van der Geize et al. 2007). Transposon mutagenesis studies suggested that the
genes encoding HsaA and HsaD are essential for intracellular survival of *Mtb* in human macrophages (Rengarajan et al. 2005). HsaC, an iron-dependent extradiol dioxygenase, has been shown to be a virulence determinant in guinea pigs that influences dissemination, persistence, and the extent of disease pathology (Yam et al. 2009). Interestingly, cholesterol metabolism was shown to be lethal to an *hsaC* *Mtb* mutant, consistent with catechol toxicity. HsaD, the cholesterol meta-cleavage product (MCP) hydrolase (Lack et al. 2010), has been associated with the survival of *Mtb* in macrophages (Rengarajan et al. 2005). HsaAB, an enzyme that transforms 3-HSA to 3,4-DHSA in cholesterol catabolism, has also been identified (Dresen et al. 2010). Also, FadD3 has been shown to be a 3α-H-4α (3'-propanoate)-7αβ-methylhexahydro-1,5-indanedione (HIP)–CoA synthetase that initiates catabolism of steroid rings C and D after side-chain degradation is complete (Casabon et al. 2013).

The rhodococcal Mce4 system is required for steroid uptake and is up-regulated on growth on cholesterol (Mohn et al. 2008). On this basis, it was predicted that all Mce4 systems are steroid transporters and that in *Mtb*, they transport cholesterol during an infection. Indeed, the involvement of the *Mtb mce4*-encoded transport system in cholesterol import has been shown, and *mce4*-deleted mutants have been shown to be severely attenuated in both macrophages and mouse infection models, establishing cholesterol import and metabolism as potential therapeutic targets (Senaratne et al. 2008).

From the above experimental data, it seems that although cholesterol catabolism by *Mtb* is important during infection, if ineffectively metabolized, cholesterol may be toxic to the pathogen. Importantly, both phenomena can be exploited for TB drug discovery, for drugs that inhibit cholesterol metabolism or those that inhibit enzymes which remove the toxic intermediates of cholesterol metabolism. In contrast to these observations, it was recently reported that cholesterol is not required as a nutritional source during infection (Yang et al. 2011).

### Targeting the *Mtb* ClpP Protease

The ClpP proteases (cutinase-like proteins, chaperon-linked proteases, or caseinolytic proteases) have been of interest as drug targets because the antibacterial activity of an ADEP, an acyl depsipeptide that binds the Clp protease of *Bacillus subtilis*, was shown (Brotz-Oesterhelt et al. 2005). Clp proteases are conserved proteases involved in the degradation of damaged, poorly formed, and unfolded proteins. *Mtb* encodes two ClpP homologs, ClpP1 and ClpP2, which form a self-compartmentalized protease consisting of two heptameric rings stacked on top of each other, enclosing a catalytic chamber. Within the chamber, which can be reached through two axial pores, each of the 14 identical monomers possesses a serine protease active site (Ingvarsson et al. 2007). To gain activity, the ClpP protease multimer associates with hexameric rings of Clp ATPases forming the proteolytic complex. The ATPase subunits (ClpC1 and ClpC2) are responsible for recognition, unfolding, and translocation of peptides into the ClpP degradation chamber. The resulting structure is the chamber in which the active sites are sequestered from the cytoplasm to exclude native proteins and control access to the proteolytic chamber.

It was recently shown that ClpC1 is the protein target of the natural product antibiotic cyclomarin in *Mtb* and that interference with the function of the ClpC1 ATPase with this non-competitive small molecule is bactericidal in actively growing and in hypoxic nongrowing mycobacteria (Schmitt et al. 2011). The frequency of spontaneous mutations rendering *Mtb* resistant to cyclomarin was extremely low, and the *clpC1* gene could be shown to be essential for *Mtb* growth, a strong indication that interference with ClpC1 is a promising approach for the development of new antitubercular therapies.

A recent *Mtb* global transcriptional analysis identified approximately 100 genes involved in resumption of replication on reaeration following hypoxia, which included a transcription factor, the Clp protease gene regulator ortholog ClgR (Sherrid et al. 2010). *Mtb* ClgR was shown...
to activate the transcription of at least 10 genes, including four that encode protease systems (ClpP1/C, ClpP2/C, PtrB, and HtrA-like protease Rv1043c) and three that encode chaperones (Acr2, ClpB, and the chaperonin Rv3269). \(clgR\) deletion mutants were attenuated for growth in macrophages and for controlling the phagosomal pH, compared with wild-type organisms (Estorninho et al. 2010).

Recent studies have shown that ClpP1 and ClpP2 are essential for growth and form a mixed complex that degrades missense and prematurely terminated peptides, as depletion of the protease specifically led to growth reduction in the presence of antibiotics that increase errors in translation. A recent study confirmed that ClpP1 is essential for \(Mtb\) growth in vitro and that the previously described ClpP activators (acyldepsipeptides [ADEPs], discussed below) are active against \(Mtb\) (Ollinger et al. 2012). \(Mtb\) ClpP has thus been validated as a drug target that could be exploited because of its novel mechanism—in the presence of ADEPs—of bacterial killing. It has been shown that ADEP binding leads to a change of ClpP structure, allowing access of unfolded proteins to the proteolytic chamber in the absence of the regulatory Clp ATPases (Kirstein et al. 2009), thus effectively dysregulating this protease system and leading to unregulated proteolysis and bacterial death. It was recently shown that ADEP4-activated ClpP becomes nonspecific in its proteolytic activity and kills \(Staphylococcus aureus\) persisters by degrading more than 400 proteins, causing cells to self-digest (Conlon et al. 2013). A similarly efficacious dysregulator of \(Mtb\) ClpP would represent a truly novel mechanism of sterilizing chronically infected lungs, contribute to shortening of treatment durations in novel TB drug regimens, and be effective against resistant disease.

A recent report has described lassomycin, an antibiotic that was specifically bactericidal against both replicating and nonreplicating \(Mtb\) (Gavrish et al. 2014). Lassomycin was found to bind to the ClpC1 ATPase complex and stimulate its ATPase activity, and it was its uncoupling of ATPase from proteolytic activity that accounted for the bactericidal activity.

Alternatively, a structurally diverse series of \(\beta\)-lactone inhibitors has been shown to form a covalent adduct at the ClpP2 catalytic serine and inhibit \(Mtb\) growth (Compton et al. 2013). This finding provides yet another pharmacological validation of the ClpP protease as a potential drug target, but in this case, the mechanism is by inhibition of ClpP instead of by dysregulating ClpP activity as occurs with acyldepsipeptides.

**Targeting Central Carbon Metabolism**

\(Mtb\) adapts its metabolism to the environmental conditions to which it is exposed (Rhee et al. 2011). Several metabolic enzymes have been validated as drug targets; the multifunctionality of some of these enzymes makes them of particular interest.

The enzymes of the glyoxylate shunt, isocitrate lyase (ICL) (Honer et al. 1999) and malate synthase (GlcB) (Smith et al. 2003), have long been and remain of interest to TB drug discovery. The glyoxylate shunt serves to bypass the two \(CO_2\) generating steps of the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle when carbon is limiting, including during growth on fatty acids. ICL-deficient \(Mtb\) cannot establish an infection in mice (McKinney et al. 2000; Munoz-Elias and McKinney 2005), and \(icl\) is up-regulated during infection (Timm et al. 2003). Although this suggests reliance on this pathway and subsistence on fatty acids in vivo, the importance of ICL may result from its several roles—ICL in the glyoxylate shunt, methyl-ICL in the methylcitrate cycle of propionyl-coA metabolism (Gould et al. 2006; Munoz-Elias et al. 2006), ICL in ATP homeostasis during adaptation to slow growth (Gengenbacher et al. 2010), and ICL in succinate generation for proton motive force (PMF) maintenance under hypoxia (Eoh and Rhee 2013). Perhaps owing to the small polar active site of ICL (Sharma et al. 2000), only weakly efficacious ICL inhibitors have been reported to date (Kraty and Vinsova 2012). Efforts continue to target ICL, including via target-based whole-cell screening (Abrahams et al. 2012a). Conversely, novel, efficacious phenyl-diketo acid inhibitors of \(Mtb\) GlcB were recently dis-
covered (Krieger et al. 2012). As for ICL, GlcB appears to play unexpected metabolic roles in carbohydrate and cholesterol metabolism—an other example of the metabolic flexibility of Mtb (de Carvalho et al. 2010; Beste et al. 2011; Griffin et al. 2011).

Like ICL, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK) is required by Mtb for growth on fatty acids and survival in rodents (Liu et al. 2003; Marrero et al. 2010), and it is induced during infection (Timm et al. 2003). PEPCK catalyzes the first committed step of gluconeogenesis, by which sugars are synthesized from TCA intermediates during growth on fatty acids (Mukhopadhyay et al. 2001). As with ICL, the importance of PEPCK in vivo suggests subsistence on fatty acids. However, the glycolytic enzyme glucokinase is also required for survival of Mtb in mice (Marrero et al. 2013), suggesting a requirement for metabolism of carbohydrates during late infection. No drug discovery efforts have been reported yet against these interesting targets.

Two better explored targets are lipoamide dehydrogenase (Lpd) and dihydrolipoamide acyltransferase (DlaT). DlaT and Lpd function as components of pyruvate dehydrogenase, which supplies glycolysis-derived acetyl-CoA to the TCA cycle (Tian et al. 2005). Both are also components of the Mtb peroxynitrite reductase/peroxidase, which functions to resist host-reactive nitrogen intermediates (Bryk et al. 2000, 2002). Lpd also functions within branched-chain amino acid metabolism, perhaps explaining the more profound attenuation for growth in mice on disruption of lpdC compared with dlaT (Shi and Ehrt 2006; Venogopal et al. 2011). Efforts to target both Lpd (Bryk et al. 2010) and DlaT are ongoing, the latter motivated by the demonstration that DlaT-deficient Mtb fails to establish an infection in guinea pigs (Bryk et al. 2008). Discovery efforts focused on DlaT have identified inhibitors that are selectively active against nonreplicating Mtb.

Finally, the maltosyltransferase GlgE was recently identified as a target of interest (Kalscheuer and Jacobs 2010). This enzyme participates in a pathway from trehalose to α-glucan, and GlgE-deficient Mtb dies in vitro and in mice caused by accumulation of its toxic substrate, maltose-1-phosphate. This pathway also shows a synthetic lethal interaction with the glucosyltransferase Rv3032, suggesting that synergistic TB drugs may be discovered by targeting components of these pathways.

Targeting Energy Generation: Inhibitors of the Respiratory Chain and ATP Synthesis

ATP synthesis and PMF generation are among the best-validated Mtb drug targets owing to the clinical successes of the ATP synthase inhibitor TMC207 (bedaquiline, Sirturo) (Andries et al. 2005; Diacon et al. 2009) and the cornerstone TB drug pyrazinamide, which disrupts the PMF (Zhang et al. 2003). PMF and ATP homeostasis are required by replicating and nonreplicating Mtb, under a variety of conditions (Koul et al. 2008; Rao et al. 2008), and efforts to target these processes include pathway screens using membrane particles, cell-based screens for ATP homeostasis disruptors, target-based screens, and repurposing of existing drugs known to inhibit this pathway.

Mtb operates a branched respiratory chain, the components of which operate variably, depending on the environment (Kana et al. 2001; Matsoso et al. 2005; Weinstein et al. 2005; Small et al. 2013). A menaquinone pool is reduced by NADH dehydrogenase (Ndh, provided by a 14-subunit NdhI complex and single subunit NdhII) and succinate:menaquinone oxidoreductase (Sdh). Electrons flow from the menaquinone pool to a quinol oxidase (cytochrome bd oxidase) or to a cytochrome bc1–aa3 oxidoreductase supercomplex wherein a bc1 c-type cytochrome reductase transfers electrons to the terminal aa3-type oxidase. Both oxidases use molecular oxygen as the terminal electron acceptor. In the absence of respiration, Mtb maintains the PMF and ATP synthesis using secreted succinate generated by fumarate reductase (Watanabe et al. 2011) and/or produced by ICL.

TB drug discovery efforts have focused on NdhII, encoded in Mtb by ndh and ndhA. The phenothiazines (a class of central nervous system drugs) have anti-Mtb activity in vitro and in vivo (Amarai et al. 1996; Bettencourt et al. 2015).
A recent report of anti-Mtb inhibitors against MenA active against replicating and nonreplicating Mtb (Liu et al. 2011). The existence of transposon mutants of ndhA but not ndh (McAdam et al. 2002) suggests the relative importance of the ndh-encoded component of NdhII. However, a novel compound identified through a screen for ATP synthesis inhibitors appears to target NdhA (Loeger et al. 2013). The importance of NdhI and Sdh for Mtb energy metabolism is not fully understood, although recent evidence suggests a crucial role for Sdh under hypoxia (Eoh and Rhee 2013).

The biosynthesis of menaquinone is expected to be critical under aerobic and hypoxic conditions (Dhiman et al. 2009; Kurosu and Crick 2009). Menaquinone is synthesized from chorismate by a series of at least eight enzymes. Of these, MenC, MenD, and MenE appear to be essential in vitro (Sassetti and Rubin 2003). A recent report of anti-Mtb MenB inhibitors cites a personal communication from C.M. Sassetti that MenB is essential (Li et al. 2011). A report on MenA inhibitors active against replicating and nonreplicating Mtb mentioned an unpublished demonstration (by D. Schnappinger) that MenA is essential for growth of Mtb in mice, providing genetic validation for MenA and menaquinone biosynthesis in general as a drug target (Debnath et al. 2012). Target-based efforts are ongoing against Mtb MenA (Kurosu et al. 2007; Kurosu and Crick 2009), MenB, and MenE (Lu et al. 2012).

The cytochrome b subunit (QcrB) of the cytochrome bc1-aa3 complex has recently emerged as a target of interest. Previous studies (Sassetti and Rubin 2003) indicated that QcrB is essential and that the aa3-type cytochrome c oxidase is important for aerobic growth, although the less bioenergetically favorable cytochrome bd oxidase is more important for microaerobic growth (Kana et al. 2001). However, disruption of Mtb cytochrome c maturation results in only impaired growth in vitro and in mice, which is partially compensated for by induction of cytochrome bd oxidase (Small et al. 2013). This suggests a role for cytochrome bd oxidase during aerobic growth and in infection and suggests limited target vulnerability of the cytochrome bc1 complex. Despite this, the QcrB inhibitor, Q203, shows potent efficacy against murine TB. Q203 and other compounds confirmed or presumed to inhibit QcrB are described in more detail above (Mak et al. 2012a,b). Although Mtb QcrB is pharmacologically validated, further efficacy profiling of QcrB inhibitors is ongoing.

Following generation of the PMF, ATP synthesis occurs via F0F1 ATP synthase. Its c subunit is the target of the diarylquinoline TMC207. Efforts to target ATP synthase are limited by its complex nature. However, cell-based screens for ATP synthesis inhibitors may identify new series targeting ATP synthase.

**ROS and NOS Generation**

Although somewhat controversial (Keren et al. 2013), one hypothesis states that all bactericidal antibiotics kill bacteria by generating reactive oxygen or nitrogen species (ROS/RNS) (Dwyer et al. 2009). Recently, it was shown that a relatively small change (20%) in dissolved oxygen can affect killing of bacterial persiters (Grant et al. 2012), a subpopulation of bacteria in an infection that is phenotypically resistant to killing by most antibiotics but still sensitive, however, to high quantities of radicals. This observation can be critical for killing Mtb in granulomas, which have hypoxic cores (Via et al. 2008). Drugs such as clofazimine, PA-824, and delamanid have been shown to generate ROS and/or RNS (Singh et al. 2008; Yano et al. 2011), which is presumably the mechanism for their activity against Mtb. Recently, the function of the Mtb deazaflavin-dependent nitroreductase (Ddn), an enzyme that activates PA-824, has been hypothesized to be what provides Mtb protection from oxidative stress and bactericidal agents (Gurumurthy et al. 2013); the authors of the report noted that Ddn mutants defective in the formation of deazaflavin were hypersensitive to isoniazid, moxifloxacin, and clofazimine. This suggests that any agent that
can inhibit Ddn or deazaflavin biosynthesis could synergize with existing anti-TB drugs. Thus, novel mechanisms to generate ROS/NOS at sufficiently high concentrations should be investigated as potential novel therapy for TB, as long as they do not cause oxidative damage to the host cells (Domann 2013).

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

A robust global TB drug discovery portfolio is crucial to achieving the goal of new, simpler, shorter, better TB drug regimens useful for all patient populations. The current status of anti-TB lead generation is much improved compared with the situation 10–15 years ago. However, it is still slow and drastically lacking in success; significant changes are needed to produce novel regimens with efficacy against drug-resistant TB and shorter treatment durations. A review of the processes that have led to the current global pipeline suggests a few solutions that could be used to improve the situation and produce better, more easily developable leads. Smaller, targeted compound libraries with favorable physiochemical properties should be used for hit generation; hits with preferred physicochemical properties should be prioritized over higher-potency hits with poor properties; hits with improved cell penetration and with activity against multiple subpopulations of *Mtb* should be prioritized. When possible, leads that can penetrate caseum and have efficacy within hypoxic lesions should be prioritized, and natural products should be revisited to allow the identification of currently unexplored chemical matter.

In addition, structure- and fragment-based approaches should be used wherever practicable. Instead of either target-based biochemical screening or whole-cell-based screening, whole-cell-based, target-specific screens using specialized strains that focus on in-vivo-validated targets, pathways, or processes should be performed. Such screens should be designed using what is currently known about the various bacterial populations in the infected lung; the nature and metabolic properties of the organisms in an infection, including persisters; their transport systems and porins that could be exploited for drug uptake; and the most sensitive targets at the different stages of the disease. Such vulnerable pathways and processes might include the in-vivo-validated iron acquisition and storage pathways; central carbon, cholesterol, and energy metabolism pathways; the MmpL transport systems; and the Clp protease system. Nevertheless, because both knowledge of the biology of *Mtb* remains incomplete (Orme 2014) and the nature of clinical TB is so complex, any current screening approach necessarily remains limited. Multiple subpopulations of bacteria, differing in their replication rate and metabolic state, exist in an infection in the multiple and changing environments of the tuberculous lung (Lin et al. 2014). Any one set of screening conditions is thus unlikely to include key features of all of these environments, and few individual targets and pathways are likely crucial to all physiological states of the organism and disease (Dartois and Barry 2013). It is unlikely that any in-vitro- or even mouse-based screen will select and identify compound series with sufficient exposure for efficacy in necrotic, hypoxic, and cavitary lesions (Kjellsson et al. 2012), or eliminate viable but nonculturable (VBNC) (Pai et al. 2000; Manina and McKinney 2013) organisms.

Yet, we believe that combining the lessons learned from recent and ongoing TB drug discovery efforts with emerging technologies and an evolving understanding of *Mtb* biology should provide a path toward safer, novel regimens with greater treatment-shortening potential.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We acknowledge the various collaborators and funders of the TB Alliance.

**REFERENCES**


Downloaded from http://perspectivesinmedicine.cshlp.org/ on October 26, 2017 - Published by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press


Pandey R, Rodriguez GM. 2012. A ferritin mutant of Mycobacterium tuberculosis is highly susceptible to killing by antibiotics and is unable to establish a chronic infection in mice. Infect Immun 80: 3650–3659.


TB Drug Discovery and Development


The Tuberculosis Drug Discovery and Development Pipeline and Emerging Drug Targets

Khisimuzi Mdluli, Takushi Kaneko and Anna Upton

Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med published online January 29, 2015

Subject Collection Tuberculosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transmission and Institutional Infection Control of Tuberculosis</td>
<td>Edward A. Nardell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innate and Adaptive Cellular Immune Responses to Mycobacterium</td>
<td>Katrin D. Mayer-Barber and Daniel L. Barber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuberculosis Infection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis Comorbidity with Communicable and Noncommunicable</td>
<td>Matthew Bates, Ben J. Marais and Alimuddin Zumla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diseases</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host-Directed Therapies for Tuberculosis</td>
<td>David M. Tobin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunity and Immunopathology in the Tuberculous Granuloma</td>
<td>Antonio J. Pagán and Lalita Ramakrishnan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis Drug Development: History and Evolution of the</td>
<td>Sumit Chakraborty and Kyu Y. Rhee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanism-Based Paradigm?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic Approaches to Facilitate Antibacterial Drug Development</td>
<td>Dirk Schnappinger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Tuberculosis Drug Discovery and Development Pipeline and</td>
<td>Khisimuzi Mdluli, Takushi Kaneko and Anna Upton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Drug Targets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Aspects of Adult Tuberculosis</td>
<td>Robert Loddenkemper, Marc Lipman and Alimuddin Zumla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advances in Diagnostic Assays for Tuberculosis</td>
<td>Stephen D. Lawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis and Management of Latent Tuberculosis Infection</td>
<td>Laura Muñoz, Helen R. Stagg and Ibrahim Abubakar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycobacterial Growth</td>
<td>Iria Uhlía, Kerstin J. Williams, Vahid Shahrezaei, et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multidrug-Resistant Tuberculosis and Extensively Drug-Resistant</td>
<td>Kwonjune J. Seung, Salmaan Keshavjee and Michael L. Rich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis and HIV Coinfection</td>
<td>Judith Bruchfeld, Margarida Correia-Neves and Gunilla Källenius</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For additional articles in this collection, see http://perspectivesinmedicine.cshlp.org/cgi/collection/